

CAPITOL CAMPUS DESIGN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

Hybrid Meeting

Olympia, Washington 98504

August 15, 2024

10:00 a.m.

Draft Minutes

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Marc Daily (Chair), Urban Planner
Randy Bolerjack (for Secretary of State)
Senator Phil Fortunato
Kristin Glandon, (Vice Chair) Landscape Architect
Senator Sam Hunt
Representative Joel McEntire
Alex Rolluda, Architect 1

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Representative Beth Doglio
Joel Kiel, Architect 2

OTHERS PRESENT:

Cynthia Barrett, Department of Enterprise Services
Emilie Brown, Department of Enterprise Services
George Carter, Department of Enterprise Services
Brent Chapman, Department of Enterprise Services
Brian Considine, Department of Natural Resources
Brandon Corneliusen, Dept. of Enterprise Services
Chris Ferguson, Department of Enterprise Services
Bill Frare, Department of Enterprise Services
Valerie Gow, Puget Sound Meeting Services
Greg Griffith, Olympia Historical Society
Craig Gunn, Department of Enterprise Services
Adam Holdorf, Department of Enterprise Services
Sidney Hunt, Department of Enterprise Services
Majid Jamali, Department of Enterprise Services
Denise Keegan, Trials Legacy Committee
Niquette Kelcher, Department of Enterprise Services
Linda Kent, Department of Enterprise Services
Wesley Kirkman, Department of Enterprise Services
Yvonne Knutson, Office of Financial Management
Dave Koski, Walker Consultants

Nouk Leap, Department of Enterprise Services
Kelvin Liu, Walker Consultants
Aaron Luoma, HBB Landscape Architecture
John Lyons, Department of Enterprise Services
Jeff MacDonald, Department of Enterprise Services
Jimmy Martin, Department of Enterprise Services
Jennifer Masterson, Office of Financial Management
Patrick McDonald, Office of the Secretary of State
Kevin Moore, Walker Consultants
Kyle Overmiller, Office of Legislative Support
Maurice Perigo, Department of Enterprise Services
Brian Pickering, Department of Enterprise Services
Bud Sizemore, WA State Council of Fire Fighters
Aaron Smith, Department of Enterprise Services
Kevin Snow, Legislative Staff
Katy Stark, Department of Enterprise Services
Ginny Streeter, Department of Enterprise Services
Michael Tyson, Department of Enterprise Services
Oliver Wu, Department of Enterprise Services

Welcome and Introductions, Announcements & Approval of Agenda

Chair Marc Daily called the Capitol Campus Design Advisory Committee (CCDAC) hybrid meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. A meeting quorum was established.

Members and staff provided self-introduction.

Chair Daily reviewed the agenda to consider the committee's May 23, 2024 meeting minutes for approval, receive public comments, receive an informational update on Visit Capitol Campus website launch, consideration and action on the Statue/Artwork removal process, updates from Facilities Professional Services (FPS) on Capital Projects, 2025-27 Capital Project Priorities, Legislative Campus Modernization projects, and demolition of the General Administration Building. Buildings and Grounds will provide updates on an Olmsted Plaque, Big Belly Trash Cans, Bike Cages, and Marathon Trials Signage. A Campus

Memorial update includes the Cal Anderson Memorial and Fallen Firefighter Memorial. The agenda includes an update on the Building Naming Process.

There were no proposed changes to the agenda.

Approval of Minutes – May 23, 2024 Meeting Minutes – Action

Kristine Glandon moved, seconded by Alex Rolluda, to approve the meeting minutes of May 23, 2024 as published. A voice vote approved the motion unanimously.

Public Comment Period – Information

Chris Ferguson, DES Government Relations Coordinator, reviewed the format for offering public comment during a hybrid meeting. Public comment is limited to two minutes.

No public comments were offered during the meeting.

Mr. Ferguson summarized written comments received by DES prior to the meeting. The comment conveyed agreement with the removal of the General Administration Building as too much has been expended studying alternative uses for the building. The commenter also requested retention of a large redwood tree located to the west of the building.

Visit Capitol Campus Website Launch – Information

Niquette Kelcher, Digital & Creative Services Director, reported on the July 29, 2024 launch of the new capitol.wa.gov website. The site is designed for visitors. The design process included feedback from visitors to the campus. The design intent was to ensure the campus has a digital presence interfaced with features within the campus of art, parks, gardens, trees, and monuments, tour options on campus, campus map, and directions for visiting the campus. The design created a new brand identity for the campus with a new modernized logo.

The design process involved DES internal coordination of many program and subject matter experts. Another stakeholder was Experience Olympia and Beyond Visitors and Convention Bureau.

Because of the importance of trees on Capitol Campus, the design team worked closely with Dr. Brent Chapman to document the story of trees on the campus with the webpage featuring stories and videos of campus trees. Legislative Support Services collaborated with the project to produce the videos.

Another feature is interactive highlights of the four seasons during the year to aid visitors in exploring the campus. Other information helps visitors prepare for a campus tour or for visiting the Legislative Building. The site features photographs and a building section that includes historical information on each building and current tenants in each building.

Chair Daily shared that he visited the website prior to the meeting and complimented staff for including so much helpful information. As the campus is located within an urban environment, he asked about including other sites and resources surrounding the campus that might be of interest to visitors. Ms. Kelcher said website includes information and links to other activities and attractions for visitors to explore.

Statue/Artwork Removal Process– Information/Action

Linda Kent, Chief External Affairs Officer, outlined the proposal to create a process to inform decisions by the CCDAC and the State Capitol Committee (SCC) on the placement or removal of statues or works of art on the campus. The process would be implemented when direction is received from the Legislature to

remove a statue or work of art. Staff identified the lack of a process after legislation was passed to remove the statue of Marcus Whitman from the Legislative Building to another location off campus. Since then, DES was notified that the statue would remain within the Legislative Building but in a different location. The proposed process does not address the relocation of that statue as the Legislature is considering other locations. DES has engaged a consultant to complete an analysis of opportunity sites within the Legislative Building.

Staff recommends establishing a process for future removals and placements to eliminate the existing gap. Existing WACs and RCWs are silent and no process is identified. The proposed process was developed with participation from members of the Washington State Arts Commission (ArtsWA), the Washington State Historical Society, (WSHS) & Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP).

Ms. Kent reviewed the draft proposal establishing a process when the Legislature directs removal of a statue/memorial or work of art:

- DES will convene a core group (ArtsWA, WSHS, & DAHP), the original artist if available, and other key stakeholders as recommended by the core group to identify and prioritize relocation sites. The responsibilities of the core group are establishing key objectives and criteria each relocation site must meet. Criteria would include basic logistical considerations such as whether a proposed site could accommodate the object. The group would identify potential relocation sites and measure potential sites against key objectives and criteria, identify storage preferences as an option of last resort, and prioritize options for review and decision by the CCDAC and the SCC.
- The prioritized list would initially be presented to the CCDAC and SCC for review and for public comment. The second presentation would include a recommendation to CCDAC and SCC to act on the proposal.
- The public would be notified by public notification of prioritized option(s) two weeks prior to each meeting. Public notification includes information about the key objectives and criteria option(s) used to measure options, the prioritized list, comment submission deadline, and ways to submit comments.

Senator Fortunato joined the meeting.

Chair Daily invited questions from the committee.

Senator Hunt inquired as to whether artwork includes the state seal on the side of the General Administration (GA) Building. Ms. Kent said the proposal applies to any memorial or artwork that would be moved at the direction of the Legislature. In terms of the GA Building, action to demolish the building would initiate a process to identify a new location for the seal.

Randy Bolerjack inquired about the process the Legislature used to direct retention of the Marcus Whitman statue within the Legislative Building. Ms. Kent advised that legislation directing the placement of the Billy Frank Junior replica statue in the Legislative Building was silent on the removal of the Whitman statue. DES received clarification from the Legislature to retain the statue within the building.

Senator Sam Hunt moved, seconded by Kristen Glandon, to recommend the proposed process to remove Statues or Artwork to the State Capitol Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting. A voice vote approved the motion unanimously.

Facility Professional Services (FPS) Overview – Information

Capital Projects Overview

Oliver Wu, PPD Program Manager, briefed members on the status of 2021-23 major projects and closeouts, and 2023-25 capital projects.

2021-23 accomplishments included:

- Completion of the L&I/WSDA Lab Health & Safety Training Center, a new laboratory facility for the Department of Labor & Industries (L&I_ with Washington State Department of Agriculture (WSDA) as a co-tenant. The building is pursuing Net-Zero capability with substantial completion of the building in July 2023.
- An 81kW photovoltaic system was installed on the Capitol Campus Childcare Center in September 2021. The building is now a Net-Zero capable facility.
- Cleaning of the Cherberg Building was completed in September 2022. The work included minor repairs to stone façade and replacement of window sealant.
- Elevator modernization and replacement projects completed in 2023:
 - Capitol Court, Elevator #1
 - Temple of Justice, Elevator #2
 - Plaza Garage, Elevator #1
- Campus garage improvements completed in October 2023 included installation of new digital antenna systems within the Plaza Garage, Department of Transportation Garage, Natural Resources Building Garage, and the Columbia Garage

2023-25 Capital Projects include:

- New Building & Grounds Maintenance Facility
 - Project is currently in design
 - The project team is working on some design decisions to align with the budget
 - Bidding of the project is anticipated to occur later in the year followed by construction
- Legislative Building – Restore Chamber Skylights
 - Project is currently in design. The design team is working to resolve challenges involving the audio and visual systems within the chamber
 - Bidding is anticipated to occur by the next biennium if funding is approved for construction
- Elevator Modernizations:
 - Temple of Justice Elevator #1 – Bid released with construction underway
 - Legislative Building Elevator #5 – Bid to be released by fall 2024. Project is in design
 - Legislative Building Elevator #6 - Bid to be released by fall 2024. Project is in design
 - Insurance Building Elevator No. 1 Modernization Project – Project is in design with bid released in fall 2024
- Highway License Building Critical Fire System Upgrades – Bid was released in April. Construction is in progress
- Legislative Building Cleaning, O’Brien Building Cleaning – Prework is necessary prior to cleaning the building
- Old Capitol Building – Roof Replacement – Design calls for replacing the existing roof with a copper roof for longevity and lower maintenance costs. Stormwater drainage work is underway. The project will be bid in early fall
- Tumwater Modular Building Critical Repairs and Upgrades – Project is a full retrofit for critical repairs, HVAC, and electrical system upgrade. The building houses all print services provided by

DES for the state. Design work is in progress with focus on budget decisions. Budget approval is required to proceed with construction with release of the project bid anticipated in July 2025.

- Capitol Campus Door Access Control Exterior Improvement – The project is ongoing and dependent upon funding received each biennium. The security project upgrades all campus buildings' exterior door access controls. The first phase was released for bid. Each year, DES requests funding for different buildings.
- Executive Residence – Fencing, Gates & Bollards – The project bid was released and successfully received low bids. Staff continues to work through budget challenges for fencing and gates with the goal to proceed with construction in the next several months.
- Executive Residence – Video Surveillance and Lighting
- Capitol Campus Security – Wedge Barrier – The project site is Water Street. Construction was initiated earlier in the summer.
- Temple Of Justice- - System Renewal & Updates – A carryover project from the previous biennium for HVAC and electrical systems renewal. The tenant will move back to the building by early October.

DES 2023-25 Minor Works Projects:

- Exterior Safety Railings at both the Legislative Building and Temple of Justice
- Campus Signage and Wayfinding Study
- Highway License Building – HVAC Recommissioning
- Archives – Investigate & Repair Sewer Lines
- Capitol Way Pedestrian Bridge Repair
- Cherberg-O'Brien – Replace UST Monitor
- Highway License Building-Plaza Garage – Replace Failed Tunnel Skylight
- Basement Water at Legislative Building

Mr. Bolerjack inquired as to potential impacts of the elevator projects to the public and legislators during the session. Mr. Wu explained that many projects are in progress on west Campus during the construction season and that he would follow-up with more information on the timing of elevator projects after the meeting.

Chair Daily asked about the location of the wedge barrier on Water Street. Mr. Wu said the barrier is located on the north side of the GA Building. Chair Daily asked about the purpose of placing a barrier in that specific location. Mr. Wu advised that the project team is collaborating with Campus Security and Visitor Services on the project. He will contact staff and obtain more information about the intent of the project.

Senator Hunt asked about the schedule for the Newhouse Building Replacement project. Mr. Wu advised of an update later in the meeting on the timing to complete the project.

2025-27 Capital Project Priorities

John Lyons, FPS Planning and Project Delivery Manager, briefed the committee on the 2025-2027 Capital Budget Request. Over the last 20 years, the average budget request was \$150 million with an average appropriation of \$64 million. The lowest amount requested and received during the year following the recession was \$43 million with \$11 million appropriated. The maximum capital budget request was during the last biennium (23/25) of \$357 million with \$160 million appropriated. The request included significant funding for LCM projects.

The guiding framework is based on a set of organizing principles. The plan builds in continuity and stability to address existing assets and high priority projects. Any changes to the plan are minimal to the extent

possible. DES currently has down payments on 10 projects totaling \$233 million. Recent new metrics available to DES include the Facility Condition Index (FCI) assessment, energy meters on all buildings to inform and direct energy efficiency projects, and data on seismic risks.

The FCI assessment provides information on a facility's condition. Each evaluation is based on 3,600 data points of building system components. The condition scores for west Campus buildings reflect an overall good condition indicative of the work completed by DES on projects and ongoing maintenance and preservation. The Powerhouse stands out with a high FCI of 35% indicating poor condition and significant need for repair or replacement. The average condition of east campus buildings is more concerning as the FCI for most buildings is poor totaling \$206 million in repair costs. Satellite buildings are located in north campus or off campus. All buildings have a FCI of 4. Many of the buildings have exceeded their life expectancy and the cost to repair buildings is greater than demolishing and rebuilding. The budget request includes funds to demolish some buildings.

The planning framework focuses on stability and data-driven adjustments with modifications to the existing capital plan only when substantiated by data.

The ten projects that have been initiated include:

- Deschutes Estuary Restoration
- Legislative Campus Modernization
- Capitol Campus Security
- Legislative Building systems Rehabilitation
- NRB – Replace Piping for Wet Fire Suppression
- Modular Building – Critical Repairs and Upgrades
- GA- Building Demolition
- Critical Campus Heat System Replacement
- Transportation – Preservation

DES is requesting a substantial amount of funding for minor works projects as reflected in the FCI assessment.

Alex Rolluda asked whether any of the buildings scheduled for demolition are listed on the historic register or eligible for nomination. Mr. Lyons advised that eligibility for nomination applies to buildings older than 45 years. At this time, no buildings identified for removal are eligible or are included on the historic register.

Legislative Campus Modernization (LCM)

Wesley Kirkman, LCM Project Director, provided an update on the overall progress of LCM projects. The LCM Team is responsible for delivering the Newhouse Building Replacement project, which is nearing completion. The Pritchard Building Expansion and Renovation project was initiated earlier in the year. The last LCM project is the O'Brien Building third and fourth floors. The project is not scheduled to commence until after the legislative session ends in 2026.

Brian Pickering, Project Manager, provided a status report on the Newhouse Building Replacement project. Major exterior components of the building have been completed with crews working on caulking, flashing, and trim out for all major systems. Interior work is in progress with carpet and tile scheduled for installation in some areas. Infrastructure surrounding the building and the site include paving of the parking lot for the Newhouse Building on the south side of the building. Construction is proceeding at a fast pace with solar scheduled for installation on the roof. Work continues on painting and installation of flooring materials with

a goal of late September/early October to pave Columbia Street as one of the last elements of the project. Following completion of the road paving work, the next step is delivery of furniture and landscaping. The schedule is on track for substantial completion of the building by November 15, 2024. Mr. Pickering shared several photographs of the project site from last month.

In response to a question from Senator Hunt, Bill Frare, FPS Assistant Director, said the building is on track for completion for employees to move in before the next legislative session. Mr. Kirkman added that the project team is working on the move-in plan scheduled to commence immediately following the substantial completion date.

Sidney Hunt, Project Manager, updated members on progress of the Pritchard Building Expansion and Renovation project. Occupants moved from the building approximately four months ago. The Tobey Art painting was removed and is temporarily displayed in the Helen Sommers Building. The Callahan Mural was removed and will be restored by an art conservator. The Fitzgerald Mosaic and the Du Pen Fountain have been protected and will remain on the site during the renovation. Demolition has been completed including extensive abatement, steel bracing, and stone salvage. Currently, hillside preparation work is underway to stabilize the hillside. Tree removal and excavation has been completed. A large drill auger-casting machine is on site to install 255 auger holes to a depth of 65 feet. The work is scheduled for completion in late October with the new expansion foundations scheduled for installation. The schedule for substantial completion is August 2027.

Construction drawings for the O'Brien renovation have been completed. The project is on hold until spring 2026.

Mr. Hunt displayed photographs of the removal of the sandstone from the Pritchard Building, which is stored and reused for some exterior and interior areas of the building. Demolition of the building stacks has been completed entailing a careful deconstruction process to ensure the integrity of the building was maintained. Excavation on the westside and southern side of the building was completed. A concrete pad was poured for installation of the auger holes.

Mr. Rolluda asked about the process for removal of the Toby artwork and transfer to the Helen Sommers Building. Mr. Hunt said professional art conservators from New York handled the removal and transfer of the artwork. Following delivery to the Helen Sommers Building, the conservators reinstalled the artwork in the lobby, which will remain temporarily. Following completion of the Pritchard project, the artwork will be returned to the Pritchard Building

General Administration Building Demolition

Kevin Moore, Project Manager with Walker Consultants, reviewed the project's history and the status of the demolition project.

The six-story GA Building is 284,000 square feet in size and was constructed in 1956. Numerous predesign and renovation studies were completed in 1992 and 2006 along with a study of the adjacent soldier pile wall by Golder Associates. In 2012, historic assessment and renovation options were completed by SRG Partnership, Inclusion. In 2018, due to inefficiencies and potential hazards identified in previous studies, tenants vacated the building. Last year, DES was authorized to begin demolition and post-demolition analyses and designs. Expected completion of the demolition and post-demolition is in 2026.

In 2008, the GA Building was designated a historical building based on its architectural and historical significance by the Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (DAHP). The designation was part of efforts to recognize and preserve the state's architectural and historical heritage.

In 2015, to accommodate the new Helen Sommers Building, an adjacent parking structure was demolished eliminating 261 parking stalls from Capitol Campus. To recapture some of the lost parking stalls, the post-demolition site will include a temporary surface parking lot.

In terms of environmental compliance, SEPA review and documents were completed and released for a 30-day public comment period. Previous reports identified hazardous materials in the building. DES also inspected the building and identified hazardous materials. Abatement of the hazardous materials is anticipated to require four months at a cost of \$1.25 million. DES determined that over 90% of the GA Building is comprised of recyclable, reusable, or salvable materials. The project's plans and specifications will direct materials away from landfills when possible, as well as completing an embodied carbon estimate for end of life stages of the building. Typically, end of life stages contribute less than 2% of greenhouse gases in the total lifecycle of the structure. DES is limited by the footprint of the site because there is insufficient space to stockpile materials on the site requiring the hauling of materials each day using an estimated 750 containers for demolition with 10 to 20 hauls anticipated each day. Additionally, no construction activity is scheduled during the legislative session.

Previous studies were completed on historical artifacts of the building and within the interior of the building. Each artifact within the building has been identified along with the quantity. Treatments for each historical artifact include storage for reuse, surplus, or recycling. Items stored for reuse would be reused either during the post-demolition site plan or on another project on campus. Surplused items can be reused but not necessarily on the campus. Recycled materials are materials not considered for reuse in other construction projects.

Mr. Moore outlined the plan for following the Olmsted Landscape Plan. Capitol Campus is divided into four distinct zones. At Columbia and 11th Avenue, the project will replicate the street edge zones and replicate the native edge zone to the west of the GA Building site. Additionally, previous studies identified the intersection of 11th Avenue and Columbia as a gateway to the north part of the campus. The project scope will connect the urban area to the north of the campus and provide a vantage point to the Legislative Building as visitors enter the campus or park in the future parking lot.

The scope addresses existing trees on the site. The proposal includes removal of two mature trees located on the southwest corner and the southeast corner of the site. Eighty-eight new trees will be planted with a minimum of 25% of plantings to be species beneficial to pollinator species. A redwood tree located to the west of the site will be preserved and protected during demolition and post-demolition activities.

The post-demolition site will include a temporary restroom facility and a Washington State Seal monument. No dedicated public restrooms are located nearby with the proposal serving as an opportunity to add some utility to the site. The Washington State seal will be removed from the building currently affixed to the south face of the building. The seal will be added to a proposed monument.

Mr. Moore shared images of the pre-demolition site and a rendering of the post-demolition site. He invited questions from the committee.

Mr. Rolluda asked about the rationale for placing a restroom facility in the proposed location. Mr. Moore said the project team discussed the location and agreed that the location should be accessible to the public.

Many visitors will be using the new surface parking lot when they visit the campus. The restroom is placed in a location that is south of the Legislative Building providing beneficial access to visitors.

Senator Hunt noted the issues surrounding hillside stabilization. He inquired as to whether the project includes any plans for stabilization of the hillside. Mr. Moore said the project scope includes repairing the soldier pile wall with some additional slope stability that may be pursued in a future project rather than as a component of the demolition project.

Mr. Frare noted that the design team reviewed a number of options for hillside stabilization. The option selected was to repair existing infrastructure rather than investing more funds for hillside stabilization affording greater flexibility for DES to design a system that meets future codes for a future building. The repair option is less expensive rather than pursuing improvements necessary for the future.

Kristen Glandon asked about the lifespan of the temporary parking lot. Mr. Moore said no timeline was identified other than previous studies addressed future development of the site. The plan calls for a temporary parking lot with an expectation that future development might not occur for several years.

In response to a question from the public, Mr. Moore said the parking lot will include 264 parking stalls.

Buildings and Grounds Overview – Informational

Olmsted Plaque

Dr. Brent Chapman, Horticulturist and Grounds Property Manager, reviewed the status of the Olmsted Plaque project.

The DES Sign Committee approved a new commemorative plaque honoring the Olmsted Brothers historic landscape design. The campus includes no physical or tangible information or recognition of the Olmsted contribution to the historic west Campus for visitors to learn and appreciate. The proposal is a bronze plaque following specific guidelines for the size, material, and the font. The sign will be 12” x 18” and installed on a granite base. Staff collaborated with Friends of Seattle’s Olmsted Parks and DES Communications on the text and sign layout. The proposed text on the sign states, “The historic landscape of the west Capitol Campus honors the 1929 plan designed by the renowned Olmsted Brothers firm. A variety of trees, shrubs, flowers, woodlands, and water bodies - incorporated with civic buildings, monuments and memorials - create an enjoyable and educational experience for visitors. This campus has been a nexus for communities since the time of the earliest Indigenous people. It is now home of the state government and a National Historic District. The entire campus became an accredited arboretum in 2023.”

Dr. Chapman expressed appreciation to the partners who helped guide and develop the layout design and text.

Dr. Chapman shared a photograph of the George W. Bush commemorative plaque for the Bush butternut tree located on west campus as an example of a granite base and another bronze plaque following WAC guidelines. He cited the proposed location of the plaque between the Tivoli Fountain and the Medal of Honor Memorial, along the main east/west axis that defines west campus.

The SCC is scheduled to receive a presentation on the proposal at its September 19, 2024 meeting. The project elements include some site preparation, removal of grass, and installation of a concrete footer for the granite subbase. The goal is to install the plaque prior to the 2025 legislative session dependent upon the fabrication schedule of the vendor.

Big Belly Trash Cans

Dr. Chapman reported safety of Buildings and Grounds employees is a top priority. Feedback from some employees indicated difficulty in handling existing recycle and waste receptacles on campus requiring employees to lift the entire waste bag over the top of the waste receptacle creating an environment for back or other types of muscle strains or increasing odds of bags breaking exposing employees to all types of waste. Staff explored options and identified Big Belly trashcans as a preferred option similar to many other urban communities using Big Belly trash cans. An app is available to notify employees when the can is full preventing overflow of garbage. Another feature is a foot pedal to open the receptacle so a person does not need to touch the receptacle to discard trash.

The receptacles are modern, clean, and easy to service. The receptacles are easier for staff to handle and comply with ADA requirements. A pilot project was launched in Sylvester Park in downtown Olympia near the old Capitol Building. Custodian staff conveyed support for the new waste containers as the containers meet the objective of making the job easier and safer. The pilot project was successful for both staff and the public.

The proposal is to place Big Belly trash receptacles on the west campus. One proposed location is at the southeast entrance to the Legislative Building.

Based on stakeholdering with major tenants on the west campus, feedback was positive because of the appearance and ease of use. DES Communications is considering advertising the new receptacles on the new campus visitor website. The SCC is scheduled to receive a briefing on the proposal at its September meeting as well.

Bike Cages

Dr. Chapman advised that the briefing is a follow-up to some previous questions from the committee on bike parking capacity on the campus.

Dr. Chapman shared an illustration denoting green markers of existing public and employee bicycle racks and blue markers of planned public and employee bicycle racks based on an inventory he completed on the west campus. Based on observations from Visitor Services staff and Grounds employees, none of the racks have been used to capacity even during legislative sessions.

A new bicycle facility in progress is located behind the O'Brien Building comprised of a covered, secured structure for 15-20 House employee bicycles, including e-charging and a bike repair station. Staff will enter and exit the secure structure via a card reader. Other planned bicycle facilities are included in many of the LCM projects. The Newhouse project will install eight bike racks of which six will be located under a bicycle shelter on the east side of the building and two located in front of the building along the pathway. Each bicycle rack is designed for two bicycles. The Pritchard Building project includes four bicycle racks for public use for eight bicycles and four bicycle lockers for employees supporting eight bicycles. The new parking lot on the GA Building site includes four bicycle racks serving eight bicycles.

Dr. Chapman reviewed the inventory of bicycle racks on the east campus. The locations are varied with all parking lots on east campus featuring bicycle racks. Building and Grounds crews recently added outlets to all the locations for e-bike charging.

Marathon Trials Signage

Dr. Chapman introduced Denise Keegan, Chair of the Trials Legacy Committee, who briefed the committee on the proposal.

Ms. Keegan reported in 1993, several women in Olympia approached the U.S. Olympic Committee to host the first women's Olympic Marathon Trials. Former Supreme Court Justice Gerry Alexander was part of the group that traveled to Philadelphia. The group succeeded in winning the Trials that was considered a bidding upset in the history of the Olympic Games as no community the size of Olympia had ever hosted an Olympic event. There was much consternation among other metropolitan cities when the City of Olympia won the bid, which led to local and civic pride as both a community and as a state. The Women's Marathon was not added until 1983 despite the Men's Marathon serving as the event initiating the modern Olympics. At that time, the public nor the Olympic Committee were excited to add the event. With a groundswell of support, the Olympia group was able to achieve the privilege of hosting the first Trials. The Trials were held on May 12, 1984 with the support of over 4,000 volunteers from throughout the state and local community. Joan Benoit Samuelson won the first Trials and went on to win the first Women's Olympic Marathon in Los Angeles during the games.

Marathon Park was established and named in 1983 when the City of Olympia received the bid to host the first Trials. The long-term plan by the committee was to name the park in honor of all women who both qualified and competed in the first Trials. The finish line of the Trials is located in front of the park. The site has national and international significance as well as local significance. In 2004, a marker was placed in the park. The Trials Legacy Committee recently hosted the 40th anniversary of the Women's Marathon Trials. Senator Hunt attended the commemorative banquet. Of the 280 women who started, 197 completed the marathon Trial with the other women completing the Trial outside of the four-hour required finish time.

The existing location of the marker is not ideal as many of the 60 women who participated in the Trials and attended the reunion in May remarked that the location was hidden and that the marker should be moved to a more visible location. The proposal is to move the existing marker to the grassy area at the front entrance to the park – parallel to the sidewalk on the left as one enters the park, and adding an additional interpretive panel next to the relocated marker with the names of the original Trials participants with qualifying time and finish time. The markers would be located approximately 30-feet from the front public sidewalk parallel to Deschutes Parkway at the entrance to Marathon Park.

Ms. Keegan reported the proposal was presented to the SCC. The intent is to begin fabrication of the panel by the end of the year, relocate, and install the markers. At that time, a dedication ceremony would be hosted at the location with many of the original Trial participants attending.

Ms. Keegan added that the committee is collaborating with the U.S.A. Track and Field Board of Directors as well as the U.S. Olympic Committee to ensure the accuracy of the list of the times and the competitors. Most of the funds required to create and install the markers has been raised.

Chair Daily thanked Ms. Keegan for providing the update and for ensuring the Trials are recognized as an important part of history.

Campus Memorials Update – Informational

Cal Anderson Memorial

Aaron Luoma with HBB Seattle updated members on the Cal Anderson Memorial project.

HBB Seattle representatives have been working with stakeholders and DES to develop some goals for the project. Over many meetings, the goals have been refined and modified as input and feedback was received from stakeholders. One main goal of the memorial is to honor Senator Cal Anderson as a civil rights champion as Washington's first open gay legislator. Another goal honors him for his service in the Vietnam War and for his character and legacy within the memorial design. The location will be in a quiet area of the

campus while ensuring the space is inclusive and accessible to everyone. It is important the design works within the historic character and complements the campus as it exists today.

Before the consultant team initiated the process, DES and others worked to identify a location for the memorial located east of the Sunken Garden. The project must also comply with WAC standards for minor works memorials that include some guiding language on living memorials, fixtures, and furnishings. The provisions are specific if the memorial includes plaques for an individual.

Mr. Luoma reviewed the design schedule. Since early June, the project team has been working with stakeholders over three meetings. The meetings produced good feedback. The early conceptual phase is nearing completion. The proposal will also be presented to the SCC in September. Stakeholder meetings will conclude at the end of the year. The proposal will be presented to the committee at its November meeting to share the final version of the conceptual design.

Stakeholders include members of the Senate and House as well as their aids, Senator Anderson's husband, representatives from the Secretary of State Office, DES, Veterans Affairs, ArtsWA, and the LGBTQ Commission representing a diverse mix of individuals to provide feedback. Mr. Luoma shared a graphic depicting a series of words that have been mentioned by stakeholders when describing Senator Anderson's life and legacy. The words have been recorded with many of the words paired with either pictures or graphic images conveying the words or inspirations. The images are part of the steps in developing the design framework for the memorial. All of the information has been shared with the stakeholder group.

Building on the words and images, the project team considered how they have been historically represented in a built or natural environment. The project team visited the site and reviewed the historical analysis of the location to help identify and understand how the memorial would reside within the context of the historic Olmsted Landscape Plan on west campus. The team considered the topology of the site, hierarchy of major and minor access based on the orientation of buildings, roadways, and pathways while acknowledging that the goal is not to draw away from the importance but rather to complement the historic character of the campus. The team considered the social fabric and how people move through the site using different pathways and roadways. The site provides both views of the Legislative Building and is within hearing distance of the fountain. Other views to the south are blocked by trees with views and sounds to the north and northwest.

The last layer of the analysis was the environmental layer. The site's grades are gentle east of the Sunken Garden with grades sloping steeper moving north. A large tree casts a shadow and it was important to place the memorial some distance from the shadow.

Initial design themes focused on sitting, meditating, and contemplating the views of the Legislative Building and greensward, creating an opportunity for announcements, proclamations, educate towards greensward, complementing curvilinear greensward and pastoral landscape to provide contrast to the Sunken Garden, and buffering views and sounds to north and northwest. The team considered how the memorial attracts pedestrians from the south and viewed from east and northeast while avoiding any sight obstructions of the Sunken Garden. The goal is complementing the existing landscape and creating an inviting environment for people to visit the memorial. The design team developed several design themes of the memorial exploring different widths and height of the memorial, placement of the memorial, and different ways of accessing the memorial. Stakeholders preferred Concept D with some refinements. The concept employs circular space with stairs and an accessible pathway, seating, and a large stone or boulder. Additional feedback included adding a tree and additional plantings.

Senator Hunt shared that Cal Anderson served as his mentor during his first year in the House of Representatives. The memorial is meaningful to him personally as he was a friend. The words describing him lacks “leader” as Cal Anderson was definitely a leader. He asked the team to consider “leader” during the design process. Mr. Luoma confirmed the request.

Chair Daily thanked Mr. Luoma for the update.

Fallen Firefighter Memorial

Brian Considine, Legislative Director, Department of Natural Resources (DNR), reported DNR serves as the largest wildland firefighter force in the state with 600 permanent seasonal firefighters. The Legislature unanimously passed House Bill 2091 this year establishing a Fallen Firefighter Memorial on Capitol Campus. The bill was filed by Commissioner of Public Lands Hilary Franz and the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) as agency requested legislation. Senators Van De Wege and Braun and Representatives Bronoske and Griffey were the prime sponsors of companion bills SB 5946 and HB 2091, respectively with over forty legislators supporting both bills, including legislators who oversee the major works process. Additionally, the bill was supported by fire service partners of Washington State Council of Fire Fighters, Washington Fire Chiefs, Washington State Fire Fighters’ Association, and the Fallen Firefighters Memorial Foundation. The bill moved through the State Government Committee chaired by Senator Hunt with Senator Fortunato serving as a member of the committee. Mr. Considine thanked both senators for their support of the bill.

Members from various organizations have been working on a memorial concept for many years. In 1989, the Legislature passed a firefighter memorial bill. A shadowbox memorial was placed in the Cherberg Building commemorating firefighters who had lost their lives in the line of duty. Following the Nisqually Earthquake, the memorial was damaged and was not replaced. Since then, other opportunities have been explored to place a memorial on the campus. Approximately 300 firefighters have died in the line of duty in Washington since 1891. Once constructed, the memorial will be a permanent place and destination where firefighters, family members, and the public have a space to reflect and remember those who paid the ultimate sacrifice for their public service.

As part of the major works process, the next step is securing a site on Capitol Campus for the memorial and then working through the design process. DNR and Fire Service partners have been working with DES staff on possible site locations since November 2023. A proposed site selected is located to the northeast on the great lawn across from the WWII Memorial, which offers clear sight lines to the Legislative Building. The open space on the great lawn and its proximity to the north diagonal enables fire trucks and large gatherings to assemble.

The intent is to present a site recommendation for the memorial to the CCDAC and the SCC. DNR has sufficient funding in the capital budget for the current biennium to initiate predesign. Following approval of the design, DNR plans to seek a capital budget request for funding the construction of the memorial.

Senator Hunt commented on possibly scheduling a future discussion on the plans for the site of the former greenhouse, as the site is not an aesthetically pleasing site on the campus.

Building Naming Process Review – *Informational*

The review was tabled to a future meeting.

Future Announcements and Adjournment of Meeting – *Action*

Chair Daily reported the next meeting of the SCC is Thursday, September 19, 2024 at 10 a.m.

Mr. Ferguson commented on the possibility of scheduling a special meeting to consider another agenda item. Staff will consult with members on a preferred meeting date.

Chair Daily reported the next regular meeting of the CCDAC is Thursday, November 14, 2024 at 10 a.m.

With there being no further business, Chair Daily adjourned the meeting at 12:10 p.m.

Prepared by Valerie L. Gow, Recording Secretary/President
Puget Sound Meeting Services, psmsoly@earthlink.net