Date:	12/5/2024	Approved	Х
Public Agency:	Western Washington University	Denied	
Project Name:	Campus Decarbonization Heating System Conversion Project		
PRC Member:	Mallorie Davies		

### **Project Evaluation Criteria** Design-Build

	ermine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the require rnative contracting procedures:	ments fo	or _
	7.	Pass	Fail
A.	Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	Х	7
B.	Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.  Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	х	9
	The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or	Х	
	<ol><li>The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or</li></ol>	X	
	Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X	
C.	Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	Х	
	Project delivery knowledge and experience	X	
	Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience	X	
	Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority	X	
	4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	X	8
	5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience	X	
	Necessary and appropriate construction budget	X	
D.	For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	х	
E.	Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	Х	

#### Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:

While it is an ambitious project, they have met all criteria for the alternative process. Encouraged to hear that they will be engaging in IRA tax credits to help extend funding as well as apprenticeship and PLA utilization.

Observations/Concerns: CCA funding is questionable with the budget up	o in the air for the State		
		 	•
11000	~ 1	36	6

· abile rigolog Be	orgin Barra i Tojoot			
Date:	12/5/2024	Appro	ved	Χ
Public Agency:	Western Washington University	Denie	:d	
Project Name:	Campus Decarbonization Heating System Conversion Project			
PRC Member:	Jeff Gonzalez			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ing procedures:	e require		
A Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical		Pass X	Fail
B. Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.  may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which to st is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)		х	
	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is cri ing the construction methodology, or	tical	Х	· ·
2. The project	its selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficience ne designer and the builder; or	cies	Х	
	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		Х	
<ol> <li>Project del</li> </ol>	ivery knowledge and experience	[]	Х	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience		X	
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experien	ice	X	
**************************************	and appropriate construction budget		Х	
_	illd projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.		х	
E. Public Body ha	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	ļ	Х	
Overall Evaluation k Reason for Determina	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
Applicant met the reg	uirements.	19		-
Observations/Concer	ns: t through the timing of funding and options available if that changes.	,		

**Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Design-Build Project** Date: Approved WESTERN WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY Public Agency: Denied **Project Name:** MRISDECARBONIZATION HEATING SYSTEM PRC Member: WE JOHNSON **Project Evaluation Criteria** Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: Pass Fail A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: PROJECT MEETS CRITERIA FOR DB. TEAM HAS THE NECLESS PERIENCE TO BE SULLESFUL Observations/Concerns: BUT WWW PRIDUDED EXPLANATIONS HOW THEY WOULD HANDLE,

Signature

Project Review Committee (PRC)

ublic Agelicy De	Sign-Duna i Toject			
Date:	te: December 5, 2024		ed	X
Public Agency:	ic Agency: Western Washington University		I	
Project Name:	Campus Decarbonization Heating System Conversion Project			
PRC Member:	Art McCluskey	i (a)		
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets ting procedures:			
A Duravida a sulta		_	Pass	Fail
	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practic	al.	Х	
Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which ost is over two million dollars and where: <i>(Pass if meets 1 of 3)</i>	the	х	
in developi	uction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is ong the construction methodology, or		Х	
	ts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficience designer and the builder; or	ncies	X	
	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		Х	/
V-50	ivery knowledge and experience		X	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience		Х	
	nagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		Х	
	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	-	X	
	of project management team with project type & scope experience and appropriate construction budget	nce	X	
D. For Design-Bu	ild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB tea		X	
_	e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contracted as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	t.		
E. Public body na	as resolved any addit illidings relative to previous projects.	L	Х	
Overall Evaluation because for Determination by Applicant meets RCV				
Observations/Concer	ns: // of \$175M currently available)	. "		_

Art McCluskry Signature

Public Agency: Western Washington University Denied	
Project Name: Campus Decarbonization - Heating System Conversion pro	rect
PRC Member: Bret Miche	
Project Evaluation Criteria	
Design-Build	
Dosign Duna	
Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements falternative contracting procedures:	
A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	Fail
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.	
Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	
The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or	-
2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies	
between the designer and the builder; or  3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.	
C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:	
(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)  1. Project delivery knowledge and experience	
<ol> <li>Project delivery knowledge and experience</li> <li>Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience</li> </ol>	
Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority	**********
4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project  Continuity of project management team with project type & company type of the project type & company type of the project type & company type of	
<ul><li>5. Continuity of project management team with project type &amp; scope experience</li><li>6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget</li></ul>	
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is	
knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	
Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:	
	1.11111111
Observations/Concerns:	
budget availability is a rencen but town has a	
plan to deal with and communicate to the proposary	
Signature	

Date:	12-5-2024	Approved	_>
Public Agency:	Western Washington University	Denied	
Project Name:	Campus Decarbonization Heating System Conversion		
PRC Member:	Ron Paananen		
***	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		
Determine that the alternative contract	e Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the reting procedures:	equirements f	
A. Provides sub	stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	X	Tal
Public bodies	s qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. s may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)		
in develo	truction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criticoing the construction methodology, or	al X	
between	cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencie the designer and the builder; or	X	
1. <del>-</del> 1	nt savings in project delivery time would be realized.	X	+
(must meet al	l 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		
	elivery knowledge and experience contract administration personnel with construction experience	X	-
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		+
<ol><li>Necessar</li></ol>	y & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	X	
1.5	of project management team with project type & scope experience	,   X	-
	y and appropriate construction budget uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is	_	-
	le in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	' X	
E. Public Body h	nas resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determi	by Committee/Panel Member nation:		
Well prepared and	thourough application. Given the unique nature of the project and	site constrair	ıts,
Porgressive DB	is an appropriate delivery method.		
Observations/Conce			
	ing is a concern, but WWU has appropriate contingency plans if full	funding is no	<u>t</u>
authorized by th	e legislature in 2025.		

Date:	December 5, 2024	Approved	Χ
Public Agency:	Western Washington University	Denied	•
Project Name:	Campus Decarbonization Heating System Conversion Project		
PRC Member:	Taine Wilton		

### Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.
  Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
  - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
  - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
  - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
  - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
  - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
  - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
  - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
  - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
  - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

	Χ	7
	х	
	Х	11.0
	X	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	X X X X X	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
[	, X	
9.4855	X	
	Х	

Pass

Fail

### Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Specialized activities, minimize impact, phasing and efficiencies, cost certainty, collaborate with Port, and City, on thermal energy network. Will help meet energy goals. plan in place with proven levels of success for WMBE and Native American inclusion. Shares information with OMWBE.

Observations/Concerns:

Complicated site, terrain, historic tribal lands, sustainable environmental policy. Heating broken into 4 sites, ground source and air source heat pumps. Funding a concern plan in place, communicating timing to pdb.

Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Distally signed by Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Distally signed by Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)\*,

Delemonds School District
Date: 2024.12.05 10:28:00-08'00'

Signature

Date:	12-5-2024	Approved	X
Public Agency:	WESTERN WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY - DESIGN-BUILD	Denied	
Project Name:	Campus Decarbonization Heating System Conversion Project		
PRC Member:	Vicky Schiantarelli		

### Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
  - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
  - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
  - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
  - 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
  - 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
  - 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
  - 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
  - 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
  - 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

	Pass	Fail
	X	
	х	
	Х	
	X	
	Х	
	X	
	X	
	X X X X X	
	X	
	X	
	X	
<u></u>	X	
	X	
	X	

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination:				
Observations/Concerns:				
Vicky Schiantarelli				

Signature