| Date: | 5/22/2025 Ap | proved | X |
|--|---|---------------|------|
| Public Agency: Energy Northwest Denied Project Name: Generator Assembly Building Project | | nied | |
| | | | |
| PRC Member: | Jeff Gonzalez | | |
| Determine that the | Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requ | uirements for | |
| alternative contrac | ting procedures: | Pass | Fail |
| A. Provides su | bstantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. | X | |
| B. Project mee | ets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. es may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) | x | |
| 1. The cor in devel | struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critica oping the construction methodology, or | | 6 |
| | jects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies n the designer and the builder; or | X | |
| Signification | ant savings in project delivery time would be realized. | X | |
| | has necessary experience or team: all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) | X | |
| 1. Project | delivery knowledge and experience | X | |
| | nt contract administration personnel with construction experience | X | |
| | management plan with clear & logical lines of authority ary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project | X | |
| | ity of project management team with project type & scope experience | X | |
| | ary and appropriate construction budget | X | |
| D. For Design- | Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is able in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. | X | |
| E. Public Body | has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | X | |
| Overall Evaluation Reason for Determi Applicant met the cr | | | |
| Observations/Conce | erns: Well thought through. | | |

Revised 7/27/2023

Project Review Committee (PRC) Application Evaluation Sheet **Public Agency Design-Build Project** Approved 2025 GG va/ Date: Denied Public Agency: Assembly Project Name: PRC Member: **Project Evaluation Criteria** Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: Fail **Pass** A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical line's of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: to work a travel to. Experience extremely necessory Observations/Concerns: no uncelas_

Signature

Revised 7/27/2023

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10.300

| Date: | May 22, 2025 | Approved | | X |
|--|---|----------|-----|------|
| Public Agency: | Energy Northwest | Denied | | |
| Project Name: | Generator Assembly Building | | | |
| PRC Member: | Karl Kolb | | | |
| | Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build | | | |
| | e Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the cting procedures: | | for | Fail |
| A. Provides s | ubstantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practica | · | X | |
| Public bod | ets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. ies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which ct cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) | the | X | |
| in deve 2. The pro | nstruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is c eloping the construction methodology, or bjects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficier on the designer and the builder; or | | | |
| | cant savings in project delivery time would be realized. | | Х | |
| | y has necessary experience or team: t all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) | | X | |
| | delivery knowledge and experience | | Х | |
| | ent contract administration personnel with construction experience | | X | |
| | management plan with clear & logical lines of authority | | X | |
| | sary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project uity of project management team with project type & scope experie | nce | X | |
| | sary and appropriate construction budget | | ^ | |
| D. For Design | n-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team hable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract | n is | x | |
| The state of the s | y has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | | X | |
| Overall Evaluation Reason for Determ | n by Committee/Panel Member ination: | | | |
| Critical schedule m | akes DB essential; incredibly capable team. | | | |
| | | | | |
| Observations/Conc | erns: | | | |
| | | | | |
| Kala | Kolh | | | |

Revised 7/27/2023

Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Design-Build Project

Date: 5-22-2015

Public Agency: Energy Worthwat

Denied

Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

- A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
- B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
 - 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
 - 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
 - 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
- C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)

Project Review Committee (PRC)

Project Name: PRC Member:

- Project delivery knowledge and experience
- 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
- 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
- 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
- 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
- 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
- D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
- E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

| X | |
|--------|--|
| X | |
| . X | |
| X | |
| X X | |
| X | |

Pass

Fail

| Overall Evaluation Reason for Determ | n by Committee/Pa nination: | nel Member | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------|------------|---------|------|---------|--|
| well their | ' a dell' | path items | POB co | nseltan | t as | nell | 1, b. Ha |
| 7, | | fa ji | | (00.0 | 0007 | a first | |
| Observations/Cond | interstry | to Ser | how | many | PDB | puriles | initia pala incrementa de la compansión de |
| pursue as | a meuscht | of outreo | ich effort | 45, 1 | | V | |

| Date: | 5/22/25 A | pproved | Χ |
|---|---|---------------------|-----------|
| Public Agency: Energy Northwest | | enied | |
| Project Name: | Generator Assembly Building | | |
| PRC Member: | Heather Munden | | |
| | Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build | | |
| Determine that the alternative contract | Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the recting procedures: | | |
| A Dravidas au | batantial fiscal banafit or traditional delivery method is not prestical | Pass | Fail |
| | bstantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. | X | |
| Public bodie | ts qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Its may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) | | |
| in devel | struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is criticoping the construction methodology, or | ^ | |
| between | ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencie In the designer and the builder; or Int savings in project delivery time would be realized. | X | |
| C. Public Body | has necessary experience or team: all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) | X | |
| • | delivery knowledge and experience | X | |
| | at contract administration personnel with construction experience | X | |
| | management plan with clear & logical lines of authority | X | |
| | ary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project ty of project management team with project type & scope experience | x X | |
| | ary and appropriate construction budget | $\frac{\lambda}{X}$ | |
| D. For Design- | Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is ble in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. | | |
| | has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | X | |
| Overall Evaluation Reason for Determin | by Committee/Panel Member ation: | | |
| Kudos to putting you | r staff through DBIA training as well as engaging with industry experts to he | elp determine the | e best |
| strategies for contrac | cting this project. Unique project that seems to be a good fit for nuclear. Nice | e presentation a | ind |
| application. | | | |
| Observations/Conce | rns: | | |
| Appreciated the appl | icant mentioning some of the challenges with contractor pool both for locati | on and contract | or that c |
| do this type of work. | | | |
| <u>Heather Munder</u> | n | | |
| Signature | | | |

Project Review Committee (PRC) Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Design-Build Project Approved Date: Denied Public Agency: Project Name: PRC Member: Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build Determine that the Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures: Fail **Pass** A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) 1. The construction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or 2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or 3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized. C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) 1. Project delivery knowledge and experience 2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority 4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project 5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns: WW

Signatufe Natural Signature

Criteria extracted from RCW 39.10.300

Revised 7/27/2023

| Public Agency De | sign-Build Project | | | |
|---|--|--------------|----------|------|
| Date: | 5/22/2025 | Approve | ed | Х |
| Public Agency: | Energy Northwest | Denied | | |
| Project Name: | Generator Assembly Building Project | | | |
| PRC Member: | Lance Thomas | | | |
| | Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build | | | |
| Determine that the alternative contract | Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the ting procedures: | requireme | ents for | Fail |
| A Provides sul | ostantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practic | al. | X | ган |
| B. Project mee Public bodie | ts qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. s may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) | = 41 | х | , |
| 1. The consin develo | struction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is coping the construction methodology, or | | | |
| between | ects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficient the designer and the builder; or | ICIES | X | |
| | nt savings in project delivery time would be realized. has necessary experience or team: | l | X | |
| (must meet a | all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) | ; | - V | |
| | delivery knowledge and experience t contract administration personnel with construction experience | | X | |
| | nanagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority | | X | |
| | ary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project | | Х | |
| Continui | ty of project management team with project type & scope experie | nce | Х | |
| Necessa | ry and appropriate construction budget | | Х | |
| | Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team ble in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contrac | | х | 11 |
| E. Public Body | has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | | Х | |
| Reason for Determin | by Committee/Panel Member ation: te application, great team members. Great project and good luck with the | ne entire Ge | enerator | |
| Replacement project | | | | |
| Observations/Conce | rns: | | | |
| | | | 1 | |
| | | | | |

Revised 7/27/2023

Lance Thomas

| Date: | ate: 05/22/2025 | | X |
|---|--|---------------|------------------|
| Public Agency: | ENERGY NORTHWEST D | Denied | |
| Project Name: | GENERATOR ASSEMBLY BUILDING PROJECT | | |
| PRC Member: | ANTHONY C. UDEAGBALA, AIA | | |
| | Project Evaluation Criteria Design-Build | | |
| Determine that the alternative contract | Agency's proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the recting procedures: | quirements fo | r Fail |
| A. Provides subs | stantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. | X | ган |
| | qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300. | | |
| | may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the ost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3) | Х | |
| | ruction activities are highly specialized, and a DB approach is critical | | |
| The project | ing the construction methodology, or cts selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies he designer and the builder; or | | |
| | t savings in project delivery time would be realized. | | |
| | as necessary experience or team: 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all) | X | |
| Project de | livery knowledge and experience | | |
| | contract administration personnel with construction experience anagement plan with clear & logical lines of authority | | |
| | <i>t</i> & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project | | |
| Continuity | of project management team with project type & scope experience and appropriate construction budget | | |
| D. For Design-Bu | uild projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is e in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. | . X | |
| E. Public Body h | as resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. | Х | |
| Overall Evaluation I Reason for Determin | by Committee/Panel Member ation: | | |
| CLARITY OF PRESE | ENTATION, KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE CONSISTENT WITH REQU | JIREMENTS (| OF THE |
| PROCESS AND RC | W. | | |
| Observations/Conce | rns: | | |
| Office States | Ja | | |