

ACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES					C Campus F		
				Project Number	2025	405	
CONSULTANT SELECTION						-403	
				Name of Selection		-	
This Scoreshee	et Becomes P	ublic Record	1		Robert I	rossum	
hase 1 - SOQ	Date:	3/7/2025			Number of Subm	nitting Firms:	8
Firms	Robert Fossum	John Hlavaty	Panelist Names Tom Carver	Bryan Ryerse	Gregory Cook	TOTAL PANEL RANKED SCORE	PHASE RANK ORDER
(Totaing Frankrashan and Cons	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order		-
1 Telgian Engineering and Cons 2 Columbia Engineering Group	8	8	8	8	8	40	8
3 Jensen Hughes	6	6	4	6	2	32	7
4 Coffman Engineers Inc	5	5	6	7	6	29	6
5 Wood Harbinger	2	4	3	2	3	14	2
6 Säzän Group Inc	4	7	2	5	4	22	4
7 Hargis Engineers	1	1	1	1	1	5	1
8 Interface Engineering	7	2	5	4	5	23	5
anase 2 Interview	Date:	3/14/2025			lumber of Firms		3
hase 2 Interview	Date.		R OF COMMITT		NUMBER OF FILMS	interviewed.	T
Firms	Robert Fossum	John Hlavaty	Tom Carver	Bryan Ryerse	Gregory Cook	TOTAL ASSIGNED RANKS	FINAL RANK ORDER
-	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order		
1 Columbia Engineering Group	2	2	2	2	2	10	2
2 Wood Harbinger	3	3	3	3	3	15	3
3 Hargis Engineers	1	1	1	1	1	5	1
						_	
Robert Fossum		Allt					1

Thomas F. Carver

Bryan Ryerse Bryan Ryerse (Mar 17, 2025 09 Bryan Ryerse

Gregory Cook

FPS Updated: 05.2022



FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

CONSULTANT SELECTION

PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

CRITERIA	Diverse Business Equity & Inclusion Strategies	Qualificati Pers	ions of Key onnel	Relevant	Experience	Past Per	formance		TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK
Score	es Yes/ No	Score	40	Score	30	Score	30		SCORE	
1 Telgian Engineering and Cons	Yes	81%	32.40	80%	24.00	87%	26.10		82.50	8
2 Columbia Engineering Group	Yes	87%	34.80	83%	24.90	88%	26.40		86.10	3
3 Jensen Hughes	Yes	82%	32.80	83%	24.90	85%	25.50		83.20	6
4 Coffman Engineers Inc	Yes	85%	34.00	85%	25.50	81%	24.30		83.80	5
5 Wood Harbinger	Yes	88%	35.20	86%	25.80	86%	25.80		86.80	2
6 Säzän Group Inc	Yes	86%	34.40	83%	24.90	82%	24.60		83.90	4
7 Hargis Engineers	Yes	89%	35.60	87%	26.10	88%	26.40		88.10	1
8 Interface Engineering	Yes	82%	32.80	85%	25.50	82%	24.60		82.90	7
COMMENTS:										

Project description

Consensus Date

3/7/2025

Name of Selection Panel Member

DSHS - SCC Campus Fire Alarm Replacement

Robert Fossum

Project Number

2025-405

Robert J. Form

______3/7/2025 Date



ENI	ERPRISE	SERVI	CE3						Project des	cription					
									DS	HS - SCC (Camp	us Fire	Alarm Re	placemer	nt
FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICE	ES								Consensus	Date			Project Num	ıber	
CONSULTANT SELECTION										3/7/202	25		2	025-405	
PHASE I SCORING SHEET									Name of Se	election Panel N	lember				,
		Tł	nis Scor	esheet	Become	es Publi	c Recor	ď			Jo	hn Hla	vaty		
CRITERIA			ations of rsonnel		vant rience	Past Per	formance							TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	RANK ORDER
	Scores	Score	40	Score	30	Score	30							SCORE	
1 Telgian Engineering and Co	ns	75%	30.00	65%	19.50	61%	18.30							67.80	8

1 Telgian Engineering and Cons	75%	30.00	65%	19.50	61%	18.30		6	7.80	8
2 Columbia Engineering Group	87%	34.80	90%	27.00	87%	26.10		8	7.90	3
3 Jensen Hughes	85%	34.00	85%	25.50	70%	21.00		8	0.50	6
4 Coffman Engineers Inc	88%	35.20	84%	25.20	75%	22.50		8	2.90	5
5 Wood Harbinger	90%	36.00	82%	24.60	89%	26.70		8	7.30	4
6 Säzän Group Inc	70%	28.00	70%	21.00	65%	19.50		6	8.50	7
7 Hargis Engineers	95%	38.00	95%	28.50	92%	27.60		94	4.10	1
8 Interface Engineering	92%	36.80	80%	24.00	95%	28.50		8	9.30	2

John Hlavaty

3/7/2025 Date



DSHS - SCC Campus Fire Alarm Replacement FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES Consensus Date Project Number 2025-405 3/7/2025 CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET Name of Selection Panel Member **Tom Carver** This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record Relevant Qualifications of TOTAL CRITERIA Past Performance RANK WEIGHTED Key Personnel Experience ORDER SCORE Scores Score 40 Score 30 Score 30 77.00 1 Telgian Engineering and Cons 80% 32.00 80% 24.00 70% 21.00 8 2 Columbia Engineering Group 100% 40.00 90% 27.00 80% 24.00 91.00 4 3 Jensen Hughes 90% 36.00 90% 27.00 70% 21.00 84.00 7 4 Coffman Engineers Inc 95% 38.00 24.00 24.00 80% 80% 86.00 6 5 Wood Harbinger 100% 40.00 100% 30.00 90% 27.00 97.00 3 100% 40.00 95% 28.50 100% 30.00 98.50 6 Säzän Group Inc 2 7 Hargis Engineers 100% 40.00 100% 30.00 100% 30.00 100.00 1 90% 36.00 90% 27.00 90% 27.00 8 Interface Engineering 90.00 5 COMMENTS:

Project description

Thomas F. Carver (Mar 19, 2025 07:25 PDT) Tom Carver

3/7/2025 Date



							Project description	on 5 - SCC Campus F	ire Alarm R	eplacemen	ıt
FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONSULTANT SELECTION							Consensus Date	•	Project Nu	•	
PHASE I SCORING SHEET	Т	his Sco	resheet	Become	es Public	c Record	Name of Selectio	on Panel Member Bryan	Ryerse		
CRITERIA		ations of ersonnel		evant rience	Past Per	formance				TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	
Sco		40	Score	30	Score	30					
1 Telgian Engineering and Cons	100%	40.00	65%	19.50	100%	30.00				89.50	8
2 Columbia Engineering Group	100%	40.00	90%	27.00	100%	30.00				97.00	3
3 Jensen Hughes	100%	40.00	75%	22.50	100%	30.00				92.50	6
4 Coffman Engineers Inc	100%	40.00	70%	21.00	100%	30.00				91.00	7
5 Wood Harbinger	100%	40.00	95%	28.50	100%	30.00				98.50	2
6 Säzän Group Inc	100%	40.00	80%	24.00	100%	30.00				94.00	5
7 Hargis Engineers	100%	40.00	100%	30.00	100%	30.00		A DECISION OF A DECISIONO OF A DECISIO		100.00	1
8 Interface Engineering	100%	40.00	85%	25.50	100%	30.00				95.50	4

Bryan Ryerse Bryan Ryerse (Mar 11, 2025 09:21 PDT) Bryan Ryerse

3/7/2025 Date



FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description	
DSHS - SCC Campus Fire	Alarm Replacement
Consensus Date	Project Number
3/7/2025	2025-405
Name of Selection Panel Member	

Gregory Cook

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

TOTAL WEIGHTED Qualifications of Relevant CRITERIA Past Performance RANK Key Personnel Experience ORDER SCORE Score 40 Scores Score 30 Score 30 21.00 72.00 1 Telgian Engineering and Cons 75% 30.00 70% 21.00 70% 8 2 Columbia Engineering Group 85% 34.00 95% 28.50 90% 27.00 89.50 2 32.00 22.50 22.50 3 Jensen Hughes 80% 75% 75% 77.00 7 4 Coffman Engineers Inc 80% 32.00 80% 24.00 75% 22.50 78.50 6 88.50 90% 36.00 25.50 5 Wood Harbinger 90% 27.00 85% 3 34.00 28.50 6 Säzän Group Inc 85% 85% 25.50 95% 88.00 4 7 Hargis Engineers 95% 38.00 95% 28.50 95% 28.50 95.00 1 8 Interface Engineering 85% 34.00 85% 25.50 90% 27.00 86.50 5 COMMENTS:



3/7/2025 Date



FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

	Project des	cription					
	DS	HS - SC	C Camp	us Fire /	Alarm Re	eplacem	ent
	Date of Eva	luation			Project Nur	nber	
		3/14/	2025		2	2025-40	5
	Name of Se	election Pan	el Member				
			Rob	ert Fos	sum		
od Ha	arbinger	Hargis E	ngineers				
re	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score				

This Scoresheet Be	comes	Public F	Record				Robe	ert Fossum	l	
CRITERIA	Weighting	Engineer	ımbia ing Group	Wood H	arbinger	Hargis E	Ingineers			
GRITERIA	weighung	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score			
Organization	15	88%	13.20	85%	12.75	89%	13.35			
Project Management	25	89%	22.25	85%	21.25	89%	22.25			
Project Approach	25	91%	22.75	82%	20.50	92%	23.00			
Experience	35	92%	32.20	90%	31.50	92%	32.20			
Diverse Business Inclusion Plan (indicate included or not included)	Not Scored	Incl	uded	Incl	uded	Incl	uded			
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	100		90.40		86.00		90.80			
FINAL RANK ORDER			2		3		1			
COMMENTS:										

Robert Fossum

03/17/2025



FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES					Project des	•	C Campi	us Fire	Alarm Re	eplacem	nent
					Date of Eva				Project Num		
CONSULTANT SELECTION							2025		2	025-40)5
PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET					Name of Se	election Par	el Member				
This Scoresheet E	Becomes	Public	Record				Joł	nn Hlav	vaty		
CRITERIA	Mainhéine	Colu Engineer	umbia ring Group	Wood H	larbinger	Hargis E	ingineers				
CRITERIA	weighting	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score
Organization	15	90%	13.50	87%	13.05	90%	13.50				
Project Management	25	90%	22.50	90%	22.50	90%	22.50				
Project Approach	25	92%	23.00	89%	22.25	95%	23.75				
Experience	35	95%	33.25	92%	32.20	100%	35.00				
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	100		92.25		90.00		94.75				
FINAL RANK ORDER			2		3		1				
COMMENTS:						03/17/2	125				

John Hlavaty



CONSULTANT SELECTION					Date of Eva		/2025		Project Num	^{ber} 025-4()5
PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET					Name of Se	election Par	nel Member				
This Scoresheet I	Becomes	Public I	Record				То	m Car	ver		
CRITERIA) Main bin a	Colu Engineer	umbia ring Group	Wood H	larbinger	Hargis E	Ingineers				
CRITERIA	weighting	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weightee Score
Organization	15	95%	14.25	85%	12.75	95%	14.25				
Project Management	25	95%	23.75	75%	18.75	95%	23.75				
Project Approach	25	95%	23.75	85%	21.25	90%	22.50				
Experience	35	80%	28.00	90%	31.50	100%	35.00				
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	100		89.75		84.25		95.50				
FINAL RANK ORDER			2		3		1				

Thomas F. Carver (Mar 19, 200 Tom Carver



FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES					Date of Eva		/2025		Project Num	nber 025-40)5
PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET					Name of Se	election Par	el Member				
This Scoresheet B	ecomes	Public F	Record				Bry	an Ry	erse		
		Engineer	ımbia ing Group	Wood H	larbinger	Hargis E	Ingineers				
CRITERIA	Weighting	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score
Organization	15	95%	14.25	75%	11.25	90%	13.50				
Project Management	25	95%	23.75	75%	18.75	95%	23.75				
Project Approach	25	85%	21.25	80%	20.00	95%	23.75				
Experience	35	80%	28.00	90%	31.50	95%	33.25				
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	100		87.25		81.50		94.25				
FINAL RANK ORDER			2		3		1				
COMMENTS:											

Bryan Ryerse (Mar 17, 2025 09:21 PDT) Bryan Ryerse



ecord nbia ng Group Weighted Score 13.50	Wood H Score 90%	Date of Eva Name of S Harbinger Weighted Score 13.50	3/14, election Par	Gre Engineers Weighted Score	gory C	1	nber 1025-40	Weighted
nbia ng Group Weighted Score 13.50	Score	Harbinger Weighted Score	Hargis E	Gre Gre Engineers Weighted Score		COOK		Weighted
nbia ng Group Weighted Score 13.50	Score	Harbinger Weighted Score	Hargis E Score	Gre Engineers Weighted Score		Weighted	Score	
nbia ng Group Weighted Score 13.50	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Engineers Weighted Score		Weighted	Score	
ng Group Weighted Score 13.50	Score	Weighted Score	Score	Weighted Score	Score		Score	
Score 13.50		Score		Score	Score		Score	
	90%	13 50	000/					Score
00 50		10.00	90%	13.50				
22.50	80%	20.00	90%	22.50				
25.00	90%	22.50	95%	23.75				
29.75	90%	31.50	95%	33.25				
90.75		87.50		93.00				
2		3		1				
	29.75	29.75 90% 90.75	29.75 90% 31.50 90.75 87.50	29.75 90% 31.50 95% 90.75 87.50	29.75 90% 31.50 95% 33.25 90.75 87.50 93.00	29.75 90% 31.50 95% 33.25 90.75 87.50 93.00	29.75 90% 31.50 95% 33.25 90.75 87.50 93.00	29.75 90% 31.50 95% 33.25 Image: Constraint of the second

<u>_____</u> Gregory Cook