CPARB Data Collection Proposal:

Cost and Schedule Estimates:

The information about Design Build and GC/CM projects is to be collected and the intent of the information is to assist CPARB with the following issues:

- 1. Is the process fair and open to a broad range of business in Washington State?
- 2. Is there correlation between outreach plans and the level of participation of Small Business/DBE businesses?
- 3. Do we see any trends in the project reports that we could help to drive proposed legislative changes?
- 4. Do we see best practices or training needs that could assist organizations in presenting their information during upcoming training?

Overview

Total time estimate*: 2 years, 1 month (from the time of DES Executive Management Team prioritization)

Total cost estimate*:

- Software solution: \$100,000 for initial set up through "go live" date
- Software solution: \$15,000 licensing fees per year
- WaTech IT Project Manager and Business Analyst support: \$185,000
- Does not include internal DES resources

Because of the number of parties, types, and sources of data requested, I propose implementing a technical/software solution.

In order to implement the proposed data collection, a project team would need to be created that included a representative from all parties included, but not limited to:

- DES Project Sponsor
- WaTech IT Project Manager (on behalf of DES)
- WaTech IT Business Analyst (on behalf of DES)
- DES Construction Project Manager
- DES Data Representative/Management Analyst
 - DES Contracts Specialist Lead
- On demand team members:
 - WaTech Implementation Team (configuration/testing)
 - o DES Cost Engineer
 - DES Contracts Manager
 - Architect/Engineer/Consultant
 - Prime Contractor

*High-level estimate based on previous/similar efforts

- 1. Project is prioritized through DES Executive Management Team: 1 month
- 2. Project is prioritized within WaTech: 1 month

3. Initiation: 7 months

- Executive team and project manager Definition of scope/schedule/budget: 1 month
- Project manager Project research and charter: 1 month
- Project sponsor Definition of roles & responsibilities
 - Definition of group members: 2 weeks
 - Initial project scheduling/kickoff: 2 weeks
- Discrete State I dentification of stakeholders: 1 week

CPARB Data Collection Proposal:

- Definition of objectives/requirements: 3 months
- Identification of risks: 2 weeks
- 4. Planning: 6 months
 - Estimate technical and business requirements: 2 months
 - Procurement/RFP: 4 months
- 5. Execution & Control: 10 months
 - Software implementation/configuration (incl. integration with existing systems): 9 months
 - Construction contract review & rewrite (for each awarding agency): 2 months (concurrent)
 - Training: 1 month
- 6. Closure/Go Live: Ongoing
 - Ongoing training
 - Training new parties to this process

1. Project Set Up

Information entered at the time the project is funded (Certified Public Body or PRC Applicant will submit)

- Name of Public Body
- Name of Project
- Project Budget
- Does this project plan to use a Small Business/DBE outreach plan?
- Construction Period (m/year to m/year)

2. Design Build or GC/CM Selection

Information entered on the Design builder or GC/CM selection within two months of contract execution between Public Body and D/B or GC/CM

- Number and names of firms responding to RFQ
- Number and names of firms selected for interviews
- Names of firms selected to submit to RFP
- Name of awarded contract

3. Subcontractor Identification - GC/CM- Design Build

Information entered after substantial completion of project

- Number and names of firms responding to subcontract bid requests
- Names of the selected firms.
- Information on project contractor and subcontractors submitted to Labor & Industries regarding intents and affidavits.
- 4. Post Project Team Report: (Less than 500 words)

<u>Information entered within 2 months of final completion of project.</u> The Project team is defined as the Owner, A/E, Contractor and any consultants and subcontractors who would be willing to participate.

- The project team is required to answer the following questions:
 - 1. What were the best practices on this project?
 - 2. Are there any suggested modifications to Public Works Legislation (RCW 39.10) that would have helped the project be more successful?
 - 3. Did the project team discover there were areas/topics where additional training would have helped the project be more successful? What were those areas/topics?

•