Business Equity/Diverse Business Inclusion Committee Capital Projects Advisory Review Board

17 December 2021

Committee focus:

- Comprehensive review of RCW 39.10 with the lens of equity (include RCW 39.04 & 39.80).
- Create consistency in statutory language.
- Evaluate and bring forth effective strategies and opportunities for firms to compete.

🖂 Olivia Yang	Washington State University	CPARB /Committee Member
⊠Santosh Kuruvilla	Exeltech	CPARB /Committee Member
🗆 Lisa Van der Lugt	OMWBE	CPARB /Committee Member
Charles Wilson	DES	CPARB /Committee Member
⊠ Irene Reyes	Excel Supply Company	CPARB /Committee Member
⊠ Janice Zahn	Port of Seattle	CPARB /Committee Member
🛛 Jackie Bayne	WSDOT OEO	Committee Member
Cheryl Stewart	Inland Northwest AGC	Committee Member
, X Chip Tull	Hoffman Construction	Committee Member
🛛 Aleanna Kondelis	Akana	Committee Member
🛛 Brenda Nnambi	Sound Transit	Committee Member
🖂 Linda Womack	MBDA	Committee Member
⊠ Cathy Robinson	City of Lynnwood	Committee Member
Shelly Henderson	Mukilteo School District	Committee Member
Keith Michel	Forma	Committee Member
🛛 Young Sang Song	Song Consulting	Committee Member
Stephanie Caldwell	Absher Construction	Committee Member
Bill Dobyns	Lydig	CPARB
🗌 Bobby Forch	Forch Consulting	CPARB
🗆 Lily Keefe	USDOT - Northwest SBTRC	
🗌 Sarah Erdman	OMWBE	
🗆 Van Collins	ACEC Washington	
🗆 Cathy Ridley	Exeltech	
🛛 Maja Huff	Washington State University	
Jerry Vanderwood	AGC of Washington	
Timolin Abrom	OMWBE	
🛛 Melissa Van Gorkom	Senate Committee Services	
Amy Stenvall	Mukilteo School District	
Cindy Magruder	University of Washington	
Carrie Whitton	Forma	
Rachel Murata	OMWBE	Representing Lisa Van der Lugt
☐ John Rose ⊠ Jolene Skinner	MRSC	
Curt Gimmestad	Lnl Abshar Construction	
Eric Alozie	Absher Construction NEW Construction	
Jerry Vanderwood	AGC	
Hans Hansen	Bailey Construction	
Bill Frare	DES	

AGENDA

Item	Purpose	Start
Welcome and committee member introductions	Information	10:00 am
Review & approve agenda	Action	10:05 am
Review & approve 11/19/21 meeting minutes	Action	10:10 am
Invitation to the public to participate	-	10:15 am
Survey	Discussion	10:25 am
Report Outline	Discussion	10:45 am
New Business	Discussion	11:00 am
"Final word" (from committee members)	Discussion	11:15 am

Adjourn	Action	11:30 am
DIGITAL CONFERENCE ACCESS		
The committee meeting will be conducted entir	ely by Zoom digital confere	encing.

Online <u>https://wsu.zoom.us/j/97615048848</u> Meeting ID: 976 1504 8848

Join by telephone Dial: US: +1 253 215 8782 or +1 669 900 9128 or +1 646 558 8656 Meeting ID: 976 1504 8848

Olivia Yang - Washington State University 206 718 0787 olivia.yang@wsu.edu

Santosh Kuruvilla – Exeltech Consulting 206 713 1241 santosh@xltech.com

Item: Welcome and committee member introductions

- Call to Order
- Quorum confirmed

Action by: BE/BDI Committee Status: Approved and complete

Item: Review & approve agenda

• Agenda Approved.

Action by: BE/BDI Committee

Status: Approved and complete

Item: Review & approve 11/19/21 meeting minutes

• 11/19/21 minutes – wsdot abbreviation correction.

Action by: BE/DBI Committee Status: Approved

Item: Public Comment

None.

Action by: N/A Status: N/A

Item: Survey

- Draft Survey presented and reviewed
- Due date for survey completion? Add to Survey cover letter.
- Discussed "other comments" section. Is one enough, or is
- What is the time frame from date sent out to when responses are needed?
 - Send out beginning of January
 - Comment by End of January (25th?). CPARB Meeting is February 10. Goal to receive feedback to be able to provide to the board at the February meeting.
- Avenues of outreach discussed.
- Format Feedback:

- Separate leading question from the paragraph in Question 7.
- Should "DBE" in Question 7 actually read "Diver Business" instead?
- Should Question 8 be expanded to be more of an invitation rather than simply "other comments?
- Concerns around duplicate responses discussed. If in Survey Monkey there is a way to weed out duplicates.
- Do we focus this on 39.10 or are we interested in all experiences in Public Works?
 - Update question 1 instead of "RCW 39.10" say "Public Works"? Clarify delivery methods?
 Should be we more deliberate about referencing the legislation?
 - Should be we more deliberate about referencing the legislation?

Action by: Olivia and Stantosh

Status: In progress

Item: Report Outline

- Discussion about report due to legislature due in June.
- Draft should be done during May so that CPARB can review.
- Draft Outline for the report shared on screen.
- Looking at this from the lens of how we make diverse business as first choice. And the fact that CPARB is an advisory committee.
- Thoughts, comments, reactions:
 - Multiple people appreciate the outline, from owner's perspective and contractor's perspective.
 - Like the idea of not duplicating work. A good way to highlight policies that do and do not apply in legislation.
 - Narrowing the focus for biggest bang for the buck.
 - Push back on section 20 calling this effort "best practices"?
 - Bonding and prompt payment have been one of the biggest topics. Offer that inclusion plans resources needs to be included?
 - o Include practices and ideas about how to track and report inclusion?
 - Look back at Section 20 verbiage to be sure we are addressing everything named in the legislation.
 - When and who will be involved in the process of pulling together the report and manual.
 - If there is general consensus then potentially the following:
 - Think about report to CPARB in Feb. Talk about outline and any modifications
 - Hoping to count on everyone's engagement. Ask if we have thoughts about particular topics, or how the RCW could be interpreted or amended, share/socialize to come up with the report.
 - Goal should be to bring up the fact that more work needs to be done in other arenas, instead of focusing on one or two. Identify under examined categories.
 - \circ $\;$ $\;$ Put the Report outline in the Teams folder for people to be able to provide comments on.
 - Come up with a good narrative, include those things that are discriminatory behaviors and practices.
 - Do we already have a solid list of who the survey will go to? Make sure that the list is as compressive as possible.
 - Be sure we are on the same page that around Best Practices/Common Practices, be sure that we are identifying the discriminatory and non- discriminatory behaviors and practices.
 - Create a movement towards actionable strategies.
 - o Is the intent of the outline a formulaic manual or philosophical direction?
 - Actionable recommendation keep it high level and simple to keep it actionable and simple.
 - Who is organizing and the report/appendix items? Keep it unfiltered but keep it consistent, succinct and concise.
 - \circ $\;$ $\;$ There is no finish line. We need to be looking at ways to move the needle.
 - Be sure we have a product that will satisfy the bill both the guideline and the recommended state lay changes. We have the latitude to create the manual that makes the most sense to this group, because the previously publish CPARB best practices manuals each look different.
 - \circ Be cognizant of the charge of Section 20.

Item: New Business

• Skipped.

Action by: N/A Status: N/A

Item: Final Words

- Public contracting has largely been developed around contracts awarded as lowest responsible contractors, and that perspective should be considered as we are doing the work of this committee.
- Appreciate continuing to focus and refine.
- Happy Holidays!
- We respect every opinion, and we shouldn't feel negated or discouraged when they differ.
- Appreciate the hard work of this committee and we are going to have a very helpful product at the end of this.
- Transparency is critically important in the process to have the best work product. Interested in hearing about next steps.
- Words matter and as we look at it as a group having intentionality around what we are doing, and giving grace. Maybe we can talk about where the recommendations get tested. How do we make sure our thoughts on the barriers is actually representative of the larger group. It will be fundamental to the output of the committee.
- Thank you for the open discussion and diverse perspectives.

Action by: N/A Status: N/A

Adjourn 11:32

Chat Record from Meeting:

00:17:16 Cindy Magruder: The survey appears to be targeted at 39.1 ORCW. Will there be a definition provided so firms understand this?

00:17:46 Stephanie Caldwell: Sorry for logging on late. Stephanie Caldwell

00:26:22 Rachel Murata (she/her) OMWBE: This is in Survey Monkey, right? It has an option to disable the ability to respond more than once from the same device.

00:31:12 Brenda Nnambi: Recommend using the term "diverse" businesses rather than "DBE" since that term is tied to federal funded transportation.

00:31:48 Shelly Henderson: Have to step away for a minute

00:34:29 Aleanna Kondelis: Just to capture for the record: Can the "question" be pulled out to stand out on question 7? Did we intentionally use DBE in question 7? Can we use diverse business? On question 8 can we add more such as "additional comments on barriers, practices to combat or other issues that impact diverse business inclusion in public contracting."

00:36:13 Shelly Henderson: back

00:46:25 Jackie Bayne: Be right there!

00:46:55 Rachel Murata (she/her) OMWBE: I will be back in a few minutes.

00:51:34 Keith Michel: I have a tangible idea to help prompt payment with a slight adjustment to typical payment procedures that contracts require.

00:51:39 Stephanie Caldwell: Agreed. Prompt payment has been an issue for some time now. It would be nice to see the needle moved on that topic for our small business partners.

00:51:52	Rachel Murata	(she/her) OMWBE:	Back now, sorry
01:01:00	Jackie Bayne:	Sorry for the absence.	
01:04:45	Janice Zahn:	I am back.	

01:20:37 Washington MBDA Business Center: Agree w/ olivia

The survey can be viewed and explored online: https://forms.office.com/r/xfRW2UfAhg

Or you may review the images of each step of the survey below: Section 1:

BE/DBI Survey Form - Draft 7

. . .

Please review all five sections.

To: Stakeholders in Public Construction

Fr: Janice Zahn, CPARB Chair

Subject: Seeking Feedback on CPARB BE/DBI Priorities

We are reaching out to you as a stakeholder in public construction in the state of Washington. RCW 39.10 which was authorized by SB 5032 during the 2021 State Legislature asks CPARB to report back on best practices around diversity, equity and inclusion in public contracting in State of Washington.

Informed by the 2019 Washington State Disparity Study and by other disparity studies performed by public agencies in the State we have developed a few key areas for additional work.

The purpose of this letter is to ask you to complete a simple survey indicating:

- How you view your role as a stakeholder
- How you would prioritize efforts to mitigate the barriers to diverse businesses in public construction.

The actionable objective of the BE/DBI Committee is to create an environment where the use of diverse businesses is the first choice, instead of a goal to be met. Actions through that lens should then pivot around those measures needed to make the businesses successful and competitive. Components of this actionable approach would include best practices and programs that provide well rounded solutions to the barriers.

These areas are:

- Access to opportunities in public contracting
- Access to capital including firm financing, eligibility for financing, bonding
- Access to project cash flow and prompt pay issues
- Access to training and business services support
- Access to networks/ relationship opportunities

Your feedback will guide our committee in focusing on which barriers need work.

Cc: BE/DBI Co-chairs: Santosh Kuruvilla Olivia Yang BE/DBI Subcommittee Co-chairs: Irene Reyes Linda Womack Aleanna Kondelis Brenda Nnambi Lisa Van der Lugt, Office of Minority & Women's Business Enterprises Legislative Sponsors: Sen. Bob Hasegawa Sen. Judy Warnick Sen. Patty Kuderer Sen. Claire Wilson

Relevant Links:

SB 5032: <u>https://app.leg.wa.gov/billsummary?BillNumber=5032&Year=2021&Initiative=false</u>

Washington State Disparity Study: <u>https://omwbe.wa.gov/governors-subcabinet-business-</u> <u>diversity/disparity-study</u>

CPARB Website: https://des.wa.gov/about/boards-committees/capital-projects-advisory-review-board

BE/DBI Committee Minutes/Info/Documents: <u>https://des.wa.gov/about/boards-committees/capital-projects-advisory-review-board/current-cparb-committees</u>

Next

BE/DBI	Survey	Form	-	Draft 7

* Required

We would like to understand the barriers as they relate to the professional roles of people involved in the work of RCW 39.10. Select the answer that best reflects how you see the business or organization you represent.

1. Type of Firm *



- O Designer (A/E)
- O Contractor
- O Subcontractor
- O Other Consultant
- O Public Agency

2. Diverse Business (Prime or Sub) *

- O Prime
- 🔿 Sub

3. Diverse Business (Certified or not certified) *



- O Not certified
- 4. Optionally add your name

5. Optionally add your organization

Enter your answer

Back

Next

Never give out your password. Report abuse

Section 3:

BE/DBI Survey Form - Draft 7

* Required

We would like input regarding the barriers you think would be most impactful to you in your role in the industry. Please rank each barrier by importance, 1 being most important, 5 being least.

6. Rank the Barriers *

	1	2	3	4	5
Access to opportunities in public contracting	0	0	0	0	0
Access to capital including firm financing, eligibility for financing, and bonding	0	0	0	0	0
Access to project cash flow and prompt pay issues	0	0	0	0	0
Access to training and business services support	0	0	0	0	0
Access to networks/ relationship opportunities	0	0	0	0	0

Back

Section 4:

BE/DBI Survey Form - Draft 7

* Required

Through the diligent work of the BE/DBI Committee, many barriers have been identified and documented. In an effort to create meaningful DBE outcomes and be comprehensive in our approach we have identified the five barriers below as opportunities to support the success of DBE businesses. Your response to this survey will help inform which barrier needs the greatest emphasis and work. In your role, do you agree that there are already satisfactory access to solutions/best practices for each of the barrier statements below?

7. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? *

	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Access to opportunities in public contracting is satisfactory	0	0	0	0
Access to capital including firm financing, eligibility for financing, and bonding is satisfactory	0	0	0	0
Access to project cash flow and prompt pay issues is satisfactory	0	0	0	0
Access to training and business services support is satisfactory	0	0	0	0
Access to networks/ relationship opportunities is satisfactory	0	0	0	0

8. Other Comments

Enter your answer

Back

BE/DBI Survey Form - Draft 7

If you would like to share your thoughts/best practices/successful solutions with the BE/DBI Committee please fill in the following information to be contacted.

. . .

9. Name

Enter your answer

10. Phone

Enter your answer

11. Email

Enter your answer

Back

Submit

End of Survey

Report Outline

- Background SB 5032 Section 20 Previous disparity report recommendations
- - Bonding

Subcontract prepayment financing

Payment upon completion

Prompt payment

Diverse Business Readiness and Competitive Edge

Readiness

Mentor/protégé

- Individual general contractor training programs
- Entering public works
- 3. Dashboard (ongoing monitoring)
- 4. Legislative proposals for 2023

Appendix

SB 5032 Section 20 text Disparity Recommendation matrix Link to DES Disparity Study Links to other disparity reports Results from 2022 CPARB BEDBI Survey