



## **CAPITOL CAMPUS DESIGN ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

*Dennis Haskell, Alex Rolluda, Susan Olmsted, Architect Vacant*

*Secretary of State Kim Wyman*

*Senator Karen Fraser, Senator Ann Rivers*

*Representative Sam Hunt, Representative Drew MacEwen*

Joel M. Pritchard Building, Washington Room

415 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue SW

Olympia, Washington 98504

### **Meeting Minutes**

**May 21, 2015**

*(Approved: November 30, 2015)*

---

#### **MEMBERS PRESENT**

Dennis Haskell, Chair  
Senator Karen Fraser  
Susan Olmsted  
Representative Sam Hunt  
Representative Drew MacEwen

#### **MEMBERS ABSENT**

Senator Ann Rivers  
Alex Rolluda, Vice-Chair  
Secretary of State Kim Wyman  
Architect Position - Vacant

#### **OTHERS PRESENT**

Victoria Buker, Sellen Construction  
Bob Covington, Department of Enterprise Services  
Bill Frare, Department of Enterprise Services  
Mark Gjurasic, Gov. Bldg. Owners & Mgr. Assoc.  
Tom Gow, Puget Sound Meeting Services  
Arlen Harris, Department of Enterprise Services  
Bob Jacobs, North Capitol Campus Heritage Park Development Association  
Marygrace Jennings, Department of Enterprise Services  
Jim Keller, Site Workshop  
Eddie Kung, ZGF Architects  
Sue Lean, Friends of the Waterfront  
Nouk Leap, Department of Enterprise Services

Chris Liu, Department of Enterprise Services  
Amy McMahan, Office of Financial Management  
Allen Miller, North Capitol Campus Heritage Park Development Association  
Lenore Miller, Department of Enterprise Services  
Brian Nguy, Department of Enterprise Services  
Jerry Parker, Citizen  
Kevin Pierce, Legislative Support Services  
Dan Simpson, ZGF Architects  
Todd Stamm, City of Olympia  
Jon Taylor, Department of Enterprise Services  
Michael VanGelder, Department of Enterprise Services

#### **Welcome and Announcements**

Chair Dennis Haskell called the Capitol Campus Design Advisory Committee (CCDAC) regular meeting to order at 10:12 a.m. A meeting quorum was attained.

Notice of the meeting agenda was published in *The Olympian* newspaper. Public comments will be accepted after the conclusion of agenda items.

### Approval of Agenda

**Representative Sam Hunt moved, seconded by Representative Drew MacEwen, to approve the agenda as published. Motion carried unanimously.**

CCDAC will review one item for Action: Approval of the Minutes – *November 20, 2014*; two items for information: Facilities Update – *Current Capital Projects and Proposed 2015-2017 Capital Budget*, and the 1063 Block Replacement – *Project Update*.

### Approval of Minutes – November 20, 2014

**Representative MacEwen moved, seconded by Senator Rivers, to approve the minutes of November 20, 2014, as published. Motion carried unanimously.**

### Facilities Update

#### *Current Capital Projects – Status Update*

Lenore Miller, Acting Asset Management Manager, updated members on current projects under construction on the campus:

- **Capitol Project East Plaza Stair Tower Replacement and Waterproof Retrofit.** The project began in 1995 to replace, modify, and improve the East Plaza structure. Currently, the project is in the fifth phase to address areas where rebar rusted and concrete has fallen posing a life safety risk. The project includes improvements to the structure to resolve those issues and renew the life of the structure, as well as improve two stair towers to address deteriorating steel beams and panels, and spalling concrete walls. Some way finding was added to the top of the towers by color/shape to help users identify towers when accessing their cars in the garage. The stair project is scheduled for completion by the end of the biennium. Construction contingencies are two percent for the project.
- **Replacement of Campus Chillers and the Governor’s Mansion Boiler and Critical Campus Steam System Repairs.** The replacement of the boiler and improvements to the heating system at the Governor’s Mansion was completed in January. The project generates energy savings and other efficiencies by removing the mansion from the steam system to avoid operating the boilers during non-work hours.

The second project replaces the 40-year old chiller at the Campus Powerhouse with a new energy efficient chiller. The new chiller should be operational by the end of July. Additionally, a high priority safety risk will be corrected to reduce the risk of injury to staff and is scheduled for completion in the fall.

- **NRB Parking Garage Fire Suppression System Project.** The fire suppression system at the Natural Resources Building (NRB) parking garage has not been functional for many years posing significant risk to personal safety and damage to property. The project replaces the overhead fire-suppression system in the NRB parking garage. To date, the project is approximately 95 percent completed. The Department of Enterprise Services (DES) requested funds in the next biennium to complete the fire suppression system project.
- **Old Capitol Exterior Leak Repair.** DES completed a survey of the Old Capitol Building and documented some significant issues. This phase of the project addresses numerous leaks and protects the building from moisture. The first phase includes repairs to gutter and roof flashing, replacing the garage and mechanical room roofs, improving drainage at the site, and repairing water damaged plaster at various interior locations. DES requested additional funds in the next biennium for the next

phase of the project to repair failing joints, damaged and cracked sandstone, and caulking of windows. The project should be completed by July. DES discovered some additional drainage issues around the perimeter the building.

- **Story Pole.** The Native American Story Pole previously located south of the General Administration Building was removed because of advanced internal decay. The pole was placed in storage in the summer of 2013. DES has been in discussions with the Tulalip Tribe, who has agreed to take possession of the story pole by early June. DES and the Tribe are discussing potential possibilities for development of a tribal commemorative or other item installed on the campus.

#### ***Proposed 2015-2017 Capital Budget***

Ms. Miller provided an overview of the Governor, House, and Senate versions of the DES capital budget for 2015-2017. Budget categories include preservation, new development, and planning projects. Each budget is different with proposed projects tentative at this time.

- ***Preservation Projects:*** The Temple of Justice Building Systems Renewal and Upgrade project is new to the list. The last major repair and rehab of the building occurred in the 1980s. The building is experiencing many heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) issues that need to be addressed. The overall project cost is \$16 million; however, the budget includes the first phase of a multi-phased project addressing repairs for the protection of the historic property.

The East Plaza project is a continuation of an existing project for elevator repairs and other work. The Plaza surface area located in the southern portion west of the Washington State Department of Transportation Building is scheduled for replacement.

The Campus Heating Systems Repairs project continues the work to remove the campus from steam to hot water heating systems to provide significant energy efficiencies.

The West Campus Historic Building Exterior Preservation Improvements project is ongoing work to complete repair and rehab of the Legislative Building.

- ***New Developments:*** The 1063 Block Replacement project is dependent upon funding from the Legislature.

The Newhouse Replacement Update Predesign projects carries forward predesign work completed several years ago. The predesign would be updated, as the building needs to be replaced because of numerous failing systems.

The House submitted a proposal for development the ProArts Building site with a new building for the Utilities and Transportation Commission building. The Office of Financial Management (OFM) identified needs for space for the agency in its six-year plan. Additionally, the department's 10-year plan includes rehabilitations for Office Building 2, Washington State Department of Transportation, and the Natural Resources buildings requiring the relocation of some employees. The new ProArts building could serve as office space while the buildings are rehabilitated to avoid leasing other properties.

Today's campus security system is lacking in technology. The Campus Physical Security and Safety Improvements project would modernize security cameras, card key systems, and update data management of the new technologies. The project provides future options for technological features.

- **Planning:** The House budget includes funds for planning projects to include:

Funds are designated for developing information on opportunity sites on campus to identify infrastructure needs and other requirements for developing sites.

Funds are included to generate information for campus parking that would provide an understanding of the priorities of parking by space for potential changes to designated parking.

Funds were included in all three versions of the budget for Capitol Lake Long-Term Management Planning.

Chair Haskell asked about the status of planning for Capitol Lake. Director Chris Liu replied that the department is researching infrastructure issues at this time. The first step in planning for the future management of Capitol Lake is ensuring future activities are successful based on previous studies and public processes. The department has developed a preferred model, which is currently being vetted by stakeholders and others involved in the process. No definite conclusions have been determined at this time. However, the goal is presenting a proposal in the near term. Chair Haskell asked whether the three lake alternatives are still under consideration. Director Liu said that based on additional discussions with stakeholders and the community, more alternatives might be considered of different iterations of the original proposals. The department is pursuing the appropriate structure to receive public comment and input and for framing decision-making. It is important to frame the problem and identify issues to solve, identify the current and future status, and identify available information produced from previous efforts. Throughout the years, a significant amount of information has been generated. Today, it entails sorting through the information to validate information still applicable and information no longer germane.

#### **1063 Block Replacement Project** – *Project Update*

Jon Taylor, Project Director, Engineering & Architectural Services, reported on the status of the design team's submission of various permits for smoke and evacuation analysis, demolition of the site, and shoring work required by the City of Olympia for the 1063 Block Replacement project. The owner of the site is working cooperatively to ensure the site is ready for construction. The first 40 percent design package is due in the next several weeks. The Department and the consultant team will review the package. If the project receives funding, the project should be completed by early 2017.

Dan Simpson, ZGF Architects, introduced design build team members Victoria Buker, Sellen Construction; Jim Keller, Site Workshop; and Eddie Kung, ZGF Architects.

Mr. Simpson referred to a large model of new building and site in context with surrounding buildings and Capitol Campus. Recent work since the committee's last update was completion of the scope validation period by working with building occupants to ensure interior layouts satisfies needs resulting in some changes in the interior configuration of the building without changing the building size or building design.

Mr. Simpson identified the project location as the northeast corner of the West Campus. The building design is U-shape inviting the exterior within and throughout the front of the building facing West Campus. The interior entryway includes an atrium of central space with two major flanking wings of office space and a third wing facing north. The design intent is bringing the natural plane of the campus into the interior of the building and creating a series of interacted and shared support systems for both the public and building occupants. The wings are connected through a series of open walkways with visual access to the interior space of the atrium. The building includes both stairways and elevators. Two major

features are the sheltered public porch on the south side and a view terrace facing north toward Puget Sound.

The project's continuous gateway identifies with the corner of Capitol Way and 11<sup>th</sup> as an important intersection with the second intersection at the lower elevation of Union and Capitol Way just as important. Essentially, the entire block is a transition from the City to the Capitol Campus.

The building is five stories in height with one floor submerged partly underground because of the existing slope of the property. Mr. Simpson outlined the diagrammatic relationship of the building to the exterior features and elements of the site. The features are both natural and cultural highlighting the original Olmsted lawn and the historic landscape, the original groupings of the campus buildings, the City's urban side, and the natural environment of the setting.

Some comments the design team received pertained to the articulation and detailing of the east building façade at the corner of Capitol Way and 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue. The upper floors feature windows and stone with a series of vertical shading devices. The design team and the City responded to dialogue about increasing the right-of-way and including additional softening details for the lower building wall. The building slope includes three to four feet in elevation change in the area of the public porch, which is designed flush to grade with a series of steps.

The team developed a concept of stone surrounded by vertical fins for shading function, scale, proportion, and material and details. The detailing is underway; however, in terms of scale, the fins were decreased in size. Originally, the fins were designed to be 4.5 feet wide. The fins were redesigned to reduce the width to 2.3 feet. The team also adjusted the regularity in the positioning of the fins. Originally, the fins were organized in three-story high vertical alignments. The new proposal softens the affect by creating an organic-feel of those elements in that area of the building façade. The team transitioned from a nettle-perforated material to laminated glass material to improve the appearance. The glass consists of 50 percent opaque material. Mr. Simpson reviewed a sample of the glass material. He explained how the design and material shield solar radiation from the interior of the building. The change represents some of the science within the design. The team considered horizontal shades, vertical perpendicular fins, vertical angled fins, and a double fin configuration. The glass material has the greatest impact on reducing the amount of solar radiation entering the building, and it allows for a reduction in energy spent on cooling the building in the summer. The shading devices are transparent, easily cleaned, and consist of material that is durable and can last forever. From within the building, the devices enable occupants to view the exterior without any visual impacts.

Mr. Simpson said the evolution of design changes addressed several original concerns in many ways. The monotony or repetition of the façade was solved, the amount of coverage was reduced by 50 percent, and the material was changed from metal to glass.

Mr. Fung added that the new design reduces the solar peak load by approximately 66 percent, which reduces the operating costs of the mechanical systems. The sun at east and west exposures of the building will be morning sun and late afternoon sun.

Mr. Simpson said the design solution is located on both the east and west façades to ensure the building design is acceptable to people from both the inside and outside while achieving energy saving goals. He noted that the study model was constructed before the team equalized the connections of the building façade. The proposal ensures all the features are equal on each floor to create a unified design array on the building.

Mr. Keller referred to the previous design schematic at the corner at Capitol Way and 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue. He reviewed the current proposed landscape design along Capitol Way, which includes an adjustment in the right-of-way along the roadway requiring the replacement of existing street trees in poor health with new trees and larger tree grades. The design includes the addition of a continuous planter featured around the base of the building along the wall facing Capitol Way to enable planting of climbing plants to soften the scale of the building. Another driver of the project is the sunny porch facing the Olmsted landscape and the existing oak tree on the corner of 11<sup>th</sup> the team is striving to save.

Mr. Keller reviewed an illustration of the new street tree layout and the band of landscaping along the base of the building. The trees will be installed in large tree grates along Capitol Way at the City's request.

Mr. Kung noted the team is working with the City on right-of-way dedication to increase the width of the street and include a 6-foot wide sidewalk and 4-foot wide tree planters.

Mr. Simpson said the Capitol Way side of the building would be civic in scale with the street, building, and street trees. Weather protection was included in the original design with canopies featured at the lower level emphasizing the entry. The team is considering extending the canopy by cantilevering off the building with steel beams placed every 20 feet. The canopy is constructed of laminated glass. The team is currently studying the potential of extending the canopy. However, no firm decision has been determined.

On the west side of the building off Columbia Street, the design treatment is similar with an array of shading devices. The upper part of the building is comprised of stone. The team is considering the type of stone to select. Mr. Simpson displayed a piece of Wilkeson sandstone, which is prominently featured on many West Campus buildings. Wilkeson Sandstone is no longer commercially quarried and the team is considering other stone products with a final recommendation presented to the committee on specific stone materials. The building's lower wall would be constructed of board-form concrete or precast material in a slightly darker version.

Mr. Keller reported the team is proposing a wide landscaping strip along the frontage of Columbia with street trees in the strip and sidewalk placed behind the landscaping strip. Since the wall height is the same as the wall height at 11<sup>th</sup> and Columbia, it is much shorter because all ADA entry into the building is located at the front porch and at the drop-off location.

Mr. Simpson said the north side of the building remains essentially the same since the last update. The canopy extends around the corner to include a series of exterior louvers to add variety and detail to the building elevation and to shield tenants from pedestrians on the sidewalk. The middle section of the building includes access to the service zone with a set of doors for loading. Mr. Simpson described the interior design.

Mr. Fung said more design features are included on the north end of the building to help scale the building and complement the scale of the City.

Mr. Keller noted the landscaping off Union is designed to filter stormwater. The team is studying the possibility of a curbless environment to enable water from Union to filter through planters for cleansing as it exits the storm system. The building's design angle at Union affords space for a large public plaza on the corner to include several heritage trees to transform the area as an iconic entry to the old Olmsted lawn.

Mr. Simpson identified the central area where the roof terrace is located. To roof terrace is located on level four and can be accessed from a walkway through the building along a large conference room with a connecting door for access to the fourth floor view terrace. The amount of paving is under consideration in terms of the required square footage of surface area for tenant space. It is important for the roof terrace to be available to as many people as possible. The team is working to size the terrace to accommodate approximately 130 individuals. Currently, building codes allow up to 499 people on the floor without a third stairway. At this point, the design only contains two stairways. The team is considering the capacity of the entire floor and balancing those numbers with the capacity of the roof terrace.

Senator Fraser recalled from prior meetings that space would be available near the view terrace for caterers. Mr. Simpson replied that a main conference room is located in the area. He offered to follow up to ensure staging space is included for catering functions. Mr. Kung noted there might be an area on the south end of the conference room to accommodate catering space. Senator Fraser encouraged the team to ensure adequate space is included as the area will be very popular.

Mr. Keller remarked that as the newest building on the west campus, it should adhere to the Olmsted lawn. Over time, the shrub layer that never occurred within the Olmsted plan will return and become part of the campus. The project site will fit within that landscaping scheme by preservation of the large trees and extending the lawn across the street with a unified and open front porch off 11<sup>th</sup>. On each end of the porch, large landscape areas are featured with adequate soil depth and volume to ensure permanent landscapes. The garden in the front features the large oak tree.

Mr. Kung said the flags have been repositioned to the west side to afford additional space for the tree.

Mr. Simpson added that it is unknown at this time what the requirements might be for the location of the flagpoles. He invited members to provide input.

Representative Hunt asked about the status of incorporating the state seal located on the General Administration Building. Mr. Simpson pointed out the proposed location for the placement of the seal.

On the south side of the building, a majority of the work has focused on energy. Given the committee's previous comments and questions about the scale of the porch and proportion of the porch and the columns, the team is recommending incorporating photovoltaic (PV) cells throughout the glass layer of the projected canopy. Solar energy will also be produced on most of the flat roof of the building, as well as imbedded within the glass-projecting roof of the porch. The design enables increasing LEED Certification to Platinum.

Mr. Kung said the solar system is expected to generate approximately seven percent of the electrical energy for the building.

Senator Fraser asked whether the team explored geothermal energy. She and Representative Hunt recently toured a new school building that uses 100 percent geothermal energy. Ms. Buker replied that the building includes geothermal. However, there is insufficient area to supply 100 percent of the building's energy needs through geothermal applications.

Mr. Simpson said the contribution of the geothermal is included within the proposal. The team is continuing to work on the detailing/structure/ shape of the beams and the columns with some tapering and detailing recommended at the base and the top of the columns. Architecturally, the shift in the scale of the overall height is still incomplete. The use of the PV system and translucent glass on the canopy creates more detail and visual interest.

Mr. Taylor asked whether the PV system is visually transparent. Mr. Simpson shared photographs of a similar application of photovoltaic cells installed on the California Science Academy building in Golden Gate Park. A similar installation pattern would be installed on the canopy. The cells have a gray underside and a black top side.

Mr. Keller continued the review of the front porch. The porch area is a large sunny space that complements the Olmsted lawn with pockets gardens on sides and the old oak tree across the street. A pullout area is featured along 11<sup>th</sup> for universal access to the front door. The front porch can be configured in a number of ways with tables and chairs during lunch or events. The porch is able to accommodate a large number of people under the photovoltaic canopy. The garden in the front offers an opportunity to prevent people from crossing 11<sup>th</sup> and redirecting pedestrian crossings to each side. The material for the porch has not been determined, but it would include masonry or natural stone.

Mr. Simpson added that the team has not determined the color of the glass on the vertical screens because the white dot pattern of the photovoltaics can be any color. The metal framing system for the windows and support structures might be bronze anodized aluminum. The team plans to take some samples and compare with stone on existing buildings to develop a final exterior color palette. He asked members to consider whether some elements of the exterior should be wood in terms of durability and maintenance. Wood products require retaining every six years regardless of the wood species. Metal features could be substituted for wood elements.

Ms. Olmsted asked whether wood elements are featured inside the building. Mr. Simpson affirmed wood is included internally. The team is developing the interior design to include some components of wood. Mr. Fung said some of the wood paneling would extend from the outside to the interior. Mr. Simpson said the team appreciates the value of either metal or wood, but wants to ensure the state is aware of the maintenance need for any external wood features.

Mr. Taylor encouraged the committee to provide feedback as maintenance is a crucial issue and it's difficult to secure consistent maintenance funding.

Director Liu congratulated ZGF Architects and Sellen Construction for their design and efforts on the project, as well as for the inclusion plan for the design phase as well as the construction phase. Both companies have led Capitol Campus in the state's inclusionary plans for including women-owned and minority businesses in the construction of the project.

Senator Fraser encouraged the team to meet with local caterers about the practicalities of space for preparation and serving of food.

Ms. Olmsted complimented the team for the presentation. She was able to attend the initial public meetings. There have been some changes, especially in terms of the compactness of the building. The proposed streetscape improvements appears to be appropriate and welcoming to people. She expressed appreciation for placement of the stormwater system at the north end of the site, and for the team's efforts to soften the 5-foot high wall along the street edge. She asked about the choice of concrete at the pedestrian level as opposed to stone and metal at the higher elevation. Mr. Simpson said the choices are dependent upon market availability. The idea of a modular cast stone would be preferable. The use of material from the Wilkeson Quarry would be the preferable choice. The team is examining construction methods for the wall and whether it is cast or applied. The construction type affects the type of material. Ms. Olmsted encouraged the use of stone as it would be a good link to historic buildings on campus.

Ms. Olmsted asked whether the team anticipates purchasing tree species included within the large tree layer plan of the Landscape Master Plan along Capitol Way or anticipates selecting different species. Mr. Keller replied that the tree species have not been selected. The former existence of the larger tree canopy in the 1940s and 1950s is one of the project's goals. The team is balancing the selection with soil volume and overhead canopy limitations within the right-of-way. The team is working closely with the state horticulturist to ensure all landscape plantings adhere to the master plan as well as the maintenance plan.

Ms. Olmsted asked whether any interior operable shades are included in the proposal. Mr. Simpson affirmed interior glare user-controlled devices would be included.

Representative MacEwen asked about the percentage of energy savings anticipated for the building. Mr. Fung said that with the inclusion of the PV roof system, the building is anticipated to achieve an Energy Use Index (EUI) of 28. Mr. Simpson noted that a EUI is a formula relating to energy consumption in a building. The unit is based on BTU hours per square foot per year. A EUI rating of 27 is the top level to achieve for office buildings nationally, while 30 EUI is extremely good. Under today's energy code, the average building achieves 50 EUI. Mr. Fung said the building is anticipated to be in the top one percent of all energy-efficient office buildings across the nation.

Chair Haskell asked for clarification of the committee's role in relation to the 1063 Project and former development projects on the campus in terms of the committee's actions at various stages of design. It appears that for this particular project, the committee may not be as involved. Director Liu advised that for this project, the Legislature is leading the project. However, the work on the Capitol Campus Master Plan will help to inform the Legislature of what the committee should be pursuing in the future in terms of participating in the planning process. Many were surprised by the Newhouse redevelopment proposal. DES would like the committee to lead the expansion of the campus to accommodate future needs. The question of how the campus expands would entail the committee playing a vital role in overseeing that growth and forming the vision of what the campus should be in the future, as well as staying true to the original Olmsted Plan.

Chair Haskell questioned whether any action by the committee is required for the 1063 Project. Director Liu suggested the committee should review all the design elements, as they are important design questions to assist DES in its work moving forward.

### **Public Comment**

Allen Miller, North Capitol Campus Heritage Park Development Association, invited the community and the committee to attend Norm Johnson's memorial service scheduled at Horizon House on First Hill (Seattle) on Saturday, May 30 at 2 p.m. and at the University of Washington on Sunday, May 31, at 2 p.m. Norm Johnson was a founding member of the Capitol Campus Design Advisory Committee.

Marygrace Jennings announced that the Governor's Advisory Council on Historic Preservation met and approved the nomination and placement of the Pritchard Building on the State Register of Historic Places and approved the nomination for placement on the National Register of Historic Places. She presented the certificate and picture of the committee's action. In 2002, the Historic Structure Report for the Pritchard Building was completed. The report includes a photo folio of the building. She encouraged everyone to review information on the Callahan Mural, as the building was the first building to have a designated percentage of construction cost dedicated to public art. Approximately 2.5 percent of the building budget was allocated to artwork. The work by Kenneth Callahan, a nationally recognized artist who has strong Northwest ties, cost less than \$10,000. Today, the appraised value is nearly \$250,000. The mural includes four panels depicting Washington State history.

The State Library Building is among the region's most important mid-century works of public architecture. It was the last monumental building to be added to the West Capitol Campus. Throughout time and history, it was separated by the neoclassic Legislative Building, Temple of Justice Building, and attendant structures by the Second World War and at the mid-point of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. In appearance and design, it differs in architectural sensibilities with a decidedly expressed idea about modernity and form. The building was designed and built specifically for the Washington State Library. The State Library was its only tenant until it was relocated in 2001 followed by the Nisqually Earthquake. The Legislature moved in following rehabilitation of the building. The building was designed and constructed 30 years following completion of the Legislative Building. The State Library introduced highly modern design principles along with innovative materials and structural engineering systems. In many ways, the buildings are counterpoints to one another reflecting a symbolic appreciation of the past and a sense of promise about the future. The social history surrounding the building and the prominence of design during the period of design and construction anchored the building and its history firmly in Pacific Northwest post-war development by adding layers of significance that come with associations to political and artistic figures. The building becomes a textbook on how Washingtonians looked to the future in the 1950s and how public buildings reflected that vision. The building was constructed in less than one year for \$1.3 million and it has wonderful stories associated with the building centered on women's history and the advocacy for the construction of the building on its current site. State Librarian Marian Reynolds in association with the Superintendent for Public Instruction Pearl Wanamaker lobbied for the construction of the Pritchard Building through rallying of women's organizations across the state, librarians across the state, and university women.

Senator Fraser asked about the practicality of receiving the designation for the future of the building. Ms. Jennings said the designation provides no authority for any type of protection. However, the building is included within state statute as a building to be accorded the requirements under the Secretary of Interior's guidelines for treatment of historic properties, which includes specific requirements.

#### **Next Meeting**

The next meeting is scheduled on Thursday, September 19, 2015 at 10:00 a.m.

#### **Adjournment**

**With there being no further business, Chair Haskell adjourned the meeting at 11:29 a.m.**