



## Addendum #02

Issued: Friday, October 25, 2024

### Proposer Questions

Project: 2023-290  
Project Name: Deschutes Estuary Restoration  
Phase: Request for Qualifications  
DES PM: Oliver Wu, [Oliver.Wu@des.wa.gov](mailto:Oliver.Wu@des.wa.gov)

#### NOTICE TO ALL POTENTIAL RESPONDENTS

The Request for Qualifications-Request for Proposal (RFQ-RFP) is modified as set forth in this Addendum. The original RFQ-RFP documents and previous addenda remain in full force and effect, except as modified by this addendum, which is hereby made part of the RFQ-RFP. Respondent shall take this Addendum into consideration when preparing and submitting its Statement of Qualification.

Visit our webpage for additional information: <https://des.wa.gov/services/facilities-and-leasing-management/design-build-gccm-alternative-public-works-projects/gccm-project-selections>

#### 1. Questions and Answers:

The following questions were submitted by Proposers between 10/11/2024 and 10/24/2024. These answers are provided.

	Questions	Answers
1	Is the cover letter counted as 1-page or not included in the page count?	The cover letter is NOT included in the SOQ page count.
2	Where does Attachment 00 go in the order of the SOQ? It is not in the order listed in section 9.0, page 15.	Attachment 00 should be placed near the front of the submittal, behind the cover page or cover letter.
3	Is the bonding letter counted as 1 page or not included in the page count?	The bonding letter is NOT included in the SOQ page count.
4	Please clarify what you are looking for us to provide for this criteria: <b>Value Engineering and Cost Transparency</b> Proposers shall <u>provide examples</u> of both value engineering reports and construction cost estimates developed and utilized on prior projects. Do you want us to include actual reports and pages for an estimate?	Please describe your philosophy, experience and methodology in value engineering and transparent pricing as requested in Section 9.0. In addition, example value engineering reports and cost estimates developed and utilized on prior GC/CM or Design-Build projects are requested to be included as an Appendix. The example materials provided in an appendix will NOT be included in the SOQ page count.



**Addendum #02**

**Issued: Friday, October 25, 2024**

**Proposer Questions**

	<b>Questions</b>	<b>Answers</b>
5	<p>Please clarify what you are looking for us to provide for this criteria:</p> <p><b>Constructability Analysis</b>            Proposers shall provide examples of constructability analyses developed and utilized on prior projects and the results obtained. Do you want a constructability analysis report from a project? Alternatively, are you looking for a description of the process we use for Constructability Analysis and how successful that process is to the project?</p>	<p>Please describe your philosophy, experience and methodology in constructability analysis as requested in Section 9.0. In addition, example constructability analyses developed and utilized on prior GC/CM or Design-Build projects are requested to be included as an Appendix. The example materials provided in an appendix will NOT be included in the SOQ page count.</p>
6	<p>Can DES provide a 7-week extension for the submission of the Statement of Qualifications currently due on November 14, 2024?</p>	<p>DES cannot approve an extension for submission of the Statements of Qualifications for the Department of Enterprise Services Deschutes Estuary Restoration Project (2023-290). The submission date will remain November 14, 2024, as the timeframe for GC/CM selection is time critical for project success. Advance notice of the RFQ-RFP was published on August 29 in the DJC, as well as on the DES website, WEBS and OMWBE. A Pre-Solicitation Informational Meeting was held on September 9, in advance of the publication of the RFQ-RFP on October 3. In addition, the timeframes for the GC/CM procurement process have already been extended from our more typical timeframes, due to both the complexity of the project, and winter holidays. DES has concluded that the advance notice provided, along with the procurement process timeframes as defined in the RFQ-RFP provide satisfactory time for proposer responses.</p>

This addendum does not amend the due date or time for submission of Statements of Qualifications.

**End of Addendum #02**