	Project Review Committee (F	PRC)		
	Application Evaluation S Public Agency Project	neet		
	Date:	1/3/2020		
	Public Agency:	Osser Public Hospital District Osser Memorial Hospital Replacer		
	Project: Pr	USSEC Memorial Hospital Replacer	rent	
	PRC Member:	uina Dolan		
		Project Evaluation Criteria General Contractor/Construction Manager		
	Determine that the Agency's pontracting procedures:	proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alte	rnative	
	A Devidence hat state	1	Pass	Fail
		al benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	Pass	Fail
	Public bodies may utilize	criteria under RCW 39.10.340.  the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least etc. (Pass if meets 1 of 6)	Pass	Fail
	1. Implementation of th	e project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or	Yes	No
	during construction;		Yes	No
	project; or	he GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the	Yes	No
		asses a complex or technical work environment; or	Yes	No
		specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or ne public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil	Yes	No
	construction project.	However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the vil construction project.	Yes	No
		ry experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	Pass	Fail
	1. Project delivery know	rledge and experience	Yes	No
	<ol><li>Sufficient contract ad</li></ol>	ministration personnel with construction experience	Yes	No
	3. Written management	plan with clear & logical lines of authority	Yes	No
		iate funding and time to carry out the project management team with project type & scope experience	Yes	No
	Necessary and appro	priate construction budget	Vo	No No
		any audit findings relative to previous projects.	Pass	Fail
	Overall Evaluation by Com Reason for Determination:			
	they have a	well uniter application. I believed	درد	
	Observations/Concerns:			
	-			
	3			
	1			
	Signature			
F	Revised 3/22/2018			

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project

Date:

12-3-2020

Public Agency:

Prosser Public Hospital District - GC/CM

Project:

Prosser Memorial Hospital Replacement Project

PRC Member:

**Sherry Harris** 

# Project Evaluation Criteria General Contractor/Construction Manager

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340.
  Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: (Pass if meets 1 of 6)
  - 1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
  - The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or
  - The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or
  - 4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
  - 5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
  - The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil
    construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil
    construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project
    as a heavy civil construction project.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
  - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
  - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
  - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
  - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
  - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
  - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Pass	
Pass	
Yes	90.31.14
Yes	
Yes	
500 50000	No
	No
100 A 100 A	No
	Fall
	No
	No
Yes	
Yes	
	No
Yes	
Pass	

**Pass** 

Fail

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Membe Reason for Determination:	iber		
Observations/Concerns:			
Please bring business equity plan to future present	tation		

Signatul

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project** 12/3/20 Date: PROSSER PUBLIC HEALTH DISTRICT Public Agency: Project: PROSSER MEMORIAL HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT DAUE JOHNSON PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria General Contractor/Construction Manager** Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: **Pass** Fail A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. Fail B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340. Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least Fail one of the following is met: (Pass if meets 1 of 6) 1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or Yes No 2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate Yes No during construction; or 3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the Yes No project; or 4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or Yes No 5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or Yes No 6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil Yes 10 construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience NO Yes 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience Yes No 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority Yes No 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project No 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience No 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget No D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Fail Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: DISAPPOVE DID NOT DEMONSTRATE ENDUGH KNOWLEDGE OF WA GC/CM

TEMM DID NOT DEMONSTRATE ENDUGH KNOWLEDGE OF WA GG/CM

PROCESS - RCW 39.10. ONLY LEGAL COUSEL HAD EXPERIENCE.

Observations/Concerns:

PROJECT OVERALL FITS GC/CM CRITERIA BUT TEAM NEEDS HELP

IN RCW 39.10 EXPERTISE TO ENSURE SUCCESSFUL PROJECT DELIVERY.

Signature

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project

Date:

December 03, 2020

Public Agency:

Prosser Public Hospital District

Project:

Prosser Memorial Hospital Replacement

PRC Member:

Jeff Jurgensen

## Project Evaluation Criteria General Contractor/Construction Manager

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340. Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: (Pass if meets 1 of 6)
  - 1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
  - 2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or
  - 3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or
  - 4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
  - 5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
  - 6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
  - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
  - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
  - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
  - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
  - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
  - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

# e ...

Pass

X

X

X

X

X

X

X

Х

Fail

X

X

X

XXX

X

X

X

#### Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:

They did not have a clear understanding of the GC/CM Process. They appear to be rushing the process through without consideration for doing it correctly. They filled out the incorrect application form and then re-applied and with the CM. Their CM has no GC/CM experience with 39.10 and did not appear to understand the process.

#### Observations/Concerns:

They just were not ready. Appear to be rushing everything through with zero RCW 39.10 experience and were relying on the attorney to do things the CM should be doing.

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet** 

# **Public Agency Project**

and a specific reject	
Date:	December 3, 2020
Public Agency:	Prosser
Project:	Medical Center
PRC Member:	John Palewicz
	Project Evaluation Criteria General Contractor/Construction Manager
Determine that the Agency's	proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative

contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340. Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: (Pass if meets 1 of 6)
  - 1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
  - 2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or
  - 3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or
  - 4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
  - 5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance, or
  - 6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
  - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
  - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
  - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
  - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
  - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
  - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Pass	Fall	
Pass	Fail	
Pass	Fail	
Yes	No	
<b>Pass</b>	Fail	
Yes	No	
Pass	Fail	

Overall	Evaluation b	y Committee/P	anel	Member
Reason	for Determina	ation:		

	N T	¥I	
Observations/Concerns:	S 4		
No experience of knowledge of WA GC/CM			4
		*	
		2 .	
ohn Palewicz	·		ì

Signature Revised 3/22/2018

Pro <b>Ap</b>	ject p <b>lic</b>	Projects Advisor Review Committ ation Evaluation Agency Proje	on Sheet	RB)			
		rigolicy i roje	DET 3	2020			
Dat			PLOSSER		Di@M .us		
		Agency:		PUBLIC HUSPIDAL	. Instituto ·		
Pro				roller tust.		**	
PR	C Me	ember:	MIKED S				
			P General (	oject Evaluation Crit ontractor/Construction	eria on Manager		
Det	ermi tract	ine that the Agen ting procedures:	cy's proposed use of	GC/CM on the project me	eets the requirements for all	ternative	
•	_					Pass	Fail
				tional delivery method is	not practical.	Pas	Fail
B.	Pu	blic bodies may	ifying criteria under R utilize the GC/CM pro g is met: <i>(Pass if me</i> e	edure for public works p	projects where at least	Pass	Fail
	1.	Implementation	of the project involve	s complex scheduling, pl	hasing, or coordination, or	Yes	No
	2.	The project invo during construct	olves construction at	n occupied facility which	must continue to operate	Yes	No
	3.	The involvemer project; or	nt of the GC/CM durin		ical to the success of the	Yes	No
	4. 5.	The project end	compasses a complex	or technical work environ	nment; or	Yes	No.
	6.	The project is, a	and the public body $\epsilon$	on a building that has hects to procure the projec	istoric significance; or tas, a heavy civil	Yes	No
		construction pro	oject. However, no pr	vision of this chapter per e public body expressly	rtaining to a heavy civil	Yes	No
C.	Pul	blic Body has ne	cessary experience o	team: (must meet all 6	to pass; 1 fail fails all)	Pass	Fai
	1.	Project delivery	knowledge and expe	ience	Ï	Yes (	No
	2. 3.	Sufficient contra	act administration per	onnel with construction	experience	Yes	No
	3. 4.			logical lines of authority time to carry out the pro		Yes	No.
	5.			m with project type & so		Yes	No.
	6.	Necessary and	appropriate construc	on budget		(es	No
D.	Pul	blic Body has res	solved any audit findi	gs relative to previous pr	ojects.	Pass	Fail
		II Evaluation by n for Determinati	Committee/Panel Nion:	ember			
Ob	sen	vations/Concerns	3:				
		NEED T	UNDERMAN	WASHINGTON S	MIE LAWS.		
	NOT SAME AS OURORING						
		1,00. /	MINIONS CHAR	// -			
-					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	1	like of	hur				
Sig	natu	re		200			

12/3/2020

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB) Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project** Date: Public Agency: Prosser Memorial Hospital Replaceme Project: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria** General Contractor/Construction Manager Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: **Pass** Fail A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. X B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340. Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: (Pass if meets 1 of 6) 1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or 2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or × 3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or X 4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or × 5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or × 6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the × project as a heavy civil construction project. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project V 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member** Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns: audit Lindings

12.3.2020

Signature

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)

## Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Project

Date:	12/3/20
Public Agency:	Prosser Public Hospital District
Project:	Prosser Memorial Hospital Replacement
PRC Member:	Kyle Twohig

#### Project Evaluation Criteria General Contractor/Construction Manager

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340.
  Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: (Pass if meets 1 of 6)
  - Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
  - 2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or
  - 3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or
  - 4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
  - 5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
  - 6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
  - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
  - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
  - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
  - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
  - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
  - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

#### **Pass** Fail **xPass** Fail **xPass** Fail Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No xFail xNo Yes Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No **xPass** Fail

#### Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:

<u>Delivery of complex hospital project meets RCW project criteria, however there is nearly no public body experience</u> in 39.10 nor is the consultant team experienced (legal only).

Observations/Concerns:

Lack of WA state Gc/Cm experience. Team makeup is experienced in similar delivery yet there is almost no experience in WA state 39.10. Do not appear to have a concrete understanding of Mccm/Eccm complexities.

Funding timing is a concern as well. Multiple errors in terminology point to a lack of sufficient understanding.

Digitally signed by Kyle Twohig Date: 2020.12.03 13:43:50-08'00'

Signature