Capital Projects Advisory Review Board

Board Development Committee

Report to CPARB April 10, 2025 Page 1 of 2

Committee Chair\Co-Chairs: Bill Frare & Linneth Riley-Hall

Committee meeting dates since last CPARB meeting: March 4th & April 1st

Current Activity\Specific Issues being addressed:

The BDC has been engaged in strategic planning conversations for the past several meetings. Strategic Planning Typically includes conversations on the Mission, Vision and Values (MVV) of an organization. CPARB has established a mission statement that is available on the Web Site.

Mission from <u>CPARB Homepage (DES)</u> - To provide an evaluation of public capital projects construction processes, including the impact of contracting methods on project outcomes, and to advise the legislature on policies related to public works delivery methods and alternative public works contracting procedures.

There is no Vision Statement present on the web site. Our discussions on a strategic vision are only preliminary and contain the following guiding concepts:

- What CPARB should be doing (4 themes/guiding principles)
- Betterment of Public Contracting / Improving Outcomes
- Active Industry Engagement
- Help Legislators make good laws / Improve the process Maintaining and measuring accountability and transparency

The Web site includes CPARB Shared Commitments which the committee finds analogous to Values:

- Respect
- Purpose
- Listen to Understand
- Accountability
- Inclusion

The BDC determined that before diving into reworking the current MVV, it would be more prudent to discuss our current state, identify current issues and group them into strategic planning categories. The BDC has drafted the following as Strategic Planning Issues List:

- 1. Owner\stakeholder readiness
- 2. Determining who is the 'public works cop\enforcement'
- 3. Creating a feedback loop for lessons learned
- 4. Reimagining the PRC to meet the evolution of alternative Public Works in 2025 and beyond
 - a. What is the role of the PRC? Original intent? Current Role? Future?
 - b. Having the right people on the PRC...
- 5. Defining CPARB's value add for public works
 - a. Taking stock of accomplishments
 - b. Standardize decision-making in committees and on the board (recommendations include legislative reports)
- 6. Conducting an outcome-oriented review of the strategic plan (seguential with priority 1 & 2)
 - a. Defining measurable goals
 - b. Describing interim steps
 - c. Creating actionable outcomes
- 7. Discussing ethical considerations for membership for CPARB, PRC or Committees
 - a. Building in capacity (bringing in individuals to participate)
- 8. Reauthorization and how to streamline it; (sequentially dependent on other issues being addressed)
 - a. What should the Board Development Committee's role be? (regarding strategic plan and reauthorization)
 - b. Setting the number of years for reauthorization
 - Reading the JLARC studies to see what they said in 2019 and 2012

Timeline of deliverables (if any):

Summary: There appears to be more questions than answers. Some structure is beginning to take place. The BDC needs a little more time before bringing forward recommendations to the Board.

Board Action needed (if any): None at this time

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board

Board Development Committee

Report to CPARB April 10, 2025 Page 2 of 2

Reports to CPARB can be provided in one of the following ways:

- 1. Written w/o discussion (pre-read only, no time on the agenda)
- 2. Written w/discussion (pre-read with some discussion. 5-10 minutes on the agenda)
- 3. Written w/ Board Action (pre-read with an ask from the Committee 5-10 minutes on the agenda)
- 4. Verbal with presentation (may include Board Action. Mostly for reports, proposed changes to existing documents, legislation, and draft updates needing CPARB approval, etc. please include time needed on the agenda)
- 5. Verbal only (5-10 minutes on the agenda)