

SR 18 Widening Issaquah-Hobart Rd to Raging River – Phase 1

Project Delivery Method Selection

April 24, 2024

Opportunity for Improvement

- In alignment with legislative direction, WSDOT implement commonsense changes in the mid-2010's that foster efficient, effective, and accountable government for those we serve.
- Department identified a series of reforms including those that:
 - Expedited project delivery,
 - Saved money and mitigated risk
- Project Delivery Method Selection process was developed to expand and strengthen construction contracting methods and protocols



- Goals:
 - 1. Establish a systematic consistent approach to be applied throughout WSDOT,
 - 2. Establish how and when a project should be assessed,
 - 3. A scalable selection process,
 - 4. Provide the documentation for Project Delivery Method approval, and
 - 5. Identify approval levels and endorsements in the process



- Delivery Methods
 - Design Bid Build
 - Design Build
 - General Contractor/Construction Manager (GC/CM)
 - WSDOT has limited experience.
 - Progressive Design Build (relatively new)
 - WSDOT has 4 contracts moving forward with this approach
 - Need time to evaluate how successful this approach is and identify any lessons learned before allowing systemic deployment



- All projects are evaluated in two steps:
 - The Probable PDM is established during the Scoping Phase
 - The Final PDM at 10% to 30% design
- The process is scalable to the project.
 - A 'Selection Checklist' identifies the optimal Project Delivery Method.
 - A 'Selection Matrix' may be used for some projects as a second step if deemed appropriate.



- A key to a successful implementation includes:
 - Unbiased assessment of projects.
 - Understanding of project risks and opportunities
 - A balanced conversation with subject matter experts to assess each unique project and identify the appropriate delivery method



SR18 Widening PDMS

- On December 15, 2022 a PDMS workshop was held
- Workshop attendees included:
 - Project Design Team
 - Project Engineer
 - Engineering Manager
 - Subject Matter Experts from Geotech office and Environmental office
 - Assistant State Design Engineers
 - Assistant State Construction Engineer



Project Delivery Method Selection Checklist Part 1 – RCW Qualifications

 The attendees discussed and all agreed on the following determinations on the PDMSG checklist

Part I — RCW 47.20.785 Project Qualifications for Design-Build Method					
 Are construction activities highly specialized? 	🛛 Yes	🗆 No			
 Are there complex staging, maintenance of traffic, constraints, risks, etc. that will affect the construction methodology? 	🛛 Yes	🗆 No			
3. Does the project provide opportunity for greater innovation & efficiencies between the designer &	🛛 Yes	🗆 No			
4. Would use of DB result in significant reduction to the overall project schedule or critical milestones?	🛛 Yes	🗆 No			
If Yes was selected for any of questions 1 through 4 above, Design-Build is a viable PDM option. (Go to Part II)					
If No was selected for all of the questions 1 through 4 above, it indicates Design-Bid-Build as the PDM — get Authoriztion Level					
listed at end of form.					



Checklist Part 2 – Project Questions Schedule

ULE	 A. Are there 3rd party agreements with local government or agencies that require a full design before execution? (Is a significant portion of the project impacted?) 		Yes	\boxtimes	No			
	Justification: We don't think local gov't or agencies need a full design.							
	 B. Are there long lead, lengthy environmental permits or ROW issues that would delay start of Construction? (Is a significant portion of the project impacted?) 	\boxtimes	Yes		No			
	Justification: Design builder is responsible to prepare JARPA which is controlling element of the overall project schedule. DNR access issue has potential delay to RW plan approval.							
	C. Is early obligation of funds necessary? (Such as a deadline to obligate grant funding)		No	\boxtimes	Yes			
ЕD	Justification: If we don't get fund 2023-2025 we will be short in the next biennium due to inflation. Funding in 2023 deliver legislature and local gov't expectation on CN start (2025).							
SCH	D. Is there time to prepare 100% design?		Yes	\boxtimes	No			
<i>°</i> ,	Justification:							
	E. Is there a need to compress the schedule?		No	\boxtimes	Yes			
	Justification: Will help shorten MOT schedule on a T1 truck route highway.							
	F. Do funding limits restrict when the schedule can start?(Such as the Biennium)	\boxtimes	Yes		No			
	Justification: If we don't get fund 2023-2025 we will be short in the next biennium due to inflation.							



Checklist Part 2 – Project Questions Complexity & Innovation

G. Are there significant risks that could be better managed by others than WSDOT?		No	\boxtimes	Yes	
Justification: JARPA, CN risk, Landslide zone					
H. Does the project involve specialty engineering or high-tech designs or have other opportunities for innovation?		No	\boxtimes	Yes	
Justification: Landslides and difficult terrain which need Geotechnical engineers and Hydraulics engineers.					
 Does the project require complex phasing and staging with the possibility of high impacts to the public? 		No	\boxtimes	Yes	
Justification: One lane reduction during MOT which impact the public and freight movement.					
J. Does an existing road or facility need to remain in service? (no options for detour, or no alternate facility available, and a significant portion of the project is impacted)		No	\boxtimes	Yes	
Justification: This section of SR 18 is T1 truck route and National Highway System (NHS). Some access points along this corridor need to remain open for the public and DNR interest. There will be no truck climbing lanes and keep one lane open in each direction during the MOT phases.					
K. Is WSDOT willing to give up control of design and/or construction on this project?		No	\boxtimes	Yes	
Justification: It will give oppotunity for innovation and efficiencies.					
L. Are critical 3rd party involvement and changes likely during design & construction?	\boxtimes	Yes		No	
Justification: DNR, Tribes, WDFW, local agencies have interest in this project.					



Checklist Part 2 – Project Questions Cost

COST	M. Is early certainty of the total project cost important? (Increased certainty of total cost early in the project needed due to funding or project constraints)	🗆 No	🛛 Yes
	Justification: Due to complexity of the project such as mountanious terrain, landslide area, and length of t	he project	: limit.



Checklist Summary

Su	Sum each column to the right—a checked answer is worth one (1) point. The column with the most points indicates the					
re	recommended delivery method.			DBB	DB	
P	Project Delivery Method indicated from the responses to the questions in Part III (above) Score:					10
		⊠ DB				

The project cost is:

- □ less than \$25 million get Authorization Level 1 (below)
- □ \$25 million or greater, but less than \$100 million get Authorization Levels 1 & 2 (below)
- Signature Signature Authorization Levels 1 & 2 (below)

Final Project Delivery Method Selected			
🗆 Design-Bid-Build	🛛 Design-Build		
Authorization Level 1			
Project Engineer		Digitally signed by Mark Allison	
Name: Mark Allison		Signature: Mark R. Allison Date: 2023.01.22 22:06:13	
PDE/EM Manager		Digitally signed by John Chi	
Name: John Chi		John Chi Digitally signed by John Chi Signature:	
Authorization Level 2			
Regional Administrator		Brian D. Nielsen	
Name: Brian Nielsen		Signature: Brian D. Nielsen (Feb 7, 2023 09:15 PST)	



SR18 Widening PDMSG Results

- The workshop concluded that Design Build was the appropriate delivery method
- The checklist was signed by
 - Project Engineer
 - Engineering Manager
 - Regional Administrator

