



#### Officers

Board President	Heidi Wills
Board Vice-President	Michel Girard
Board Secretary	Steve Sundquist
Board Treasurer	Mark Greenfield
Executive Director	Mitch Friedman

October 1, 2013

Mr. Devin Proctor, Rules and Policy Coordinator  
Department of Enterprise Services  
Contracts and Legal Services Division  
sent via email to [rules@des.wa.gov](mailto:rules@des.wa.gov)

RE: Comments – CR 102 DES Proposed Rule Making

Dear Mr. Proctor:

Please consider my comments on proposed changes to Chapter 200-100 WAC, Self-Insurance requirements governing local government and nonprofit self-insurance, on behalf of Conservation Northwest, a Washington-based 501(c)(3) nonprofit with 5,000 members in the state. My organization values its insurance coverage provided by the Nonprofit Insurance Program. The process for the proposed rulemaking does not appear to have been open and balanced, and should be extended to consider additional viewpoints. My substantive concerns on proposed changes include:

***WAC 200-100-03001 Standards for solvency-Actuarially determined liabilities, program funding and liquidity requirements.*** (1) All joint self insurance programs shall obtain an annual actuarial review as of fiscal year end which provides written estimates of the liability for unpaid claims measured at the expected level and the seventy, eighty, and ninety percent confidence level.

**Why this change is unwarranted:** The rules currently governing self-insurance pools require that the programs fund to the 70<sup>th</sup> percentile. We believe that the current requirement is sufficient to maintain financially solvent pools. The 70<sup>th</sup> percentile requirement was created barely two years ago and there has not been sufficient time to determine that a more stringent requirement is necessary.

***WAC 200-100-03001 Standards for solvency-Actuarially determined liabilities, program funding and liquidity requirements.***

Joint self-insurance programs operating under an approved plan and making satisfactory progress according to the terms of the plan shall remain under supervisory watch by the state risk manager until the terms of the approved plan have been met. Programs under supervisory watch but not making satisfactory progress may be subject to the following requirements:

- Increase in frequency of examinations, the cost of which shall be the responsibility of the program;
- Submission of quarterly reports;
- On-site monitoring by the state risk manager; or
- Service of a cease and desist order upon the program.

**Why this change is unwarranted:** This requirement is too vague as to the definition of satisfactory progress. We believe that this rule allows too much discretion to the State Risk Manager including the use of a cease and desist order. We believe that this verbiage would allow unilateral

#### Web and email

conservationnw.org  
info@conservationnw.org

#### Main office

1208 Bay Street, #201  
Bellingham, WA 98225  
360.671.9950  
360.671.8429 (fax)

#### Seattle

3600 15th Ave W, #101  
Seattle, WA 98119  
206.675.9747  
206.675.1007 (fax)

#### Spokane

35 West Main, #220  
Spokane, WA 99201  
509.747.1663  
509.747.1267 (fax)

power to the regulator without providing recourse mediation or resolution by some third party.

***WAC 200-100-037 Standards for management and operations-Financial plans.***

(d) The submission of audited financial statements to the state risk manager within eight months of the program's fiscal year end which meet the requirements of the state auditor and state risk manager as described in this chapter.

**Why this change is unwarranted:** Many pools have experienced difficulties with required audits being completed within the current one-year requirement. We are concerned that this change would mean that insurance pools will be considered out of compliance with the State Regulator if the Auditor's office is unable to complete the required audit within the regulatory timeline. Additionally, we are concerned that this required change in audited financial statements will remain irrelevant as long as some insurance pools have a three year notice requirement. If the Regulator's goal is transparency for members, then reduce the notice requirement to only one year.

Many nonprofit entities provide much-needed services within their communities – services that would not be available if operating margins are increased by arbitrary regulations and rule changes. These rule changes are contrary to the intent of legislation passed 10 years ago that created the ability for governments and nonprofits to self-insure. That legislation allowed for a prudent, financially responsible process to provide less expensive options to entities that serve the public. By singling out one type of pool model, these proposed rule changes will not enhance fiduciary responsibility. They will, however, make it more difficult for nonprofits to serve their communities.

I respectfully request the rules remain as written, and that DES look into the reasons why DES staff thought it was necessary to bring these rule changes forward.

Sincerely,



Mitch Friedman  
Executive Director