



**Funding and Governance Committee
Capitol Lake Long-Term Management Planning
1500 Jefferson Street SE, Room 2330, Olympia, Washington 98501
9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.
September 20, 2016**

Meeting Notes

Participants

John Doan, City of Tumwater
Megan Duffy, Natural Resources
Steve Hall, City of Olympia
Rich Hoey, City of Olympia
Ray Peters, Squaxin Island Tribe
via teleconference

Enterprise Services

Bob Covington
Searetha Kelly
Carrie Martin
Ann Sweeney via
teleconference

Consultant Team

Tessa Gardner-Brown, Floyd | Snider

Meeting Purpose

1. Confirm all members' agreement on the Attributes List.
2. Discuss the revised draft Funding and Governance section of the Proviso Report.
3. Discuss next steps, including a plan to continue funding and governance work in parallel to an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process.

Notes

1. Welcome and Introductions

- A. Tessa Gardner-Brown welcomed participants to the meeting and introduced herself.
- B. Participants introduced themselves.

2. Review Meeting Purpose and Agenda

- A. Tessa Gardner-Brown reviewed the meeting goals and purpose.

3. Process Updates

- A. There were no changes to the August 16 meeting notes.

4. Action Items Follow Up

- A. Carrie Martin reported that she had talked to Department of Ecology and that Lewis County has not been involved in the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) process.
- B. Tessa Gardner-Brown suggested that other organizations can add value and at this point, suggested not excluding anyone, consistent with the recommendation of the committee during the previous meeting. In previous meetings, Lewis County was suggested as a potential participant, and would not be excluded from potential future discussions. The committee does not necessarily have to pull in the County right now.
- C. Bob Covington suggested we leave the question of participation open for now, in order to potentially discuss participation from entities such as LOTT, Lewis County and others later on.
- D. Shawn Myer contacted Lewis County and received a list of Lewis County parcels that are within the Deschutes Watershed. The information provided includes the value of the parcels, the total size per parcel and the portion of the parcels within the watershed boundaries. This information was provided to committee members.

5. Confirm agreement by all members of the Attributes List

- A. After looking over the list again, the committee agreed to take out the word “all” from Attributes 2 and 3.
- B. Department of Natural Resources final vetting will be completed by September 28.
- C. Tessa Gardner-Brown confirmed final agreement on the Attributes List with the committee. This list will be included in the Proviso Report as the foundation for future funding and governance models.

6. Discuss revised draft Proviso Report section on funding and governance

- A. Comments on Section 4 are due by Wednesday, September 28.
- B. Review of the full draft report will be in late October/early November, and upon request of the Funding and Governance committee, will be provided to the members for review.
- C. Tessa Gardner-Brown read three sections out loud with the committee:
 - a. The last paragraph of Section 4.4
 - b. Section 4.5, Degree of General Support
 - c. Section 4.6, Work to Occur in Phase II
- D. Natural Resources language was vetted by DNR staff and some text was added to Section 4.3 regarding ownership/management of the resource and DNR’s statutory responsibility.
- E. The Attributes List is included in Section 4.4.
- F. Collectively the committee agreed to not put forth specific recommended funding and governance options, but they wish to communicate strong support of the process going forward into an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS).

- G. The committee agreed that stronger language is needed for Section 4.5, which would be incorporated and circulated to the members after the meeting
- H. . There was also agreement that:
 - a. Ongoing conversations will be needed throughout future processes.
 - b. Continued work will need to be done related to funding and governance concurrently with the EIS.
 - c. There is strong support for this committee to develop a funding and governance model by the time the EIS is complete. Committee members voiced their support for participating in a model that incorporates the stated attributes.
 - d. The committee also discussed the critical nature of sediment management. They suggested adding wording to paragraph 4.6, such as “The Funding and Governance Committee believes that sediment management is a critical cost component that will need further study in order for a funding and governance structure to be developed.”
 - e. The group suggested strong statements be included in the report’s Executive Summary about the importance of sediment management.

7. Discuss next steps, including plan to continue Funding and Governance work

- A. A funding request for Capitol Lake was included in DES’ ten-year capital plan that was submitted to the Office of Financial Management.
- B. The group agreed that each member organization of the Funding and Governance Committee (FGC) has their own path for legislative activity, but that it would be helpful to stay in communication, so that no one is surprised as we move through the session.
- C. One member suggested developing a joint letter of support to the Legislature that all members of the Executive Work Group (EWG) could sign. If all members are comfortable with this approach, it would send a strong message. A draft of the joint letter of support could be circulated for review prior to the October meeting.
- D. The draft Proviso Report will be reviewed by the EWG, Technical Committee and FGC between October 20 and November 3.
- E. The committee agreed that a FGC meeting will be scheduled for the afternoon of October 20, to review the draft Proviso report and discuss strategy for discussing Phase 2 with legislators.
- F. A suggestion was made that it would be helpful for legislative liaisons from the various committee member organizations to be informed on this issue and to be invited to the October 20 meeting.

8. Review of Action Items

- A. Tessa Gardner-Brown and Carrie Martin will add one sentence to the end of Section 4.5 and email out to the committee. This sentence strengthens the section.

- B. All members will send in their comments on Section 4 of the Proviso Report by September 28.
- C. DES will schedule a FGC meeting for the afternoon of October 20, 2016.

9. Adjourn

The meeting was adjourned at 9:58 a.m.