

Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Recertification

| | | | | | |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|-------|
| Date: | _____ | GC/CM | _____ | Approved | _____ |
| Public Agency: | _____ | DB | _____ | Denied | _____ |
| PRC Member: | _____ | Both | _____ | | |

Recertification Evaluation Criteria

| | Pass | Fail |
|--|------|------|
| A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. | | |
| 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project. | | |
| 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. | | |
| B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10. | | |
| 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. | | |
| 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification. | | |
| C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification. | | |
| D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | | |

Overall Evaluation by Committee Member

Reason for Determination:

Observations/Concerns:

Signature