1 Capital Projects Advisory Review Board

2 Board Development Committee

3 Meeting Summary February 25, 2022

4	1.	Committee member Olivia Yang called the meeting to order at 1:03 pm. A quorum was
5		established.
6		

- **2.** Welcome and introductions. Olivia Yang welcomed the attendees and led roll call.
 - Committee members in attendance unless otherwise noted:

•	Walter Schacht, Mithun	CPARB Co-chair
•	Lisa van der Lugt, OMWBE (absent)	CPARB
•	Irene Reyes, The Glove Lady	CPARB
•	Olivia Yang, Washington State University	CPARB
•	Robynne Thaxton, Thaxton Parkinson PLLC (absent)	CPARB
•	Janice Zahn, Port of Seattle	CPARB
•	Bill Dobyns, Lydig <mark>CBRE</mark>	CPARB
•	Santosh Kuruvilla, Exeltech	CPARB
•	Linneth Riley Hall, Sound Transit	CPARB

18 Other attendees include:

•	Talia I	Baker,	DES
		,	

- Mallorie Davies
- **3.** Review and approve agenda. Walter Schacht asked Olivia Yang to be the chair of the committee for today's meeting, as he was returning from a meeting. Olivia Yang reviewed the agenda.
 - a. Changes: Bill Dobyns asked for his company to be changed in the agenda, as his old one was listed.
 - b. Approval of today's agenda: Motion (Santosh Kuruvilla), Second (Olivia Yang), passed to approve the meeting agenda.

4. Review and approve last meeting's minutes.

- a. **Changes:** Bill Dobyns asked for his company to be changed in the meeting minutes, as his old one was listed. Santosh asked if he could be listed as absent on these meeting minutes.
- Approval of last meeting's minutes: Motion (Bill Dobyns), Seconded (Santosh Kuruvilla), passed to approve last meeting's minutes; Santosh Kuruvilla abstained as he was not at the last meeting.

5. Invitation to the public to participate.

a. Olivia Yang explained this committee meeting is open to participation from noncommittee members.

6. Committee Responsibilities.

- a. PRC onboarding
 - Oliva: Reported that she talked with Walter about PRC onboarding. She suggested that for PRC to be efficient and comprehensive it might be better to

46		wait for the new chair elections, which will be in May. That way, when it goes
47		through, we can let the new leadership talk about necessary training, any
48		transactional issues, the distribution frequency for rotation of members, and
49		whether there a need for conflict of interest trainings. The AG's can do these
50		trainings; it might be something PRC wants to do. She suggested that the group
51		facilitate PRC work, have their elections, and ask PRC to take these actions on
52		themselves.
53	ii.	Talia: When we get a new member to PRC, I send a welcome email (which I sent
54		to the group yesterday) in which I outline how the group is run and how to
55		communicate. I give them a link to the PRC meeting page, I direct them to take
56		the online appointee training with the Governor's office, and I remind them that
57		they need to be familiar with the RCW and guidelines, and also the PRC bylaws.
58		I ask them to review these before the first meeting that they will be attending. On
59		the bottom of the PRC homepage, I have the training posted that Janice
60		originally created in 2018, which outlines how PRC runs and how to be on a
61		review panel, along with some of the basic codes of conduct and the location of
62		the meeting.
63	iii.	
64		back from the Governor's office?
65	iv	Talia: I do not. The Governor's office will not send a list of who has taken the
66		training, but I can send them a list and they might confirm. They will confirm for
67		board members, though.
68	V	Olivia: The new member information and panel procedures—how does that
69	••	work? Do you say, "here is the information" and they read it? How does that
70		happen now?
71	vi.	
72	vi.	and told them to collect their questions. I try to keep the current member list up to
73		date and they can reach out to members to ask questions. I also ask them to
74		bring questions to the next meeting. They then have a Q&A session for newer
75		members to ask questions. I have also asked the veteran members to consider
76		providing lessons learned and guidance.
77	vii.	
78		Talia: Mike Sehinn, who is the current chair, and Ed Peters.
79		Olivia: Mukilteo school district.
80		Bill: Ed is with Edmunds School District.
81		Olivia: Presumably this would happen at an annual meeting or a business
82		meeting of the PRC? How often do these business meetings happen?
83	xii.	Talia: Originally it was once a year. But there was a huge turnover one year, so I
84		think Ruston Hall did it twice, one in July and one in January. I was pushing
85		people to have a PPT that could be updated as needed. Janice supplied one that
86		we got in 2018. I try to make sure that we have time scheduled in July and as
87		needed. We had time in September, but we did not spend a lot of time welcoming
88		new members. In January we had a lot of topics to discuss so we didn't welcome
89		new members. I'm hoping to schedule a half-hour in March to focus on new
90		member onboarding.
91	xiii.	Olivia: It seems like half an hour may not be enough, but I support you, and I
92	AIII.	would suggest an hour. This is nuanced—it is not black and white.
93	xiv.	Talia: I leave this open to the subject matter experts. I am open to new
94	AIV.	suggestions. No one wants to walk through the RCWs one at a time.
5.		

05		
95	XV.	Olivia: In lieu of going through the RCW, who is to attend the GC/CM Design-
96		Build trainings? Is it true that the elections are in May?
97	xvi.	Talia: Yes, they try to mirror CPARB as much as possible.
98	xvii.	v ,
99	xviii.	Linneth: Talia did a good job of summarizing the training of new PRC members.
100		Not everyone one has the same understanding that this is the format of the
101		training of the new members. I encourage us to go back to what you were
102		speaking about a while ago, Olivia, when you mentioned that in May we could
103		ask PRC to take over the training. This is good, but we need to give them
104		recommendations for training, such as the annual training of PRC members
105		going through the process. Recently the Sound Transit rep who joined PRC, Eza.
106		We had our own training sessions. Eza thought the reference materials were
107		good. The piece missing was walking through the materials with new members
108		and answering questions in real time. We also took one of the applications that
100		neither of us was assigned to. We reviewed it and I asked her to give me the
110		questions as if she was assigned to it. When she was assigned, it was as if she
111		
		had been on PRC—she was very engaged in the process and asked some really
112		good questions, I thought.
113	xix.	
114		of them who are new to PRC, they did not have anything like this. To go back to
115		what I was saying, what I meant to say was that to make this seamless, we
116		should wait for the elections, so the new vice-chair can take all this input from us
117		and go back to PRC with an idea of what they think their idea is—that is my
118		suggestion.
119	XX.	Linneth: I agree with that.
120	xxi.	Olivia: I am suggesting that after the May elections there should be a
121		conversation between the vice-chair and CPARB, CPARB's smaller groups,
122		chair, or whatever, to talk about continuing improvements that can be made to
123		PRC as a next step.
124	xxii.	Linneth: I like that. I also think that it would be this committee, and it would mean
125		talking to the chair and vice-chair about expectations. When I was chair, I don't
126		recall anyone talking to me about specific expectations about roles. Of course
127		there are bylaws you follow, but it would have been helpful to have someone talk
128		it through with me.
129	xxiii.	Olivia: I agree, my concern is about the normal succession planning where the
130		vice-chair becomes the chair, how this year both chair and vice-chair are
130		private—it might be a catalyst for us to say, "maybe we reboot."
131	vviv	
132	XXIV.	Talia: The only reason that happened this year was because we couldn't get a public person that wanted to be a vice-chair.
134	XXV.	Olivia: Oh Walter is back, please take over.
135	xxvi.	Irene: Just a thought, if you are saying we wait till May, because we are having
136		the board and PRC elections, there are new members that need to be given
137		training first. May is too long to wait. Did they attend right away from starting?
138	xxvii.	Talia: They are scheduled to start at the next meeting. I sent my welcome email
139		and asked them to start reviewing documents and to look at the training that
140		Janice created online, and to also take the Governor's online training and to bring
141		questions to the next meeting. We set time aside at the next business meeting
142		which is in March. The next meeting is in May. The next scheduled training will
143		be in July.
144	xxviii.	Irene: Who keeps tabs on who has taken the training?
		-

	-	
145	xxix.	Talia: We don't have a methodology for this. The Governor's office does track
146		who took the training; so they can confirm board members and sometimes PRC
147		members.
148	XXX.	Irene: Can we create a form for members to list what they have done, like a
149		paragraph to say, "have you completed this training?" Then we hold them
150		accountable, as they can email that back to us.
151	xxxi.	Talia: I like that.
152	xxxii.	Olivia: They can send us a paragraph. It is a proactive thing on an interim basis.
153		A good training is interactive; what we should be doing is a mock review, like
154		what Linneth said.
155	xxxiii.	Irene: On most boards I sit on, there is a commitment document that you sign
156		that reiterates what your duties are. Members need to be held accountable; we
157		all should have something like this—a commitment that says we have read and
158		received certain trainings and expectations.
159	xxxiv.	Santosh: Olivia, as you were framing this topic, board improvement, we got into
160		training. Are there really two aspects to the training? One is the orientation
161		aspect—we have PRC members coming in and Talia sends out the welcome
162		email with the class. The other aspect is orientation for the PRC leadership, as
163		they are not aware of their roles. How is all of that being addressed? Are they
164		separate or the same issues?
165	XXXV.	Talia: With the last couple of chairs, I shared a responsibilities and collaboration
166		document. I sent that out. It is the PRC vice-chair document. It outlines how we
167		communicate back and forth. I think we should put something in there about
168		mentorship. I wonder if the chairs mentor the vice-chairs because they are
169		supposed to take over leadership at the end of the year. I don't know if this
170		happens.
171	xxxvi.	Walter: This always depends on the individuals. Sorry I am late; I was meeting
172		with the past chair. From my perspective, there are two key issues to be
173		addressed. At the chair level, there has been an issue relative to being agnostic
174		to project delivery methods. Jim Dugan was all design-build, all the time; he
175		spent most of his time talking about design-build. This is a conversation that any
176		of us can have as citizens. The PRC leaders' membership and project delivery
177		methods are to be agnostic. Part of their role is to make sure public owners
178		understand and are following the statute, which is where we are discovering that
179		we have a problem, because our statute states that you go to PRC before you
180		commence with procurement. I think that the chair and vice-chair of CPARB
181		should sit with the PRC chair to share board member feedback, and we should
182		ask them not to promote or disparage project delivery methods, but to help
183		owners pick the one that fits their circumstances. I think we can implement that
184		right away if Janice and Bill are willing to meet with the chair and vice-chair. The
185		2 nd piece, which can be built into a training, is that PRC members have a habit of
186		promoting their own businesses when they participate in panels. It was reported
187		that Tim Buckley wanted to know if the public owner doing GC/CM was going to
188		hire a third-party engineering firm. They are now answerable to him, as to how
189		they are doing the value engineering. Mike Schinn acknowledged this. The board
190		meeting did not get into the issues and indicated that things weren't going well.
191		Again, I think that with our board chair being a former PRC chair, that is an easy
192		one-on-one conversation, and I don't think we should wait for a training to fix the
193		problem.

194	xxxvii.	Janice: I agree, Walter. We incorporated PRC questions around process and
195		what codes of conduct the board development committee would set forth, and it
196		has taken awhile. If we need to decide what we are going to do, I would say the
197		code of conduct should not be something that is a conversation between the
198		PRC and CPARB chairs. It needs to be part of us reiterating the code of conduct
199		expectations. I am going to reiterate those expectations at the PRC board
200		meeting. Regarding the second question of RCW code interpretation—of when
201		they can go to PRC and how far you can go through procurement without getting
202		approval, I would say that I am uncomfortable having that be a conversation
203		between the two PRC and CPARB vice-chairs, because I think that in the future
204		there could be code interpretations of the RCW. For example, we create a
205		document that says, "here is how we at CPARB determine the boundaries along
206		these grey areas," and that is the information that is then passed to the PRC
207		when they are conducting themselves through the approval process. There is a
208		band-aid for now, then a broader conversation.
209	xxxviii.	Walter: Regarding responsibilities, I suggest we do this and report back about
210		what we are hearing from stakeholders. They are concerned about lack of
211		neutrality and the public member perception that private sector members of the
212		board are selling their services. We can't tell anyone what they shouldn't apply
213		after they have completed procurement, and I think this requires a statutory fix
214		which says prior to advertising, you need approval. I don't think best practices
215		would solve that. I think that if the PRC were to tell someone that they wouldn't
216		get it, we couldn't say no based on best practices. One we could do collegially,
217		and the other is a statutory fix if you want it to change.
218	xxxix.	Janice: I agree. There is a separation in the pathway to fix the loophole that
219		appears to be in the RCW. How do we create the best practice to expect what
220		will happen, then educate our public agency fellow owners so that as much as
221		possible, we are not choosing a path that is not a best practice.
222	xl.	Linneth: PRC is appointed by CPARB, so I think it would be a good idea if the
223		CPARB chair attended one of the PRC meetings. The CPARB chair can provide
224		remarks to the PRC committee, because a majority of committee members would
225		be there. In March, this would be a good opportunity to talk and remind PRC
226		about the need to remain neutral and some of the other things that have been
227		brought up. We need to remind folks that CPARB can remove PRC members. If
228		there are bad actors, we can remove them. If the need occurs for us to do that,
229		then we remove them. I don't think we should wait for that message from the
230		vice-chair and CPARB.
231	xli.	Walter: Let's take a couple more comments and move on. We still need a second
232		co-chair and need to fulfill a public member, and we need to think about what we
233		will need for elections.
234	xlii.	Santosh: I agree with the issue you raised about PRC committee members
235		crossing the line. There is similar conduct, and I will call it an organizational
236		conflict of interest. There are PRC members on the committee who go offline and
237		lobby PRC owners to get through the process. From the outside, it looks like a
238		clique. We need to provide some sort of guidance on this. A few people know this
239		stuff and have to help, I get it. But we need to maintain neutrality in conflicts of
240		interest. These folks may not be working members but are engaged in PRC.
241		Another side issue is when PRC and CPARB members lobby for a particular
242		owner, we need guidance on this; it looks like a clique and I will leave it at that.

243	xliii.	Irene: My comment is a follow-up to the comments Linneth made. When we have
244		identified bad actors or people engaging in malpractice, do we have a process,
245		and what is our criteria? The board has the authority to fire bad actors, but do we
246		have a process for this?
247	xliv.	Talia: It should be brought to the PRC staff member. But I think it should be
248		brought to the chair's attention so it doesn't go to the CPARB level.
249	xlv.	Irene: Yes, but they need to have a process. For us, when it has elevated to the
250		board, we need to have a process. It is all a part of the accountability.
251	xlvi.	Talia: There is nothing in the bylaws except for
252	xlvii.	Walter: We need to go to other topics, but maybe we should review the
253		highlighted sentence.
254	xlviii.	Irene: It says subsequently, which means after.
255	xlix.	Walter: I don't think we have time to discuss it here. We need to look at the
256		implications. There has always been a sense that for members of PRC or
257		CPARB, being a member of the public shouldn't be perceived as a conflict of
258		interest; we need the expertise from people from different disciplines. If you have
259		reviewed a project, you are not able to bid or participate on that project.
260	1	Bill: Then you won't get enough people. As a contractor, I would never have
261		volunteered if that was the case. I would have been excluded from everything
262		that went to the PRC.
263	li	Walter: If you had an interest in a project that you wanted to pursue, then you
264		could recuse yourself from that panel.
265	lii	Talia: There are members who do that.
266		Santosh: This is a huge topic that WSDOT is picking up; they are calling it
267		organizational conflict of interest. There is a committee that is being formed with
268		
269		AGC, WSDOT, and ACC where a group of eight firms help with the document
		delivery of a design-build project and then determine whether they can
270 271	lisz	participate in the design construction phase. We have a battle going on here.
	IIV.	Janice: Bill and Walter, maybe it is the other way of looking at it. If it is a project
272		that you are intending to pursue, then recusing yourself from serving on the
273		panel, (and if we have, what, 30 members now, Talia?) if it is project-specific we
274		need six minimum, and we usually assign eight. So hopefully there are many
275		panelists that can serve on that panel. We would not end up in a place where we
276		do not have panel members. It's not that you are on a panel, therefore you
277		cannot propose; it is if you are going to be providing any kind of guidance, you
278		cannot serve on that panel.
279	IV.	Walter: I will make one last comment. I am on a panel, I am an architect, and I
280		know I am teaming to pursue that, so I look at the procurement and say this is a
281		bad procurement, do I vote against it? I think it goes to the fact that it influences
282		your opinion, and you decide that you don't want to be the bad person.
283		positions
284	i.	Walter: Let's switch to the co-chair position. I reached out to Linneth, who I think
285		would be enormously capable, but she has other things going on and is unable to
286		do it at this time. We don't need to decide today; we can decide at our next
287		meeting. We do need to replace the public position that Bill filled, so I don't know,
288		maybe we can get Janet Jansen.
289	ii.	Bill: Didn't she just get put on the subcontracting committee?
290		Walter: I can't confirm. I really like her and think she is a thoughtful, collaborative
291		person. We do not need to decide today, but we need to make a nomination

292		going forward. If you want to think about it, it would nice to be have a list of a few
293		people. I can reach out to Janet to see if people are okay with that.
294	iv.	Bill: Do the chair and vice-chair have to be CPARB members, or can they just be
295		a public member at large?
296	۷.	Walter: They do not. There is no requirement that you have to be a board
297		member to be on the committee or an officer.
298	vi.	Janice: Because of the board development piece, there was thinking that it would
299		be helpful if it was someone who was or had been on CPARB, because having
300		that knowledge might be helpful.
301	vii.	Walter: In most organizations the board development committee is chaired by the
302		immediate past chair because of their understanding of things. We have had one
303		succession when the vice-chair became chair, but we don't have that in place on
304		the board.
305	viii.	Janice: What about Rebecca? Is she back from sabbatical and does she have
306		interest?
307	ix.	Walter: No. She is back to work and her children are doing well, but I couldn't
308		imagine that she would be interested. The work she did is a lifetime's worth of
309		work.
310	x	Talia: What about Andrew Thompson?
311		Walter: I think he would be busy.
312		Bill: He is a private company too.
313	xiii.	
314		find
315	xiv.	
316		anyone else. [Al] I believe it won't be one of the three of us because we do not
317		have bandwidth. This is a part of the succession planning that I don't think we
318		have done as well, and here we are.
319	VV	Oliva: I will support this; Janice, Linneth?
320	xv. xvi.	
321		Walter: The only person we know that could not resist was Olivia. Everyone else
322	Ανι.	was out and she is the reverse of Michael Corleone, right? If you can take that on
323		as an assignment and report back to the committee, that would be great.
323	xviii.	Walter: Now we are onto board chair and vice-chair; anyone contemplating
325	Ανι	applying for this position in the May elections would be advised to recuse
325		themselves from the rest of the conversation. Does that make sense?
320	viv	Olivia: Can you summarize the next steps of the PRC?
327	XIX. XX.	
329	^^.	have a direct conversation with the chair and vice-chair of the PRC and say, "this
330		is what we are hearing, this is what the board and external stakeholders are
330 331		concerned about, and with our years of experience on PRC, we recommend"
332		
		We don't actually get to tell them what to do, but given Janice and Bill's positions
333		and experience, it is hard to believe people wouldn't listen to Bill and Janice's
334 225		advice. One of us should work with Talia to figure out what we have for training
335		and what we need to put a portfolio of tools together.
336	XXİ.	0
337		the PRC chair and vice-chair, we give them the decision about whether at the
338		next board meeting in March they will deliver this message, or Bill and I will show
339		up and make the comments. I want to give them the respect to decide whether
340		they want to give their comments or not.
341	xxii.	Bill: I say the latter. We oversee them and this is an oversight situation.

342	xxiii.	Janice: I just want to put it out there, because I want to give people a way out
343		with dignity in the case that we point something out that they didn't see and they
344		understand and acknowledge and take the next step in explaining that to the
345		PRC. That means our message has been received and they will take those next
346		steps.
347	xxiv.	
348		and buy-in before we make the public statement, but we should make it.
349	XXV.	Olivia: If your approach is that the two of you sit with the two of them and you
350		have that conversation, then you move forward with the outcome of the
351		conversation, I think it goes back to a point raised by Irene in that a remedy
352		needs to occur sooner than later.
353	xxvi.	Janice: I agree.
354	xxvii.	Janice: What I am hearing is that Bill is recommending that we have the
355		conversation with the two of them, but I will show up at the full meeting to share
355		the organizational business of conflicts of interest, code of conduct, and our
		-
357		observations.
358	xxviii.	
359	xxix.	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
360	XXX.	3 , 1
361	xxxi.	Walter: Thanks and bye. Where did Santosh and Irene go? Are they thinking
362		about running for board positions?
363	xxxii.	, 5
364	xxxiii.	BREAK
365	xxxiv.	Walter: The last time we did this was as a recommendation. This time we can
366		make a recommendation and request action. We asked everyone to submit a
367		letter of interest and had a format to agree upon. Last time it wasn't required that
368		they follow a format, but if we choose to use the same format, this time they
369		would have to. It asked about their interest and what their agenda was in seeking
370		the officer position. Then you file your letter of interest. That is piece number one.
371		We could go out and solicit people who we want to submit an application, or we
372		could make our own board recommendations. Piece number two is the bylaws
373		have that peculiar language stipulating that you need a majority of the board to
374		be elected to an officer position, not a majority of the people sitting in the
375		meeting. You used to need ten votes. It's not a majority of the people in the
376		meeting, it's a majority of the quorum of a full board meeting.
377	XXXV	Talia: We now have 25.
378		Walter: The legislature does not vote.
379	xxxvii.	Talia: Right, but our membership is 25 if you count the legislative
380	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	representatives.
381	xxxviii.	Walter: Yes, but you need thirteen votes to be elected.
382	XXXIX.	Olivia: No because it is 25 minus four.
383		
	xl.	
384		11. Olivie: Se of the 11, two one muniper? That is alway, right?
385	xli.	Olivia: So of the 11, two are running? That is okay, right?
386	xlii.	
387		meeting. That was a huge problem when Bill ran against Alan. We agreed in the
388		second meeting that for whomever got a majority of the votes, even if it did not
389		represent a majority of the board, we would revote and vote the person who had
390		the majority in. That is what happened when Bill ran against me—none of us got
391		10 votes, but I had majority of the votes and when we re-ran it was unanimous.

392		The third thing, which is not written down anywhere, is when the gavel was
393		passed to me, we did it in the beginning of the election meeting, so the new chair
394		just came suddenly in mid-meeting, which was awkward. With Rebecca and I, we
395		did the election at the end of the meeting, so the new board chair joined by
396		ending the meeting.
397	xliii.	
398	xliv.	
399		that gave Rebecca the summer to prepare for the September board meeting.
400		Those are the three things that we have developed over time to deal with these
401		issues. Are we good with this?
402	xlv.	
402		
		Talia: I think we have it in the bylaws.
404	xlvii.	
405		board and voted on the fact that we would vote a second time if no one got a
406	,	majority of the board vote.
407	xlviii.	Linneth: I was wondering if, for example, your third point when you said the new
408		chair is the one to adjourn the meeting, was that written down or will it now be
409		passed down verbally?
410	xlix.	
411		nothing in the bylaws that say that you vote the new chair in and they take over
412		immediately; it does not tell you what to do. It should say that when you vote the
413		chair in they become chair at the end of the meeting. We just did that by
414		handshake agreement.
415	I.	Linneth: It would be nice if it was written down. I think even on the PRC a lot of
416		things were lost as things were passed down.
417	li.	Walter: The are other bylaw issues—minor corrections that need to be made.
418	lii.	
419		for applications, do we ask Janice and Bill to run again, or do we want to
420		recommend that we continue with the same chair and vice-chair?
421	liii	Irene: Can I go first? I like how we are today. Janice and Bill are open minded.
422		There is nothing wrong right now, we are just caught in the process. We had
423		untimely resignations last year. I am good with keeping them. How do we do
424		that? What I mean is not opening up elections because they have not completed
425		their term. If we are unhappy with their service, then we are not being fair.
426	liv.	
427	IIV.	the elections, they will have had their full term. I am a supporter of Janice and am
427		I am fine with Bill also. To answer your question, we know they will put their letter
428		of interest in. We leave it to them to submit a letter and we do not go out and
		-
430		recruit anyone.
431	lv.	,
432		Personally, I would like to see them complete their goals and the goals they have
433		for CPARB. I think we are on a good track, and it would be great if they could
434		continue to complete what they have started. They are in the initial phase of
435		getting everyone and going through the various phases of storming and norming.
436		l wouldn't change it.
437	lvi.	8
438		again. That is my opinion.
439	lvii.	Olivia: That is what we are all saying. What we do relative to recruitment is that
440		we let them turn in their letters of interest and at the May meeting we can vote. Is
441		that too simplistic?

442	lviii.	Walter: That is fine. I am not aware of who else wants to do it. The problem may
443		be less who is electing them and more who will follow them. We could go one
444		step further and say, here is our draft application, send it to Talia as a pre-read,
445		then have the board review and approve that format. We can say, "here is what
446		we are recommending, we can vote in April as opposed to May, and here is how
447		the new officers should take office after the meeting." We could vote on that or
448		we could present all of this at the meeting.
449	lix.	Olivia: When we developed questions that the letters should cover, not everyone
450		saw it. Linneth is new and the questions came after Santosh. Irene, did you see
451		the questions?
452	lx	Irene: I think I got it. It was sent a long time ago.
453		Olivia: Walter, it might be helpful to send those questions to the committee again,
454		but you will need to not send it to Janice and Bill.
455	lxii.	
455	1711.	to go a step further and say the board committee met, and here are our three
450		recommendations, and further, the board encourages our current chair and vice-
458		
	l	chair to apply? It is not that we are recommending them, but it is a hand signal.
459	lxiii.	Janice: The incumbents are doing a good job and we hope that they continue to
460	1.4.	serve.
461	lxiv.	
462		doing a good job.
463		Irene: I think it is encouraging for them to hear that.
464		Linneth: I like that approach.
465		Walter: How many new members are on the board?
466		Talia: A lot. We have eight new members and one returning member.
467	lxix.	
468	lxx.	Irene: That is what I am thinking.
469	lxxi.	Walter: It is 2:55 and the co-chair makes a motion to end the meeting.
470	lxxii.	Talia: When will we meet again?
471	lxxiii.	Walter: Thank you. I am missing two steps. We need to set the agenda and date
472		for the next meeting.
473	lxxiv.	Olivia: If you suggest that we meet every other month, would that give Janice and
474		Bill time to talk to PRC? Maybe we could get open time to talk about that?
475	lxxv.	Walter: That is fine. If we want to meet on Fridays, can we meet at 8am? I am
476		trying not to work on Fridays.
477	lxxvi.	Olivia: Talia when you do the doodle poll, ask people for standing times?
478		Walter: I can do Fridays; let's just do it in the morning?
479		Oliva: I agree.
480		Talia: I was restricted by Bill's calendar, but we don't have that anymore.
481		Walter: That is what I was thinking.
482		Olivia: We will let Talia do her magic.
483	Ixxxii.	
484		with boards and commissions about this. I think we have someone applying for
485		the architect's position. Are we just going to talk about member training, or will
485		someone bring something to review?
480 487	lxxxiii.	
487 488	7. Next steps.	Onvia. 165 vvalici, but only because I salu triat I will do it.
488 489	•	lanice, and Linneth will meet to discuss whe also they would recommend apply
489 490		Janice, and Linneth will meet to discuss who else they would recommend apply.
450	[AI]	

- 491b. Talia Baker will send out a doodle poll to gather standing meeting times for committee492members. [Al]
 - c. Walter will resend the application questions to the group. [AI]
 - d. Olivia will bring something to the next meeting for review when discussing member training. [AI]
- 496 **8.** Adjourn. The meeting adjourned at 2:51pm.

493

494 495