Date:	01/25/24	Approved	X
Public Agency:	City of Spokane Valley	Denied	
Project Name:	Spokane Valley Cross Country Complex		
PRC Member:	Timothy Buckley, Private Sector Representative		

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.
 Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience;
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience;
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority;
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project:
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience;
 - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget.
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

	. 400	
	X	
	x	
		X
	X	
	Х	
	X	
[Х	
	X	
	X	
	X	
	X X X X X	
	X	
	X	
	X	

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Meets Strict RCW criteria requirements for DB, Best ability to control scope (and tight schedule) within challenging budget and allow DB entity to provide innovative materials to meet the NCAA requirements within the budget.

Observations/Concerns:

The two "Highly Specialized" arguments the applicant used as justification for DB pertains to the required sophistication of sprinkler system design, and need for design of a cross-country course to meet NCAA (D1) requirements, The data and response seemed to suggest regular highly qualified professional design services could not address these requirements is very disputable.

Signature

Date:	1/25/26	Appro	ved	Χ
Public Agency:	City of Spokane Valley		d	
Project Name:	Cross Country Complex Project			
PRC Member:	Tom Golden			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:	require		
A. Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	8	Pass X	Fail
B. Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)			
in develop	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criting the construction methodology; or		Х	
The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or		ies	Х	
	savings in project delivery time would be realized.	į	Х	
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)	00000	Х	
	livery knowledge and experience;		Х	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience;		X	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;	- 1	X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experience	ce;	X	
	and appropriate construction budget.		Х	
knowledgeabl	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	are	Х	
E. Public Body h	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Х	
Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation: le and specialty irrigation system/sod solution sets up well for PDB.			
Observations/Conce	rns:			
None.		10		
Shunan E. K				

Signature

Date:	1/25/24	Appro	oved	Х
Public Agen	cy: City of Spokane Valley	Denie	ed	
Project Nam	e: Cross Country Complex Project			
PRC Membe	er: Gina M. Hortillosa			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
	the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the tracting procedures:	e require	ments fo	r
			Pass	Fail
	substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical	d.	Х	
Public bo	eets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. dies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which ect cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the		
in dev	onstruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is creloping the construction methodology; or		Х	
	rojects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficien en the designer and the builder; or	cies	X	
	cant savings in project delivery time would be realized.	<u> </u>	Х	
	dy has necessary experience or team: et all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)			
10.5	et delivery knowledge and experience;		Х	
	ent contract administration personnel with construction experience;		Х	
	n management plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		X	
	ssary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;	nooi	X	
	nuity of project management team with project type & scope experied sary and appropriate construction budget.	rice,	X	
D. For Desig	n-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB tean eable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract		X	
	dy has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Х	
Reason for Dete	tion by Committee/Panel Member ermination: edule. Established GMP.			
Observations/C	oncerns:	-		

alternative contracting procedures:

Date:	January 25, 2024	Approved	Х
Public Agency:	City of Spokane Valley	Denied	
Project Name:	Cross Country Complex Project		
PRC Member:	Jessica Murphy		
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		
Determine that the	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets th	e requirements fo	r

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)

1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or

- 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
- 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience;
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience;
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority;
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience;
 - Necessary and appropriate construction budget.
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

97		
9	Х	
	x	
	х	
	х	
	Х	
	х	
	х	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	X X X X	
	Х	
	х	
	х	

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Project is on an extremely tight timeframe but the Owner well understands the risks and is poised to use	he
methodology to the benefit for the unique needs of the project.	-
	-0
Observations/Concerns:	
None	-0
Jestica Murphy (Jan 25, 2024 7:14-9ST)	-

Signature

Da	ite:	January 25, 2024 A		oved	X
Pu	blic Agency:	City of Spokane Valley	Denie	ed	
Pre	oject Name:	Spokane Valley Cross Country Complex			
PF	RC Member:	Jeannie Natta			
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thing procedures:	ıe require		
	B I			Pass	Fail
		tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical	11.	Х	
В.	Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which est is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the	х	
		uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is c	ritical	Х	
	2. The project	ng the construction methodology; or ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficier ne designer and the builder; or	ıcies	Х	
		savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
C.		as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)		Х	
		ivery knowledge and experience;	Γ	X	
		contract administration personnel with construction experience;		Х	
	3. Written ma	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		X	
		& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		Х	
	•	of project management team with project type & scope experie	nce;	X	
		and appropriate construction budget.	L	X	
	knowledgeable	illd projects, construction personnel independent of the DB tear e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract		х	
E.	Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Х	
	son for Determin				-4:
		ntation and good discussion. The agency demonstrated knowledge a	idout risks	and mitig	ation
strat	egies.				
Obs	ervations/Concel	ns:			

Signature

Jeannie Natta Digitally signed by Jeannie Natta DN, C=US, E=jnatta@uv.edu, 0=UW Facifities, 004: Project Dekvey Group; CN=Jeannie Natta Dafe: 2024.01.25 10.04:23-08'00'

dono Agency De	Joigh Bana Froject			
Date:	1/25/24 App gency: City of Spokane Den		ved	X
Public Agency:			d	
Project Name:	Cross County			
PRC Member:	Mike Pellitteri			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contrac	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:	require	ments fo Pass	r Fail
A. Provides subs	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical		X	ı alı
Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which tost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	he		
in develop	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cri ring the construction methodology; or	į		х
	2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or		x	
Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.			Х	
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)			
1. Project de	livery knowledge and experience;		Х	
	t contract administration personnel with construction experience;		х	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experien	ce:	X	
(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	and appropriate construction budget.	,	x	
	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	are '	х	
E. Public Body h	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		х	
Reason for Determir	by Committee/Panel Member nation: t the team is well qualitied to manage the project,			
Observations/Conce	rns:			
	there is a belief that DB will somehow give you time you need to get thi	-	•	
	llotted. There is a lot of preconstruction (design and permits) that will ne	ed to hap	pen in a	very
Short amount of time	. I wish you good luck.			
Signature				

Date:	1/25/24	Арр	roved	х
Public Agency:	ublic Agency: City of Spokane Valley-Cross Country Denie		Denied	
Project Name:	Cross Country Complex Project			3
PRC Member:	Linneth Riley-Hall			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:	e requir		
A Dravida a suba	tantial finant banefit on traditional delivery, mathed in not proceed		Pass	Fail
	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.	l.	Х	
Public bodies	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which sost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the		
	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cr	itical	х	
in developing the construction methodology; or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or		х		
Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.		х		
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)			
• *************************************	livery knowledge and experience;	Γ	х	
2. Sufficient	contract administration personnel with construction experience;		Х	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;	l	Х	
and the same of th	 & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project; 	İ	Х	
1.7	of project management team with project type & scope experier	ıce;	Х	
and the same of the same of	and appropriate construction budget.	Ĺ	X	
	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.			
	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		х	
0 " " " " " "	O			
Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
Met RCW 39.10 alte	rnative public works requirements.			
Observations/Conce	rns:			
Linneth Riley-Hall				

Date:	25 JAN 2024 ~ DAY 1 @ 94M	Appro	oved	X
Public Agency:	CITY OF STOKANE VALLEY	Denie	d	
Project Name:	CROSS CANTRY COMPLEX PROJECT			
PRC Member:	YOUNG SAND SONG			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets thing procedures:	e require		
A. Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica	d.	Pass	Fail
	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.		1	
	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which est is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	the	V	
	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cr ng the construction methodology; or	itical		
2. The project	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficient	cies		
	e designer and the builder; or savings in project delivery time would be realized.			
PRODUCT WEST OF NEW TRANSPORT OF NEW	as necessary experience or team:	1		To the W
(must meet all	6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	ļ	~	
	ivery knowledge and experience; contract administration personnel with construction experience;			- ************************************
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority;			
•	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;			
The second second	of project management team with project type & scope experier	ice;		
Name of the second seco	and appropriate construction budget. ild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team			
_	in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.			
E. Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.			
Overall Evaluation b Reason for Determina	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
	Tauneds the Euro Stewens PAST GUES VS.			
VERY UZAR	- THAT THE TRAM HAS A CUMULANIA ANGMO	of the	W.	
Observations/Concer				
THE TELL 18	MADE DEGMEE WILL BE A CHARLEMAZ, PROM	- Acc	East Pl	ANS
Frethelus	1. IS NOT SET ~ CONCELNED THIS	ITEM	my	
(/kg	ON THE BACK BAPAGE.			
Signature				