



**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Project description <b>Design, Bridging Documnets RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation <b>11/17/2015</b>	Project Number <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member <b>Yelena Semenova</b>	

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Key Personnel	General Approach	Relevant Experience	Diverse Inclusion Plan	TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	Maximun Score 30	20	40	10		
1. <b>Design2 LAST Inc.</b>	25	20	25	10	80	3
2. <b>Erickson McGovern Architects</b>	20	12	18	10	60	5
3. <b>Optimum Building</b>	20	10	15	10	55	6
4. <b>RGU Architecture</b>	22	15	20	10	67	4
5. <b>Schreiber Starling &amp; Lane</b>	30	18	40	10	98	2
6. <b>Yost Grube Hall</b>	30	20	40	10	100	1
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						
16.						
17.						
18.						
19.						
20.						
21.						
22.						
23.						
24.						
25.						
26.						
27.						
28.						
29.						
30.						

COMMENTS:

*Semenova*  
 Committee Member's Signature

11/24/15  
 Date

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Project description <b>Design, Bridging Documnets RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation <b>11/17/2015</b>	Project Number <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member <b>David Hruska</b>	

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Key Personnel	General Approach	Relevant Experience	Diverse Inclusion Plan	TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	30	20	40	10		
1. Design2 LAST Inc.	19	18	23	10	70	3
2. Erickson McGovern Architects	16	15	20	9	60	6
3. Optimum Building	20	15	20	8	63	5
4. RGU Architecture	20	19	25	9	73	1
5. Schreiber Starling & Lane	21	14	25	9	71	2
6. Yost Grube Hall	20	14	25	6	65	4
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						
16.						
17.						
18.						
19.						
20.						
21.						
22.						
23.						
24.						
25.						
26.						
27.						
28.						
29.						
30.						

COMMENTS:

*David Hruska* 11/24/15  
 Committee Member's Signature Date

Project description <b>Design, Bridging Documents RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation <b>11/17/2015</b>	Project Number <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member <b>Sara Wilder</b>	


**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Key Personnel	General Approach	Relevant Experience	Diverse Inclusion Plan	TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	Maximum Score 30	20	40	10		
1. Design2 LAST Inc.	24	17	32	10	83	4
2. Erickson McGovern Architects	20	15	35	8	78	6
3. Optimum Building	23	19	32	8	82	5
4. RGU Architecture	24	18	35	8	85	3
5. Schreiber Starling & Lane	28	19	38	10	95	1
6. Yost Grube Hall	27	19	35	9	90	2
7.						
8.						
9.						
10.						
11.						
12.						
13.						
14.						
15.						
16.						
17.						
18.						
19.						
20.						
21.						
22.						
23.						
24.						
25.						
26.						
27.						
28.						
29.						
30.						

COMMENTS:

  
 Committee Member's Signature

**11-23-2015**  
 Date

Project description <b>Design, Bridging Documnets RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation <b>11/17/2015</b>	Project Number <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member <b>Larry Clark</b>	

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Key Personnel	General Approach	Relevant Experience	Diverse Inclusion Plan			TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
Maximum Score	30	20	40	10				
1. <b>Design2 LAST Inc.</b>	26	16	28	6			76	5
2. <b>Erickson McGovern Architects</b>	25	15	37	7			84	4
3. <b>Optimum Building</b>	26	12	25	4			67	6
4. <b>RGU Architecture</b>	26	18	40	8			92	1
5. <b>Schreiber Starling &amp; Lane</b>	26	16	40	6			88	2
6. <b>Yost Grube Hall</b>	28	18	38	4			88	3
7.								
8.								
9.								
10.								
11.								
12.								
13.								
14.								
15.								
16.								
17.								
18.								
19.								
20.								
21.								
22.								
23.								
24.								
25.								
26.								
27.								
28.								
29.								
30.								

COMMENTS: *I'm concerned about travel for RGU, though*

*[Signature]* 11-21-15  
 Committee Member's Signature Date

Project description <b>Design, Bridging Documnets RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation <b>11/17/2015</b>	Project Number <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member <b>John Kaniss</b>	

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Key Personnel	General Approach	Relevant Experience	Diverse Inclusion Plan			TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
Maximun Score	30	20	40	10				
1. Design2 LAST Inc.	20	15	5	10			50	5
2. Erickson McGovern Architects	30	10	10	5			55	4
3. Optimum Building	20	10	0	10			40	6
4. RGU Architecture	25	20	40	5			90	1
5. Schreiber Starling & Lane	<del>30</del> 25	10	30	10			75	2
6. Yost Grube Hall	30	15	20	5			70	3
7.								
8.								
9.								
10.								
11.								
12.								
13.								
14.								
15.								
16.								
17.								
18.								
19.								
20.								
21.								
22.								
23.								
24.								
25.								
26.								
27.								
28.								
29.								
30.								

COMMENTS:

*John Kaniss* 11/20/15  
 Committee Member's Signature Date

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Project description: <b>Design, Bridging Documents RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation: <b>12/4/2015</b>	Project Number: <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member: <b>Yelena Semenova</b>	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	<b>RGU Architecture 10:00</b>	<b>Schreiber Starling Lane 10:50</b>	<b>Yost Grube Hall 11:40</b>
<b>DESIGN</b>				
PROJECT APPROACH	20	20	20	15
QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL	25	25	25	25
<b>EXPERIENCE</b>				
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMMING	10	10	10	10
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: PREDESIGN	10	8	10	5
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: BRIDGING DOCUMENTS FOR DESIGN BUILD PROJECTS	10	5	10	5
EXPERIENCE WITH HIGH PERFORMANCE BUILDINGS	15	15	10	5
<b>OUTREACH</b>				
MWBE OUTREACH PLAN	10	10	10	10
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>FINAL RANK ORDER</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
COMMENTS:				



Committee Member's Signature

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Project description <b>Design, Bridging Documents RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation <b>12/4/2015</b>	Project Number <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member <b>Sara Wilder</b>	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	RGU Architecture 10:00	Schreiber Starling Lane 10:50	Yost Grube Hall 11:40
<b>DESIGN</b>				
PROJECT APPROACH	20	18	20	16
QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL	25	20	29	20
<b>EXPERIENCE</b>				
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMMING	10	10	10	10
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: PREDESIGN	10	9	10	7
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: BRIDGING DOCUMENTS FOR DESIGN BUILD PROJECTS	10	9	9	9
EXPERIENCE WITH HIGH PERFORMANCE BUILDINGS	15	13	14	13
<b>OUTREACH</b>				
MWBE OUTREACH PLAN	10	8	10	8
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>100</b>	<del>87</del> 87	97	83
<b>FINAL RANK ORDER</b>		2	1	3
COMMENTS:				

  
 Committee Member's Signature

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Project description: <b>Design, Bridging Documents RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation: <b>12/4/2015</b>	Project Number: <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member: <b>David Hruska</b>	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	RGU Architecture 10:00	Schreiber Starling Lane 10:50	Yost Grube Hall 11:40
<b>DESIGN</b>				
PROJECT APPROACH	20	12	15	10
QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL	25	20	22	14
<b>EXPERIENCE</b>				
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMMING	10	10	10	8
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: PREDESIGN	10	10	10	8
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: BRIDGING DOCUMENTS FOR DESIGN BUILD PROJECTS	10	10	10	8
EXPERIENCE WITH HIGH PERFORMANCE BUILDINGS	15	8	10	10
<b>OUTREACH</b>				
MWBE OUTREACH PLAN	10	8	9	8
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	100	78	84	68
<b>FINAL RANK ORDER</b>		2	1	3
COMMENTS:				

  
 Committee Member's Signature

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Project description <b>Design, Bridging Documents RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation <b>12/4/2015</b>	Project Number <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member <b>John Kaniss</b>	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	<b>RGU Architecture 10:00</b>	<b>Schreiber Starling Lane 10:50</b>	<b>Yost Grube Hall 11:40</b>
<b>DESIGN</b>				
PROJECT APPROACH	20	18	17	17
QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL	25	20	23	20
<b>EXPERIENCE</b>				
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMMING	10	10	10	7
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: PREDESIGN	10	10	10	10
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: BRIDGING DOCUMENTS FOR DESIGN BUILD PROJECTS	10	8	10	10
EXPERIENCE WITH HIGH PERFORMANCE BUILDINGS	15	12	13	10
<b>OUTREACH</b>				
MWBE OUTREACH PLAN	10	5	5	5
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>79</b>
<b>FINAL RANK ORDER</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
COMMENTS:				

  
 Committee Member's Signature

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION  
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

**This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record**

Project description <b>Design, Bridging Documents RFQ, RFP Clover Park Technical College</b>	
Date of Evaluation <b>12/4/2015</b>	Project Number <b>2016-173</b>
Name of Committee Member <b>Larry Clark</b>	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	RGU Architecture 10:00	Schreiber Starling Lane 10:50	Yost Grube Hall 11:40
<b>DESIGN</b>				
PROJECT APPROACH	20	17	17	15
QUALIFICATIONS OF KEY PERSONNEL	25	20	20	19
<b>EXPERIENCE</b>				
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMMING	10	10	9	8
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: PREDESIGN	10	7	8	7
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE: BRIDGING DOCUMENTS FOR DESIGN BUILD PROJECTS	10	7	8	6
EXPERIENCE WITH HIGH PERFORMANCE BUILDINGS	15	12	11	11
<b>OUTREACH</b>				
MWBE OUTREACH PLAN	10	9	10	8
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>FINAL RANK ORDER</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>
COMMENTS:				

 12-4-15  
 Committee Member's Signature