

Project description Campus Architect Whatcom Community College	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 16-827
Name of Committee Member Eve Magyar	

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

CRITERIA	POINTS	Studio Meng Strazzara 2:30	HKP Architects 2:45	RCM Architects 3:30	Zervas 4:15
ORGANIZATION					
MANAGEMENT PLAN	100	70	80	80	80
PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	100	80	80	80	80
PROJECT SCHEDULING	100	70	85	90	80
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	100	70	80	80	80
DESIGN					
PROJECT APPROACH/DESIGN PARAMETERS/AESTHETICS	100	70	80	80	80
QUALIFICATIONS - TEAM MEMBERS	100	75	80	80	80
QUALIFICATIONS - SUBCONSULTANTS	100	80	80	80	80
EXPERIENCE					
RELEVANT PROJECTS	100	90	90	90	90
OUTREACH					
DB INCLUSION	100	90	90	90	90
TOTAL SCORE	900	695	745	750	740
FINAL RANK ORDER					



Committee Member's Signature

Project description Campus Architect Whatcom Community College	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 16-827
Name of Committee Member Brian Keeley	

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

CRITERIA	POINTS	Studio Meng Strazzara 2:30	HKP Architects 2:45	RCM Architects 3:30	Zervas 4:15
ORGANIZATION					
MANAGEMENT PLAN	100	75	70	80	70
PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	100	80	85	85	80
PROJECT SCHEDULING	100	75	85	85	80
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	100	70	80	85	80
DESIGN					
PROJECT APPROACH/DESIGN PARAMETERS/AESTHETICS	100	80	70	85	80
QUALIFICATIONS - TEAM MEMBERS	100	80	85	85	85
QUALIFICATIONS - SUBCONSULTANTS	100	60	75	80	80
EXPERIENCE					
RELEVANT PROJECTS	100	75	80	85	85
OUTREACH					
DB INCLUSION	100	50	90	75	75
TOTAL SCORE	900	573	720	745	715
FINAL RANK ORDER					


 Committee Member's Signature

Project description Campus Architect Whatcom Community College	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 16-827
Name of Committee Member Kevin Barber	

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

CRITERIA	POINTS	Studio Meng Strazzara 2:30	HKP Architects 2:45	RCM Architects 3:30	Zervas 4:15
ORGANIZATION					
MANAGEMENT PLAN	100	70	95	90	95
PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	100	95	85	90	90
PROJECT SCHEDULING	100	85	95	85	80
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	100	90	85	80	90
DESIGN					
PROJECT APPROACH/DESIGN PARAMETERS/AESTHETICS	100	85	90	95	90
QUALIFICATIONS - TEAM MEMBERS	100	80	90	85	90
QUALIFICATIONS - SUBCONSULTANTS	100	90	85	85	85
EXPERIENCE					
RELEVANT PROJECTS	100	70	95	90	90
OUTREACH					
DB INCLUSION	100	90	85	85	85
TOTAL SCORE	900	755	805	785	795
FINAL RANK ORDER		4	1	3	2


 Committee Member's Signature

Project description Campus Architect Whatcom Community College	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 16-827
Name of Committee Member David Swanson	

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

CRITERIA	POINTS	Studio Meng Strazzara 2:30	HKP Architects 2:45	RCM Architects 3:30	Zervas 4:15
ORGANIZATION					
MANAGEMENT PLAN	100	90	80	90	90
PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	100	90	85	90	80
PROJECT SCHEDULING	100	80	80	80	85
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	100	90	80	80	80
DESIGN					
PROJECT APPROACH/DESIGN PARAMETERS/AESTHETICS	100	90	90	90	85
QUALIFICATIONS - TEAM MEMBERS	100	80	80	85	85
QUALIFICATIONS - SUBCONSULTANTS	100	60	70	70	80
EXPERIENCE					
RELEVANT PROJECTS	100	90	90	90	90
OUTREACH					
DB INCLUSION	100	100	80	80	85
TOTAL SCORE	900	770	735	765	760
FINAL RANK ORDER		1	4	3	2


 Committee Member's Signature


Project description Campus Architect Whatcom Community College	
Date of Evaluation 10/22/15	Project Number 16-827
Name of Committee Member Jonathan Martin	

**ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

CRITERIA	POINTS	Studio Meng Strazzara 2:30	HKP Architects 2:45	RCM Architects 3:30	Zervas 4:15
ORGANIZATION					
MANAGEMENT PLAN	100	90	95	95	90
PRODUCTION CAPABILITIES	100	90	95	95	90
PROJECT SCHEDULING	100	90	95	95	90
BUDGETING COST CONTROL	100	90	95	95	90
DESIGN					
PROJECT APPROACH/DESIGN PARAMETERS/AESTHETICS	100	80	95	95	90
QUALIFICATIONS - TEAM MEMBERS	100	80	95	95	90
QUALIFICATIONS - SUBCONSULTANTS	100	100	100	100	100
EXPERIENCE					
RELEVANT PROJECTS	100	80	95	93	90
OUTREACH					
DB INCLUSION	100	100	100	100	100
TOTAL SCORE	900	800	865	863	830
FINAL RANK ORDER		4	1	2	3



 Committee Member's Signature