September 25, 2023

TO: Todd Trepanier, P.E.

Regional Administrator

for

THRU:

Larry Larson, P.E.

Assistant Regional Administrator for Development

FROM: Terrence Lynch, P.E.

Project Engineer

SUBJECT: XL5905; US 395/NSC Sprague to Spokane River MP 157.93 – 158.55

Stage 2 Alki Avenue to Mission Avenue

Delivery Method Approval

The purpose of the memorandum is to obtain your approval and endorsement to utilize the Design-Bid-Build delivery method for the above project. Please provide your signature for approval on the attached Matrix approval form and forward on for endorsement as appropriate.

Project Description

The North Spokane Corridor (NSC) Project is an I1 Urban Mobility project within the city of Spokane. As part of the NSC series of projects, this stage will construct twin elevated structures from Mission Avenue (northern extents) to the north side of Alki Avenue (southern extents). The Trent interchange will be a 5-leg roundabout including off- and on-ramps connected to the NSC. The work will also include grading, utility relocations, retaining walls, drainage, minor paving, sidewalks, and channelization of some City of Spokane Streets.

Conclusion

The PDM Selection Workshop held on November 31, 2022 determined that the Final PDM for the project was Design-Bid-Build. With Region approval and Headquarters endorsement on the attached selection matrix, the project will proceed with utilizing the Design-Bid-Build delivery method.

If you have any questions or comments, please contact me at 509-324-6189 or LynchTe@wsdot.wa.gov.

Final Project Delivery Method Selection Matrix

Project	US 395/NSC Sprague to Spokane River - Stage 2	Date:	11/30/2022		
Title:	03 353/N3C Sprague to Spokarie River - Stage 2		F00015P		
Route:	US 395	PIN:	600015P		
MP(s):	157.93 - 158.55	,	ditional PINs at		
Cost:	\$183,831,091	bottom or attached to this form.			

- Begin with the list of generic considerations offered below; modify or add entries as required. Indicate if the entry is a Project Delivery Goal by checking/selecting the Goal box; if not, leave blank.
- Weights: Enter numbers indicating the relative priority of each Project Delivery Goal (checked/selected)—higher numbers are higher priority—1 is the lowest
- Ratings: Numbers from 1 to 10, with 1 lowest and 10 highest; a two point range is provided for the generic entries as given. Select the Rating that best fits the specifics of your Project Delivery Goal. If a Goal is modified or rewritten, confirm that the ratings are appropriate and revise them accordingly. Any new Goals added to the Matrix will need to have ratings provided based on the probability of each PDM to meet the Goal.
- Score: Multiply the selected Rating of each method by the priority Weight for each Goal. Total the scores for each method and compare.

		Court toward on		Weight	DBB		DB			
			Consideration		Rat	ing	Score	Rating		Score
SCHEDULE	V	Goal	Minimize project delivery time	5	> 9	□ 10	45	√ 6	□ 7	30
		Goal	Meet a specific critical Milestone or Completion date		<u> </u>	□ 10		□ 6	□ 7	
		Goal	Utilize (federal) funding by a certain date		☐ 6	□ 7		8	6	
		Goal	Effectively manage weather, environmental and/or other construction windows		☐ 6	□ 7		8	9	
		Goal	Funding limitations impacts ability to compress the schedule and/or contract all the work early in the process (such as the biennium, grants, etc.)		□ 6	7		6	7	
	V	Goal	Managing Railroad (design) Review schedule	8	√ 9	□ 10	72	✓ 6	7	48
		Goal								
9	✓	Goal	Minimize project cost	5	√ 8	9	40	✓ 6	7	30
	V	Goal	Complete the project on budget	8	✓ 8	9	64	√ 6	7	48
N		Goal	Maximize the project scope and improvements within the budget		□ 4	□ 5		□ 6	7	
N		Goal	Project cost must not exceed a specific amount		□ 6	□ 7		□ 8	9	
7 / F		Goal	Determine the total project cost as early as possible in the schedule		9	□ 10		9	10	
COST	V	Goal	Meet 3rd Party requirements with possible impacts in design and construction	7	✓ 8	9	56	✓ 4	5	28
		Goal								
		Goal								
		Goal	Meet or exceed project quality/scope requirements —utilizing opportunities for innovation		□ 6	□ 7		□ 9	□ 10	
D S	V	Goal	Owner (RR) requires control of design to meet specific		V			V		

PDMSG 3/02/2017 Version

Final Project Delivery Method Selection Matrix

Count' la set'es			DE	3B	DB			
	Consideration		Weight	Rating	Score	Rating	Score	
~		design and construction constraints and/or standards (such	9	8 9	72	5 6	45	
⋖		as aesthetics)						
	☑ Goal	WSDOT maintains control of specific project elements (such	9	\square	72	v o	45	
Z		as significant ROW or environmental impacts)		8 9		5 6	13	
STA	☐ Goal							
0,	☐ Goal							
	☑ Goal	Minimize maintenance and operations costs (assume	9		81	V	45	
Z		maintenance and operations is not part of DB contract)	9	9 10	91	5 6	45	
l —	☐ Goal	Maximize capacity and mobility of improvements						
				6 7		8 9		
V	☑ Goal	Minimize impacts to the public and/or local businesses	8		48		48	
0	G Cool	during construction		6 7		6 7		
z	☑ Goal	Incorporate opportunities for innovation and efficiencies to meet specific requirements	7	4 5	28	9 10	63	
Z	☑ Goal	Avoid or minimize impacts to the project through risk	5	V	30	v o	35	
		transfer and innovation (such as environmental risks)	J	6 7		7 8	33	
Z	☐ Goal	Minimize project permanent area impact (footprint) (This						
-		would be project neutral unless the project is larger and		6 7		6 7		
		more complex—then use the ratings ranges provided)						
N	☐ Goal							
FU	☐ Goal							
L Del	ivery metho	od indicated by this matrix \rightarrow \rightarrow Design-Bid-Buil	d	Totals—	608		465	
Fi	inal Proiect	Delivery Method Selected					*	
☑ Design-Bid-Build ☐ Design-Build								
Authorization								
Project Engineer								
Name: Terrence Lynch Signature:			ル	Lynch Date: 202	2.12.01 09:5 5	:14 -08'00'		
PDE/EM Manager								
Name: for Larry Larson Signature:								
Regional Administrator								
Name: Todd Trepanier Signature:								

Attach project information, assumptions and additional justification to Form

PDMSG 3/02/2017 Version 2

MEETING AGENDA

► MEETING: US395/NSC Sprague Ave. to Spokane River - Stage 2 PDM

Workshop

► DATE: 11/30/22 TIME: 3:00 p.m. – 4:30 p.m.

► PLACE: Virtual - Microsoft Teams Meeting

I. Introductions

II. Purpose of Meeting

- Discuss PDM Process
- Validate the Project Delivery Method
 - Complete the PDM Matrix
 - Obtain Endorsement of the final PDM

III. Project Description/Status/Key Dates/ Critical Items of Work

- Stage 2
 - o Ad Date: Anticipated February 2024 (DBB)
 - Projected O.C.: Anticipated December 2027
 - Design work Completed: (Sharron)
 - Noteworthy Items: RR Agreements, Utilities, GEC Bridge design, Real Estate acquisition,

IV. PDM Selection Discussion

- Review and discuss the draft Matrix
- Group Discussion on PDM Impacts
- Determine if additional goals are needed
- Collaboratively finalize the Matrix scoring

V. Other Items?

Attendees: Terrence Lynch, Sharron Mathews, Larry Larson, Robert Blegen, Ken Heale, Connie Raezer Chad Simonson, Melinda Ziemann, Dustin Vaughn, Rafael Reyes, Kevin Waligorski, Glenn Wagemann, Rich Zeldenrust

