Date:	03/27/2025	Approved	Х
Public Agency:		 Denied	
Project Name:	Design Build for Redmond Maintenance and Operations Center	***************************************	
PRC Member:	Garett Buckingham, Public Hospital District		
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build		
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the reing procedures:		
A Drovidos sul	ostantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.	Pass	Fail
	ts qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.	X	
Public bodie	s may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	ne x	
in develo	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is crit oping the construction methodology, or		
between	ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficienc the designer and the builder; or nt savings in project delivery time would be realized.	ies	
C. Public Body	has necessary experience or team:	<u> </u>	
•	nill 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) Ielivery knowledge and experience	T X	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	t contract administration personnel with construction experience	X	
Written r	nanagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority	X	
	ry & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project	X	
	ty of project management team with project type & scope experience		
Necessa	ry and appropriate construction budget	X	
	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team ble in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	is X	
E. Public Body	has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
Reason for Determin			
Meets the RCW requ	irements		
			·
Observations/Conce	ns:		
	owner consultant but the owner has taken the education opportunities that	t are currently av	<u>vailable to</u>
them			
		WEAVAN THE STATE OF THE STATE O	
Garett Bucki	ngham		

Signature

Public Agency De	sign-Build Project			
Date: 03/27/2025			Approved	
Public Agency: City of Redmond		Denied		
Project Name:	Redmond Maintenance and Operations Center			<u> </u>
PRC Member:	Lisa Corcoran			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:		ents fo	r Fail
A. Provides subs	tantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical	_	Y	ган
B. Project meets Public bodies	qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which tost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	_	Υ	
in develop	ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criing the construction methodology, or		Υ	
	cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficienc ne designer and the builder; or	ies	Υ	
	savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Υ	
	as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass ; 1 fail fails all)		Υ	
	livery knowledge and experience		Υ	
	contract administration personnel with construction experience		Υ	
	anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		Y	
•	& appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		Y	
•	of project management team with project type & scope experien and appropriate construction budget	ce	Y	
D. For Design-Bu	uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.	is	Y	
•	as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		Υ	
Overall Evaluation I Reason for Determin				

Signature

PRC Member: Maintenace (enter

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:

(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

Project Review Committee (PRC)

- 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
- Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

	1 0111
\times	
×	
	1
\gtrsim	
<	

Overall	Evaluation	by Committee/Panel	Member
D	f D - t		

Meets PCW requirements.

Observations/Concerns:

Agency Continues to prepare itself for PDB while hiring

Signature

Revised 7/27/2023

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10.300

3-27-25 Approved Date: REDMOND Denied Public Agency: OPERATION CENTER MAINTENANCE **Project Name:** PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria** Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: **Pass** Fail A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determ	nination: MESHUD	PIGHT	TEAM.		
Observations/Con	ncerns:		,	Landau, Landau	
۸> ،					

Signature

Revised 7/27/2023

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10.300

abile Agency De	Sign-Bund Project			
Date:	March 27, 2025	Approv	ed	X
Public Agency:	City of Redmond	Denied		*
Project Name:	Maintenance and Operations Center DB		<u> </u>	
PRC Member:	Jessica Murphy	<u> </u>		
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contract	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ing procedures:	requirem		
A Provides sub	ostantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practic	al	Pass	Fail
B. Project meet Public bodie	s qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Is may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)		x	
in develo	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is oping the construction methodology, or ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficien		х	
between	the designer and the builder; or not savings in project delivery time would be realized.		X	
C. Public Body	has necessary experience or team: ### 16 to pass; 1 fail fails all)	<u>L</u>	x	
	elivery knowledge and experience	[Х	
	t contract administration personnel with construction experience		X	
,	nanagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority ry & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	
	y of project management team with project type & scope experie	ence	X	
6. Necessa	ry and appropriate construction budget		Х	
	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB tea ole in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contrac		х	a
E. Public Body	has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		х	
Overall Evaluation k	by Committee/Panel Member ation:			
Meet	s all requirements; the owner has put good systems and structure in pl	ace for suc	cess and	team has
good experience.				
		B(
Observations/Concer	rns:			
none		(0)		

Signature

Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Design-Build Project Approved Date: Denied Public Agency: Project Name: 6-9CK PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria** Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: Fail **Pass** A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: noject is Well SUITED to PDB & the City of Observations/Concerns:

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10,300

Project Review Committee (PRC)

Date:	2/27/2025	Approve	2d	Х
			;u	
Public Agency:	CITY OF REDMOND	Denied		
Project Name:	Redmond Maintenance and Operations Center Project			
PRC Member:	Vicky Schiantarelli			
	Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build			
Determine that the alternative contrac	Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures:	requireme	ents for	Fail
A Provides sul	bstantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practic	al.	X	Tall
	ts qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.			
Public bodie	es may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)	ı the	Х	
	struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is opping the construction methodology, or	ritical	Х	
between	ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficient the designer and the builder; or	ncies	×	
•	nt savings in project delivery time would be realized.		Х	
	has necessary experience or team: all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)		Х	
	delivery knowledge and experience		Х	
	t contract administration personnel with construction experience		Х	
	nanagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority		Х	
	ary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project		X	
	ty of project management team with project type & scope experiency and appropriate construction budget	e	X	
D. For Design-	Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB tea		X	
•	ble in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	λ. 	Х	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determir	by Committee/Panel Member ation:	L	,	
,				
Observations/Conce	rns:			
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10.300

Revised 7/27/2023