

## PULLMAN MOSCOW REGIONAL AIRPORT – GC/CM *TERMINAL RELOCATION & REPLACEMENT PROJECT*

1. When in the design process will the selected GC/CM begin participating in pre-construction services? Specifically, will they be involved in the Schematic Design phase?
  - a. Absolutely they will be involved in Schematic Design as well as it has not begun yet. The goal of utilizing the GC/CM is to work very diligently to get them involved as we do need to have some sort of early site package in place by April of 2021 to meet the FAA grant deadlines.
  
2. The owner lacks a robust capital project and GC/CM delivery history and have hired experienced consultants to support. Have they reached out to another owner to advise and mentor them throughout this project and share lessons learned?
  - a. Currently one board member is from Washington State University and one board member is from the University of Idaho. Director of Capital Facilities, Joe Kline, for Washington State University is also providing input to Mr. Bean and the PUW Airport Board. The airport board is represented by Latah County, Whitman County, City of Moscow and the City of Pullman as well as each University. Although there are several sponsors of the project, they are all in alignment and agreement. Mr. Bean has also met with, and continues to consult, the executive director of the Pasco Regional Airport to discuss the pros and cons of using the GC/CM delivery method. Pasco was the first airport in the region to be allowed by the FAA to utilize the GC/CM delivery method for a FAA funded project. Tony has been talking with other regional airports as well, in the Rocky Mountain region of the FAA, even though they use the CMGC or CMAR delivery methods, however, is trying to gain an understanding and pros and cons of utilizing a delivery method other than Design Bid Build. The Board of Directors is fully supportive of pursuing this delivery method.
  
3. Can you please provide more detail on the individuals who will be supporting Mr. Bean with regards to Project Managing the design and construction of the project for the Airport? All the individuals on this org chart appear to be supervisory positions and with the project size I would see a larger commitment than 50% of Mr. Bean's time and additional names and positions who will be working on this project.
  - a. Mr. Bean will be involved continuously which very more than likely be more than 50%. He is ultimately responsible for the success of this project and takes that very seriously. OAC as well as Mead & Hunt are involved throughout the project. Neither of the firms are involved purely in a supervisory role. OAC has only Mr. Jurgensen listed however, Mr. Todd Smith will assist during the design and construction phases. Todd has just completed the Cheney School District 2017 capital bond program via the GC/CM delivery method. Mead & Hunt has the personnel from the org chart as well as onsite resident engineers and project engineers to assist with the design team. The Mead & Hunt team is the team which worked on the Pasco Airport GC/CM project as well, which was the first GC/CM project allowed to utilize the delivery method by the FAA in the state of Washington.
  - b. Mead & Hunt as well as OAC are here for the duration of the project and want to help this project succeed.

**CAPITAL PROJECTS ADVISORY REVIEW BOARD**

**PROJECT REVIEW COMMITTEE**

**QUESTIONS RE: APPLICATION**

Meeting Date: September 24, 2020

4. Who is providing legal review of the RFP if legal counsel has not yet been selected?
  - a. The board is represented by Irwin Myklebust Savage and Brown (IMSB) as attorneys with Kelly Brown as the lead attorney. The airport director and board of directors is currently discussing the scope of work and experience needed. They will make a final determination at the Sept. 30, 2020 board of directors meeting. The RFQ and RFP will not be issued prior to coordination with the contract documents.
  
5. You stated that PUW counsel is to determine counsel prior to advertising the RFP, however there is not a milestone reflected in your anticipated schedule. What is your anticipated date of selection and have you allowed enough time for review since the advertise date for the RFP is scheduled for 10/3/2020?
  - a. Please see the answer above in #4.