Date:	5/23/2024	Approved	Х
Public Agency:	Wapato School District	Denied	
Project Name: 2024 Bond Program PACE Alt School and Camas/Status Replacement			
PRC Member:	Garett Buckingham Owner/ Public Hospital District		

### Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (*Pass if meets 1 of 3*)
  - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
  - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
  - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:

(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

- 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

X	
x	
Х	
Х	
Х	
Х	
x x x x x	
Х	
Х	
x	
_	_

**Pass** 

Fail

Overall	<b>Evaluation</b>	by Committee	e/Panel	Member
Overan	Lvaluation		s/i alici	MICHIDE

Well put together presentation and thought in delivery method selection.	
Observations/Concerns:	
Wapato SD staff are recommended to take DBIA training class on progressive design build to enhance	their
effective use of the delivery method. Only minor concern is have you give enough time for a Progressive Design	ın Build
team to form in your RFP process?	
Garett Buckingham	
Signature	

Reason for Determination:

Date:	5/23/2024	Approved	X
Public Agency:	Wapato School District	Denied	
Project Name:	PACE Alt School and Camas/Satus Replacement Project		
PRC Member:	Jeff Gonzalez		

#### **Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build**

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
  - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
  - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
  - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:

(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

- 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Pass	Fail
X	
X	
Х	
Х	
Х	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
	x x x x x x x x x

Fail

Overall	Evaluation	by Comn	nittee/Panei	Member
Reason	for Determine	nation:		

Applicant met the criteria.		

Observations/Concerns:

Great presentation. Well prepared applicant.

Da	ate:	5/23/24	Appro	oved	X
Public Agency:		Wapato School District		ed	
	oject Name:	2024 Bond Program PACE Alt School and Camas/S. Replacement			
	, RC Member:	Gina M. Hortillosa			
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ing procedures:	require	ments fo	r <b>Fail</b>
A.	Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.		Fa55	Ган
	Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)			
		ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criting the construction methodology, or	ical	X	
<ol> <li>The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or</li> </ol>			Х		
		savings in project delivery time would be realized.		X	
C.		as necessary experience or team:	L		
	•	6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) livery knowledge and experience	Γ	X	
	•	contract administration personnel with construction experience		X	
	3. Written ma	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		Х	
	4. Necessary	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		Х	
	•	of project management team with project type & scope experience	се	X	
	<ol><li>Necessary</li></ol>	and appropriate construction budget		X	
D.	•	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	is	Х	
E.	Public Body h	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		X	
	son for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation:  demonstrated effort in learning about alterative delivery methods.			
Obs	servations/Conce	rns:			

Signature

M. Hurtillera

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Design-Build Project** Date: Approved pato School District

CE Alt School & Cames / Satus Public Agency: Denied **Project Name:** PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria** Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: **Pass** Fail A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience: 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience; 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority; 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project; 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience; 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget. D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: to menage cost constraints

Observations/Concerns: of "highly specialized" construction

caresto Signature

Date:	May 23, 2024	Approved	X
Public Agency:	Wapato School District	Denied	
Project Name:	PACE Alt School and Camas/Status Replacement Project (2024 Bond Program)		
PRC Member:	Jeannie Natta	_	

### Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
  - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
  - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
  - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
  - Project delivery knowledge and experience
  - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
  - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
  - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
  - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
  - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Pass	Fail
X	
X	
Х	
X	
Χ	
Х	
X	
X	
X	
X X X X X	
Х	
 X	
X	
X	

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:
Observations/Concerns:
The Wapato School District has made significant efforts to learn about design-build and make an informed decision
about choosing to pursue approval to use this delivery method.

Jeannie Natta
Datta
Digitally signed by Jeannie Natta
Dis C=Us. E=jnatta@w.edu, O=UW Facilities, OU=\*
Project Delivery Group\*, CN=Jeannie Natta
Date: 2024.05.23 11.47.09-0700'

Date:	5/23/2024	Approved	X
Public Agency:	Wapato School District	 Denied	
Project Name:	2024 Bond Program		
PRC Member:	Kevin Thomas		
	Project Evaluation Criteria		

### Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
  - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
  - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
  - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:

(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

- 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

X	
X	
Х	
Х	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
	X X X X X X X X

Pass

Fail

#### Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Experience of the project delivery team. There is a need to improve teaching capabilities, coupled with the
complexities of the project and a desire to maintain occupancy, design-build seems appropriate.
Observations/Concerns:
Project has a long timeline to allow the district to make sound decisions while learning the design-build process

Kevin Thomas	
--------------	--

Date:	05/23/2024	Approved	X
Public Agency:	WAPATO SCHOOL DISTRICT	Denied	
Project Name:	2024 BOND PROGRAM PACE ALT. SCHOOL AND CAMAS/STATUS REPLACEMENT		
PRC Member:	ANTHONY UDEAGBALA, AIA		

	ermine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the require rnative contracting procedures:	ements fo	or
		Pass	Fail
A.	Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	X	
B.	Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.  Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	х	
	The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology; or		
	The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or		
_	3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.	-	
Ċ.	Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	X	
	Project delivery knowledge and experience;		
	2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience;		
	3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority;		
	4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project;		
	<ul><li>5. Continuity of project management team with project type &amp; scope experience;</li><li>6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget.</li></ul>		
D.	For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team are knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	Х	
E.	Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	Х	
Rea	rall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member son for Determination:	FOLUDEA	<b>AENTO</b>
		EQUIREN	<u>ILINI S</u>
Reas GRE		EQUIREM	ИEN

FOR APPROVAL.		
Observations/Concerns:		
Aldegesela		
Signature	<del></del>	

Date:	5/23/24	Approved	Χ
Public Agency:	Wapato School District	Denied	
Project Name:	PACE Alternative School and Camas/Status Replacement		
PRC Member:	Taine Wilton		

### Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
  - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
  - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
  - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
  - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
  - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
  - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
  - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
  - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
  - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

_	r a33	ı alı
	Χ	
	X	
	X	
	X	
	X	
	X	
	X X X X X	
	X	
	X	
	X	
	X	
	X	
	X	
ľ	Χ	

Pass

Fail

#### **Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member**

Reason for Determination:

Student voice outreach, schools center of community, have construction schedule, and ready sell bonds two increments, need PDB team to plan entire bond projects including designing and building on limited budget. Public benefit managing public funds efficiently.

Observations/Concerns:

Team indicated they would reach out to AHJ and rest of school district team once they have passed. Moving cautiously and deliberately.

Digitally signed by Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)
Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)
De-Edmonds School District
Date: 2024.05.23 14:18:44-0700'

Signature