

## White Salmon Valley Pool Metropolitan Park District (WSVPMPD)

**Project Review Committee Presentation** 

Application for Approval of GC/CM Project Delivery Method

January 28, 2021









# • Project Team Introduction

- Project Background
  - History, Location, Scope
- Why Request GC/CM Method?
  - Evaluate Options
  - Qualifying Project
  - Management Plan
  - Budget Constraints
  - Project Outreach
- Summary •
- Response to Questions  $\bullet$
- Questions





## **Gerard Mulrooney**

## Lloyd DeKay & Rustin Hall

Larry Gorham

Gerard Mulrooney



## Project Team Introduction

- Lloyd DeKay, Commissioner #1, President
- Steve Harris, Commissioner # 5

## **Project Manager / Owners Representative – PlanB Cost Consultancy, LLC**

- Gerard Mulrooney, Project Oversight
- Larry Gorham, Project Manager

## **ALSC Architects**

- Rustin Hall, Principal-in-Charge  $\bullet$
- Andrew Leeper, Project Manager

## Legal Services

- Ruben Cleaveland, Partner, Van Koten & Cleaveland, LLC  $\bullet$
- Colm P. Nelson, Partner, Stoel Rives, LLP



White Salmon Valley Pool Metropolitan Park District (WSVPMPD) Development Committee





## Project Team – Select GC/CM Experience

### **PlanB Cost Consultancy, LLC**

Larry Gorham – Project Manager

### **Project Name**

Centralia HS Occupied Modernization Madrona K-8 School Occupied Replacement Lake Wilderness Elementary School AHS PH2 & PH3: Anacortes HS Replacement Blakely Elementary Occupied Replacement

### **ALSC Architects**

### Rustin Hall – Principal in Charge

### Project Name

City of Airway Heights Aquatics, Recreation Center New Yakima Family YMCA & Aquatics Facility Covington/Maple Valley Aquatics & Recreation Cer Connell Swimming Pool Assessment Pine Bowl Stadium Improvements, Whitworth Univ Performing Arts &Stadium Complex, Mead School I Kittitas Valley Event Center Improvements Aquatics Facility Assessment New Stadium, Lugar Soccer Field, Gonzaga Universit Joe Albi Stadium Replacement, Spokane Public School Student Fitness Center, Washington State Universit



| Location                               |
|--|
| Centralia School District – WA         |
| Edmonds School District – WA           |
| Tahoma School District – WA            |
| Anacortes School District – WA         |
| Bainbridge Island School District - WA |

| Location           |
|--------------------|
| Airway Heights, WA |
| Yakima, WA         |
| Covington, WA      |
| Connell, WA        |
| Spokane, WA        |
| Mead, WA           |
| Ellensburg, WA     |
| Connell, WA        |
| Spokane, WA        |
| Spokane, WA        |
| Spokane, WA        |
|                    |





## Today's Presentation Gerard Mulrooney Lloyd DeKay & Rustin Hall

- Project Team Introduction
- Project Background
  - History, Location, Scope
- Why Request GC/CM Method?
  - Evaluate Options
  - Qualifying Project
  - Management Plan
  - Budget Constraints
  - Project Outreach
- Summary
- Response to Questions

• Questions

Larry Gorham

Gerard Mulrooney



## Project Background - History

- for many years for the pleasure of residents and guests
- $\bullet$ to build, operate, and maintain a pool for the White Salmon Valley Community
- District bus barns to the north and the Early Learning Center/Loop Road to the south
- May 2019: White Salmon Pool fails and is closed permanently
- May 2019 to August 2020: The District developed a Conceptual design
- August 2019: A Conditional Use Permit has been approved by the Local Authority
- January 2020: 50-year lease agreement for the new pool location
- November 2020: Project Management and Architect Services procured



• The White Salmon Pool was built in the 1930's and had been operated and maintained by the City of White Salmon

2018: the White Salmon Valley Pool Metropolitan Park District (WSVPMPD) was created by the voters of the district

• February 2019: agreement to build a new pool facility on an unused school parcel located between the School







## Project Background - Location

The project is in the White Salmon Valley, WA, across the Columbia River from the town of Hood River, OR.

The site for the new facility is ideal for many reasons, including:

- The proposed new pool facility is on an undeveloped 1.4-acre parcel ulletwith no other planned or potential uses
- The site is in the Intermediate-Middle-High school campus where it is highly visible and easily accessible for both the community and for school programs
- The site is located about 1 mile from the White Salmon, WA, commercial center and is located central to the Park District
- The proposed facility fits easily on the site with ample room for ulletother uses
- The site is well situated for utilities and alternative energy sources













## Project Background – Proposed Scope









## Today's Presentation

Project Team Introduction

Project Background

- History, Location, Scope
- Why Request GC/CM Method?
  - Evaluate Options
  - Qualifying Project
  - Management Plan
  - Budget Constraints
  - Project Outreach
- Summary
- Response to Questions

Questions

## Gerard Mulrooney

## Lloyd DeKay & Rustin Hall

Larry Gorham

Gerard Mulrooney

Gerard Mulrooney

9



## Why Request GC/CM Method? Evaluate Options

## Initial Evaluation of Client Needs versus Common Procurement Models:

## **Option 1: Traditional Design – Bid – Build Method**



### Pros

- Full design control  $\bullet$
- Flexibility with programming and • design

| WHITE SALMON VALLEY POOL PROJECT   | <b>2021</b><br>Jan | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY  | JUN        | JUL | AUG   | SEP | ост | NOV | DEC | 2022<br>JAN | FEB        | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------------|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| CPARB - Application Review         |                    |     |     |     |      |            |     |       |     |     |     |     |             |            |     |     |     |     |     |
| Contractor Selection               | 1                  |     |     |     |      |            | BID | AWARD |     |     |     |     |             |            |     |     |     |     |     |
| Design Devlopment                  |                    | -   | SD  | [   | )D   | CD         |     |       |     |     |     |     |             |            |     |     |     |     |     |
| Water Recreational Facility Permit | 1                  |     |     |     | W    | RF PERMIT  |     |       |     |     |     |     |             |            |     |     |     |     |     |
| Building Permit                    |                    |     |     |     | BUIL | DING PERMI | T   |       |     |     |     |     |             |            |     |     |     |     |     |
| Construction                       |                    |     |     |     |      |            |     |       |     |     |     |     |             | CONSTRUCTI | ON  |     |     |     |     |



### Cons

- Adversarial
- Time consuming
- Limited cost certainty & no design input
- Potential for unqualified Contractors
- Lack of control over construction
- Change orders likely





## Why Request GC/CM Method? Evaluate Options

### **Option 2: Design – Build Method**



### Pros

- Single point of responsibility  $\bullet$
- Accelerated schedule  $\bullet$
- Greater degree of cost certainty  $\bullet$
- Risk transfer  $\bullet$

| WHITE SALMON VALLEY POOL PROJECT   | 2021<br>JAN | L<br>FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN    |
|------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| CPARB - Application Review         |             |          |     |     |     |        |
| Design Builder Selection           | -           | RFP      |     |     |     |        |
| Design and Approvals               |             |          |     |     | Ľ   | DESIGN |
| Water Recreational Facility Permit | -           |          |     |     |     |        |
| Building Permit                    |             |          |     |     |     |        |
| Cost and Contract Established      |             | GMP      |     |     |     |        |
|                                    |             |          |     |     |     |        |



### Cons

- Limited design control
- Inflexible to changing design requirements  $\bullet$
- Quality impacts and lack of control
- Client pays for contractor's risk  $\bullet$

| JUL | AUG      | SEP      | ост | NOV | DEC | 2022<br>JAN | FEB | MAR         | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG |
|-----|----------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
|     |          |          |     |     |     |             |     |             |     |     |     |     |     |
|     |          |          |     |     |     |             |     |             |     |     |     |     |     |
|     |          |          |     |     |     |             |     |             |     |     |     |     |     |
|     | WRF      | PERMIT   |     |     |     |             |     |             |     |     |     |     |     |
|     | BUILDING | I PERMIT |     |     |     |             |     |             |     |     |     |     |     |
|     |          |          |     |     |     |             |     |             |     |     |     |     |     |
|     |          |          |     |     |     |             |     | CONSTRUCTIO | DN  |     |     |     |     |





## Why Request GC/CM Method? Evaluate Options

### **Option 3: GC/CM Method**



### Pros

- ۲
- Improved cost certainty lacksquare
- Constructability reviews  $\bullet$
- Time savings lacksquare
- lacksquare

|  | 2021 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2022 |     |     |     |
|--|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|
| WHITE SALMON VALLEY POOL PROJECT         | JAN  | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | ОСТ | NOV | DEC | JAN  | FEB | MAR | APR |
| CPARB - Application Review               |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Due Diligence                            |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Schematic Design                         |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Advertise RFP, Review, Select GC/CM      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| WSVPMPD - Special Board Approval Meeting |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Design Development                       |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Construction Documents                   |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Submit and obtain Permits                |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Sitework Building Permit Issued          |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Structure Building Permit Issued         |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Subcontractor bidding: sitework packages |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Subcontractor bidding: structure         |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Subcontractor bidding, negotiate GMP     |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Construction: sitework packages          |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |
| Construction: structure                  |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |



Integrated and collaborative team

### Cons

- Needs strong communication to avoid conflict ullet
- Requires client/stakeholder involvement  $\bullet$

Transparency and client engagement





- The proposed new pool facility meets 4 of the 6 criteria listed in RCW 39.10.340:  $\bullet$ 
  - Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing or coordination
  - The project involves construction at an existing facility that must continue to operate during construction
  - Involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project, budget constraints
  - The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment
  - The project requires specialized work on a building that has historical significance
  - The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project







## Why Request GC/CM? – Qualifying Project

## **1. Complex Scheduling, Phasing & Coordination:**

- Contractor involvement early in the pool design and procurement of long lead items  $\bullet$
- Advantage of potentially beginning early works packages as permits are being processed  $\bullet$
- Construction coordination early on with the neighboring four schools and key stakeholders  $\bullet$

## **2. Occupied Site:**

- Project is located on the school campus and immediately adjacent to an Early Learning Center
- Student, staff and public access will be required throughout construction  $\bullet$
- Safety, security, and access control critical for the safety of students, staff, and the public
- Significant traffic ingress and egress coordination needed early on in the design process





Indicative construction area perimeter fencing with the facility overlain for reference to proximity to school structures





## Why Request GC/CM? – Qualifying Project

## **3. GC/CM Involvement is Critical to the Success of the Project:**

- Effective and efficient planning and execution
- Strong project controls with direct market expertise on schedule and cost tracking Safety and risk management is accounted for early in the project process
- Input and involvement in the design and planning process to ensure constructability
- Community Involvement of Local Contractors

### **4. Complex or Technical Work Environment:**

- Pool design is specialized and will need coordinated constructability reviews throughout the evolving design
- Correct and clear instruction to bidders
- Ability to sequence site work bid packages at an early phase in order to maintain project schedule
- Recontouring of existing drainage swale
- Utility coordination and relocation















|  | 2021 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 2022 |     |     |     | SCHEDULE                             |   |
|--|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|--------------------------------------|---|
| WHITE SALMON VALLEY POOL PROJECT         | JAN  | FEB | MAR | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | OCT | NOV | DEC | JAN  | FEB | MAR | APR | Date                                 | Selection Process   |
|  |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | February 3, 2021                     | Advertisement for Request for Proposal Published (First Public  |
| CPARB - Application Review               |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | February 5, 2021                     | Advertisement for Request for Proposal Published (Second  |
| Due Diligence                            |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     |                                      | Publication)  |
| Schematic Design                         |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | February 10, 2021                    | Pre-Proposal Conference at 10:00 a.m. (Pacific) via virtual Mic<br>TEAMS meeting                                  |
| Advertise RFP, Review, Select GC/CM      |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | February 12, 2021                    | Last Day for STEP 1 Questions at 2:00 p.m. (Pacific)  |
|  | -    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | February 15, 2021                    | Last Addendum Issued for STEP 1   |
| WSVPMPD - Special Board Approval Meeting |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | February 19, 2021                    | STEP 1: Submittal of Statements of Qualifications   |
| Design Development                       |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | Approx. February 23, 2021            | due at 10:00 a.m. (Pacific)<br>STEP 2: Notice to Shortlisted Firms (including contract docum)                     |
| Construction Documents                   |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | February 26, 2021                    | Last Day for STEP 2 Questions at 2:00 p.m. (Pacific)  |
| Submit and obtain Permits                |      |     |     |     |     |     | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | March 1, 2021                        | Last Addendum Issued for STEP 2   |
| Sitework Building Permit Issued          |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | March 5, 2021                        | Submittal of documents required under RFP Section XXX d   |
| V  |      |     |     |     | _   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | March 9, 2021                        | 2:00 p.m. (Pacific) prior to STEP 2 Interviews<br>STEP 2: Interviews  |
| Structure Building Permit Issued         |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | March 11, 2021                       | STEP 3: Notice to finalists   |
| Subcontractor bidding: sitework packages |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | March 12, 2021                       | Last Day for STEP 3 Questions at 2:00 p.m. (Pacific)  |
|  |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | March 15, 2021                       | Last Addendum Issued for STEP 3   |
| Subcontractor bidding: structure         |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | March 17, 2021                       | STEP 3: Sealed Price Proposals due at 10:00 a.m. (Pacific)  |
| Subcontractor bidding, negotiate GMP     |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | March 19, 2021                       | Notice to Apparent Successful Film  |
| Construction: sitework packages          |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | March 26, 2021<br>Approx. March 2021 | Conclude Negotiation of Pre-Construction Agreement<br>NTP to GC/CM for Pre-Construction Services (Interim Contrac |
|  |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | Approx. March 2021                   | WSVPMPD Approval Process for Preconstruction and Construc-  |
| Construction: structure                  |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |      |     |     |     | approve matter avai                  | Contract (anticipated)  |





| ication)        |
|-----------------|
|                 |
|                 |
| ierosoft        |
|                 |
|                 |
|                 |
| ments)          |
|                 |
|                 |
| C due at        |
|                 |
|                 |
|                 |
| )               |
|                 |
| act)            |
| act)<br>ruction |
| uction          |



Projected Total Cost for the Project

**Direct Costs:** 

Costs for Professional Services (A/E, Legal etc.)

**Construction Costs:** 

Estimated project construction costs (including construction contingenci

Off-site costs

Contingencies (design & owner)

Administration:

Contract administration costs (owner, cm etc.)

Owner Costs:

Equipment and furnishing costs

Sales Tax

Other Costs:

Other related project costs - Permits & Fees

Total Project Budget



|       | Budget          |
|-------|-----------------|
|       |                 |
|       | \$ 201,000.00   |
|       |                 |
| ies): | \$ 2,323,000.00 |
|       | \$-             |
|       | \$ 150,000.00   |
|       |                 |
|       | \$ 75,000.00    |
|       |                 |
|       | \$ 55,000.00    |
|       | \$ 175,000.00   |
|       |                 |
|       | \$ 21,000.00    |
|       | \$ 3,000,000.00 |

## **Funding Process Timeline:**

- Project is over 25% funded to date
- Design and GC/CM Pre-Construction services are funded to date
- Full funding expected to be secured by end May 2021
  - \$720,000 Tax Levy income
  - \$850,000 WA State RCO grants Ο
  - \$430,000 WA State Local Community Ο **Project Grant**
  - \$500,000 private foundation grants Ο
  - \$500,000 construction loan Ο







## Management Plan - Project Outreach

### **MWBE Outreach:**

WSVPMPD is committed to maximizing outreach to and participation of MWBE and other disadvantaged businesses in accordance with the **District's Business Equity Program**. In anticipation of the upcoming pool facility construction project and to further strengthen community support, District representatives have reached out to local small businesses informing them of future opportunities for a number of services including construction and materials, landscaping, facility maintenance and public art.

### **Local Outreach:**

Many of our local WA state contractors are professionals who're easily capable of handling this project, and are enthusiastic about being able to work on this project for the good of the community.

- provide concrete work at cost for our project.
- community involvement and contributions.
- to Insitu, a Boeing producer of UAV (unmanned aerial vehicle) aircraft for civilian and military applications.
- suppliers who are also keen to support this important community project.



. Our local concrete company has a state-of-the-art mobile batch plant and a local fixed plant. In the past year they've done several 100,000++ sq ft, 10,000+ cu. yd,, pours for public and private projects throughout the PacNW. They have pledged to

. Our local lumber company is a major PacNW lumber supplier that have prided themselves for over 60 years on their local

. We have several materials, electronics and communications providers that are national industrial suppliers, including locally

. We have many highly qualified licensed trades people (excavators, builders, electricians, plumbers, surveyors, etc.) and





## Today's Presentation

- Project Team Introduction
- Project Background
  - History, Location, Scope
- Why Request GC/CM Method?
  - Evaluate Options
  - Qualifying Project
  - Management Plan
  - Budget Constraints
  - Project Outreach
- Summary
- Response to Questions

Questions

## Gerard Mulrooney

## Lloyd DeKay & Rustin Hall

Larry Gorham

Gerard Mulrooney



## In Summary, we request approval of GC/CM method for the following:

- We meet many of the set criteria under RCW 39.10.340 ullet
- Early alignment of schedule and budget for construction, and use of public funds  $\bullet$
- Avail of market testing and subcontractor knowledge for technical aspects ullet
- Early identification and resolution of risk including Covid-19 impacts  $\bullet$
- Constructability and risk reviews throughout the design stages  $\bullet$
- Team Collaboration is established early in the project and essential to the Client
- The project is critical to a small community such as White Salmon. The GC/CM Method will • allow the ability to customize the bid packages and work with the smaller local firms.
- We all want to succeed in providing an important asset to the local community!







## Today's Presentation

- Project Team Introduction
- Project Background
  - History, Location, Scope
- Why Request GC/CM Method?
  - Evaluate Options
  - Qualifying Project
  - Management Plan
  - Budget Constraints
  - Project Outreach
- Summary
- Response to Questions

Questions

## Gerard Mulrooney

## Lloyd DeKay & Rustin Hall

Larry Gorham

Gerard Mulrooney



## Question 1: Can you clarify where you are at in the procurement process? Has an RFP been issued or proposals received?

The District has worked extensively to prepare an RFP, and we are currently waiting on PRC approval prior to issuance. Immediately after approval, the District will work with our GC/CM specialist attorney consultant, Colm Nelson, to finalize and issue the documents for responses. We have also reached out to the general contractors in our region to get a read on their interest in this as a GC/CM project, with very favorable results. Our target issue date for the RFP is early February, with NTP in March corresponding with the completion of the Schematic Design phase.

## Question 2: The schedule for starting construction in July appears aggressive if procurement isn't completed until March. Can you clarify how you plan to prioritize the GC/CM involvement in this relative short time period?

In order to achieve completion in time for the Spring / Summer 2022 swim center season, it is necessary to split the Building Permit into two parts – Site Work first and then Structure. This allows us to identify and release early work packages such as the foundation, structural and below grade utilities work earlier in the project and take advantage of the drier summer weather to complete these scopes of work prior to the rainy season beginning.







## Today's Presentation Gerard Mulrooney

- Project Team Introduction
- Project Background
  - History, Location, Scope
- Why Request GC/CM Method?
  - Evaluate Options
  - Qualifying Project
  - Management Plan
  - Budget Constraints
  - Project Outreach
- Summary
- Response to Questions



## Lloyd DeKay & Rustin Hall

Larry Gorham

Gerard Mulrooney





QUESTIONS?



### PlanB Cost Consultancy LLC

696 McVey Avenue Suite 202 Lake Oswego, OR 97034







# THANKYOU



