Da	te:	12/5/24	Approved	X
Public Agency:		Bremerton School District	Denied	1 1
Pro	oject Name:	Consolidated Facilities Replacement		
PF	RC Member:	Tom Golden		
		Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ring procedures:	13	
Α.	Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	Pass	Fall
В.		qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.		_
	Public bodies i	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	X	
	in developi	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critic ng the construction methodology, or		(
		ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencie ne designer and the builder; or	es X	
		savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X	(
C.	(must meet all	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	,	
	and the second s	ivery knowledge and experience	X	
		contract administration personnel with construction experience	X	
		nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	X	
		of project management team with project type & scope experience	1 /	
		and appropriate construction budget		
D.		ild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	'	
E.	Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	Х	
Ove Reas	rall Evaluation becomes	by Committee/Panel Member ation:		
Site	may be at least p	partially occupied during construction. PDB allows innovative collaboration	on with industr	y partners
pre-	engineered build	ling, modular construction, etc.) to stretch dollars and provide less expen	sive building n	nethods.
				-
Obse	ervations/Concer	ns:		
3udg	get is tight, but Pl	DB offers better avenues for thoughtful spending of public money.		
			-	

Revised 7/27/2023

V	sign-Build Project				
Date: 12/5/2024			Approved		
Public Agency:	Bremerton School District	Denie	d		
Project Name:	Consolidated Facilities Replacement Project				
PRC Member:	Karl Kolb				
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		9		
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the reing procedures:	quiren			
A. Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	Г	Pass	Fail	
	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.	-	Х		
Public bodies	may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the est is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)		Х	=	
in developi	The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or				
 The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. 				11	
	as necessary experience or team:	i	Х		
(must meet all	6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		X		
	ivery knowledge and experience contract administration personnel with construction experience		X		
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		X		
	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	Si	
	of project management team with project type & scope experience		Х		
(F) (10) (F) (10)	and appropriate construction budget		Х		
knowledgeable	ild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		Х	14	
E. Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	L	Х		
Overall Evaluation becason for Determina	by Committee/Panel Member ation:				
Great application of P	DB in the best interest of the public; excellent supporting team.	400	. ·		
Observations/Concer	ns:				
ight budget.					
			r ⁹		
11 00					

Date: December 5, 2024		Approved		Х
Public Agency:			Denied	
Project Name:	Consolidated Facilities Replacement Project			
PRC Member:	Art McCluskey			
,	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the reting procedures:	equire		
Δ Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	1	Pass	Fail
B. Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	r	X	2
	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criticating the construction methodology, or	al 🗀	Х	
The project	cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies ne designer and the builder; or	3	Х	24
	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)		Х	
	livery knowledge and experience	[Х	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience		Х	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		Х	
	A appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experience and appropriate construction budget		X	
D. For Design-Bu	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is a in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	L	X	
	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	*	Х	- 1
Overall Evaluation k	by Committee/Panel Member ation:)
Applicant meets RCV	V requirements			
Observations/Concer		9	-	_
			- 12	

Art McCluskey

Ap	plication Evalu blic Agency D			
Pi	ate: ublic Agency: oject Name: RC Member:	100	proved	<u> </u>
	*** ×	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		7
		Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requting procedures:	uirements fo	r Fail
A.	Provides subs	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	Y	Ган
	Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	1	1 2 2 4 4 4 V
	in develop 2. The project between t	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical bing the construction methodology, or cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies he designer and the builder; or tts savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X X X	
C.	 (must meet all Project de Sufficient Written ma Necessary Continuity 	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) livery knowledge and experience contract administration personnel with construction experience anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority 6 & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 7 of project management team with project type & scope experience 8 and appropriate construction budget		
D.		uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		
E.		as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		***************************************
	rall Evaluation son for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation:		
Obs <i>G</i>	ervations/Conce	rns: Vation and proproation. Project makes Sen	.50	

Revised 7/27/2023

Date:	December 5, 2024	Approved	Χ
Public Agency:	Bremerton School District	Denied	
Project Name:	Consolidated Facilities Replacement Project		
PRC Member:	Taine Wilton		

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
 - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
 - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
 - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
 - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
 - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
 - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

	Х	
	Х	
	Х	8 6
Ī	X	
	Х	
	X	
	Χ	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	X X X X X	
	X X	
	X.	

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Specialized activities on compact site, target value design, design build construction methodology, greater innovation and efficiencies around electric bus charging stations, along with project delivery, timing and early procurement.

Project may need to be phased to accommodate temporary facilities.

Observations/Concerns:

Considered student participation, minority and women owned businesses. Have support from local school districts with lessons learned and strategized with Tacoma Public Schools on PDB delivery benefits.

Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Digitally signed by Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

School District

Date: 2024.12.05 16:43:15-08'00'

Date:	December 5, 2024	Approved	Χ
Public Agency:	Bremerton School District	Denied	
Project Name:	Consolidated Facilities Replacement	_	
PRC Member:	Gina M. Hortillosa	_	
	Destruct Foot action Office	_	

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:

(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

- 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

X	
Х	
X	
Х	
 X	
X X X X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
X	
 X	

Fail

Pass

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

M. Hortillosa

Reason for Determination:

	Great presentation by team.	With technology changes associated w/ this type of project,	PDB is an excellent
option.			
Observ	rations/Concerns:		

Date:	12/5/2024	Appro	ved	х
Public Agency:	Bremerton SD	 Denied		
Project Name:	Facilities			
PRC Member:	Traci Rogstad			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:	requirer		
A Provides subs	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	Ī	Pass X	Fail
B. Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	е	^	
	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criticing the construction methodology, or	cal	Х	
 The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. 		es	Х	
			Х	
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)			
•	livery knowledge and experience	[Х	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience		Х	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		Х	
•	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		Х	
•	of project management team with project type & scope experience	e	X	
•	and appropriate construction budget		Х	
•	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	5	X	
E. Public Body h	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		X	
Overall Evaluation I Reason for Determin	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
Observations/Conce	rns:			<u> </u>
Traci Rogstad 12/5/202	24 - electronic			
Signature				

Project Review Committee (PRC)

G	C/CM Project E	valuation Sheet						
Da	te:	12-05-2024				Approved		X
PF	PRC Member: ANTHONY UDEAGBALA, AIA				Denied			
Pu	blic Agency:	BREMERTON SCHOO DISTRICT						
Pro	oject Name:	CONSOLIDATED FACILITIES REF	PLACEMENT PROJECT					
Re	questing Alternative S	Subcontractor Selection?	Yes	No	X			
	bcontractor/Subcontra			<u>—</u>		Approve ASS	SP (y/n)	
		Due in a	4 F	!4! -				
		_	t Evaluation Cri actor/Construct		lanager			
	etermine that the A	Agency's proposed use of GC/C ng procedures:	M on the project meet	s the rec	quirements for		Pass	Fail
A.	Provides substan	tial fiscal benefit or traditional delive	ery method is not practica	al.			X	
В.		alifying criteria under RCW 39.10.3- ects where at least one of the follow			GC/CM procedu	re for	Х	
		on of the project involves complex	0,1		•			
		nvolves construction at an occupied	d facility which must conti	inue to op	erate during			
	construction; 3. The involvem		in stage is critical to the s	TICCOSS O	f the project: or			
		0 0 0 1 1 7						
	• •	equires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or						
		s, and the public body elects to pro						
		provision of this chapter pertaining	•		• •	ss the		
_		expressly elects to procure the project	-	-	•			
C.	•	necessary experience or team: (mu	ist meet all 6 to pass;	Tall Talls a	all)		X	
	•	ery knowledge and experience ntract administration personnel with	construction experience					
		agement plan with clear & logical lin	•	•				
		appropriate funding and time to ca	•					
	5. Continuity of	project management team with pro	ject type & scope experie	ence				
	•	nd appropriate construction budget						
D.	Public Body has r	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous projects.				X	
Α	Iternative Si	ubcontractor Selectio	n Process					
		ublic Agency's proposed use of alte		ection by	the GC/CM on	the	_	
		uirements for alternative subcontra-					Pass	Fail
E.	Public Benefit						X	
		Iternative subcontractor selection v						
_		determining if alternative subcontractive	ctor selection is in the be	st interes	t of the public			
F.		agement/Knowledge	la atian muaaaa				X	
	•	in the alternative subcontractor sel the GC/CM during the alternative s	•	roocc				
	-	of Public Body responsibilities	subcontractor selection pr	100633				
		of audit requirements						
Re	_	mination Observations/Co	ncerns:				<u> </u>	
= -			-					
_	AS STATED) AROVE						

Revised 7/27/2023