Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification

Date:	05/22/2025	GC/CM	x	Approved	X
Public Agency:	Lake Washington School District	DB	n/a	Denied	- 62
PRC Member:	Eza Agoes	Both	n/a		

Recertification Evaluation Criteria

- A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.
 - 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.
 - 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.
- B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.
 - 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.
 - 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.
- C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.
- D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

x	
х	
 х	
x	
x	
х	
x	
х	

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation by C	ommittee I	Member
-------------------------	------------	--------

Reason for Determination	:					

Observations/Concerns:

The applicant demonstrates clarity in their goals. They avoid unnecessary complexity, keeping their processes straightforward, yet well-informed. Their efforts are clearly focused on effectively achieving their intended outcomes.



Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification

Date:	5/22/25	GC/CM	CM X Approved			
Public Agency:	Lake Washington School DB Denied					
PRC Member:	Alexis Blue	Both				
	Recertification	n Evaluation	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
projects are a	ained any process changes it ma opropriate for use of alternative o	contracting proced	lures.	1	х	1341
appropriat	what steps are taken to determing for a proposed project. the steps that are taken in appropriate the steps that are taken in appropriate.			nd/or DB are	X	
 Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10. 						
	ne status of each alternative deli				X	
 Described certification 	any litigation or significant dispu n.	ites on any project	t since pre	vious	х	
	C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the					
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relat	ive to previous pro	ojects.		Х	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determ	n by Committee Member ination:					
Meets criter	ria, thanks for a great presentation	on!		8 5 1		
71		-				
Observations/Cond	cerns:					
1, 1, 1						
X						
Alexis C	Blue					
rwo kwa C						
Signature						

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** 05/22/2025 GC/CM X Approved X Date: Denied DB Public Agency: Lake Washington School District PRC Member: Garett Buckingham, PHD Both

	Recertification Evaluation Criteria		
		Pass	Fail
Α.	Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.	x	4 9
	 Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project. 	х	
	2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.	X	
B.	Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.	х	
	Included the status of each alternative delivery project.	Х	
	 Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification. 	х	
C.	Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.	х	
D.	Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	
	erall Evaluation by Committee Member ason for Determination: Applicant meets the requirement of the RCW.		
Obs	servations/Concerns:		
Gar	ett Buckingham		
Sign	ature		

Project Review Committee (PRC) Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification		
Date: O5/22/25 GC/CM Approve Public Agency: acc Washington 90 DB Denied	d	<u>X</u>
Recertification Evaluation Criteria		
	Pass	Fail
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.	X	
 Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. 	X	
B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.		
 Included the status of each alternative delivery project. 	X	
Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.	X	
C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.	X	ii
D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.		l
Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination: Organization has proven experience in meeting Restrong to prove AND setting best proventies and proventies and proventies and proventies are proventies.	cw 3	39.10
Observations/Concerns:		
	-	
Signature		

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** GC/CM Approved Date: AKE WASHINGTON DB Denied Public Agency: Both PRC Member: Recertification Evaluation Criteria Pass Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10. 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. **Overall Evaluation by Committee Member** Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns:

Revised 7/27/2023

Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification

Date:	5/22/2025 GC/CM X Approved				b	X
Public Agency:	Lake Washington School District	DB Denied				
PRC Member:	Jeff Gonzalez	Both				
	Recertification I	Evaluation	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
A. Applicant expl projects are a	ained any process changes it made ppropriate for use of alternative con	e, if any, on ho stracting proce	w it determ dures.	nines which	Х	
appropriat	what steps are taken to determine e for a proposed project.			nd/or DB are	Х	
	the steps that are taken in approvi			o Dublic	Х	
Works in the p	cribed their experience in delivering past three years and summarized he	projects unde ow these proje	cts met the	e statutes in	X	
 Included to 	ne status of each alternative deliver	y project.			Х	
Described certificatio	any litigation or significant disputes	s on any projec	ct since pre	evious	Х	
C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.					х	
25	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pr	ojects.		Х	
Overall Evaluation Reason for Determ Applicant met the o						
	cerns: esentation. Appreciated the though le based on lessons learned.	ntful and delibe	erate decisi	on-making, inno	vation, a	and
- 1						
					a.we contra	
01						

Date:	5/22/25	GC/CM X	Approved	Χ	
Public Agency:	Lake Washington School District	DB	Denied		
PRC Member:	Gina M. Hortillosa	Both			
	Certification Eva	aluation Criteria			
All 3 must pass	to Pass.		Pass	Fail	
A. Applicant has	the necessary experience to determi	ne which of its projects are	x		
B. Applicant has	r use of alternative contracting proce- necessary qualifications and experie	nce to carry out the alternative			
	ocedure including: (Must pass all 7 to F livery knowledge and experience;	Pass)	X	-	
	with appropriate construction experie	ence;	X	- X	
3. A manage	ment plan and rationale for alternativ	e public works projects;	X		
4. Demonstra	ated success in managing public wor oility to properly manage its capital fa	ks projects; cilities plan including, but not li	imited X		
to, approp	riate project planning and budgeting	experience; and	X	-	
6. Demonstra	ated success in managing at least on within the past five (5) years.	e GC/CM or DB project (as	x		
	pility to meet requirements of RCW 3	9.10.	X		
	as resolved any audit findings relative		X		
Overall Evalua Reason for Det	ntion by Committee Member ermination:				
Observations/C	Concerns:	. 1			

Signature! Hortilla

Project Review Committee (PRC) **Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification** GC/CM Approved Date: Denied Public Agency: DB Both PRC Member: DAVE JOHNSON Recertification Evaluation Criteria **Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project. 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10. 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination: DEMONSTRATED SUCCESSFUL DELIVERY OF PROJECTS OVER AND HAS A CAPABLE TEAM TO MANNOE 4 PROJECTS Observations/Concerns:

Da	ite:	May 22, 2025 GC/CM X Approved			d	Χ	
Pu	blic Agency:	Lake Washington School District	ol District DB Denied				
PF	RC Member:	Art McCluskey	Both				5.
		Recertification	Evaluation (Criteria			
						Pass	Fail
Α.	Applicant expl projects are ap	ained any process changes it mad opropriate for use of alternative co	le, if any, on how ntracting proced	vit determi ures.	ines which	Х	e =
	appropriat	what steps are taken to determine e for a proposed project.			d/or DB are	X	
722		the steps that are taken in approv				Х	
В.	Applicant desc Works in the p RCW 39.10.	cribed their experience in delivering past three years and summarized h	g projects under now these projec	Alternative ets met the	statutes in	x	8
	1. Included the	ne status of each alternative delive	ry project.			Х	
	Described certificatio	any litigation or significant dispute	s on any project	since prev	vious	х	
C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.						X	
D.	St 1	resolved any audit findings relative	e to previous pro	jects.		Х	
Rea	erall Evaluation ason for Determ ets RCW require						
Obs	servations/Cond	cerns:					
<u>We</u>	ll organized pre	sentation, easy to relate to score s	sheet.				
	rt McClus ature	rkry					

Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification

Date: 5-22-245	GC/CM X	Approved	X
Public Agency: Lake Washington	SO DB	Denied	
PRC Member: Bret Miche	Both	-	
1			
Recertifica	ation Evaluation Criteria	·	
4, 3		Pass	Fail
 Applicant explained any process changes projects are appropriate for use of alterna 		ines which	
 Explained what steps are taken to det appropriate for a proposed project. Described the steps that are taken in a 		nd/or DB are	
B. Applicant described their experience in de Works in the past three years and summa RCW 39.10.			
1. Included the status of each alternative	e delivery project.		
Described any litigation or significant of certification.		I X	
 C. Applicant provided an updated organization management and construction experience previous certification. 			
D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings	relative to previous projects.	X	
Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination:			
Well thought out and	are pared presentator.		
Strongly supported their his	story of and capat	sility of acre	M dela
Observations/Concerns:			
Sec asup			.,
12			

Da	te:	5/22/25	GC/CM	X	Approved		X
Public Agency: Lake Washington School District			DB		Denied	13-12-12	
PRC Member: Heather Munden Both							
		Recertification	Evaluation	Criteria			
						Pass	Fail
Α.	projects are a	ained any process changes it made opropriate for use of alternative cor	ntracting proced	lures.	,	Х	
	appropriate	what steps are taken to determine e for a proposed project. the steps that are taken in approvi			nd/or DB are	X	
В.	Applicant desc	cribed their experience in delivering past three years and summarized h	projects under	Alternativ	/e Public e statutes in	х	
	1. Included the	ne status of each alternative delive	ry project.			Х	
	Described certificatio	any litigation or significant dispute	s on any projec	t since pre	vious	X	MI
C.	C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.						
D.		resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pro	ojects.		Х	
Rea Enj	ason for Determ	n by Committee Member nination: ntation, hearing about lessons learn	ned and succes	ses/innov	ation from the GC	<u>CCM</u>	
671/00-7107	servations/Cond	cerns:	contracted cons	sultants tha	at are performing	the PM	role(s),
		nink about with the possibility of the					
		should that need to happen.					
	ather Mund	en					
Sigr	ature						

Ap	ject Review Committee (PRC) plication Evaluation Sheet blic Agency Recertification	/ \/	x bu
Pı	ate: STUTS GC/CM Approver Lake Washingtonsetraß RC Member: Both	d /4V	/201n
	Recertification Evaluation Criteria		
A.	Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.	Pass	Fail
	 Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. 	X	
B.	Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.	X	
C.	 Included the status of each alternative delivery project. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing 	X	
D.	management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.	X	X
	erall Evaluation by Committee Member ason for Determination:		
	I a was ordecided at the fine of the vote de	R	
	tradic tody experience being sogglemented by		
	consolants.		
Obs	Reparies should have & undintain the in-house	8	
	experience requirements or aceury continu	tha	1
	It the time of the collection sometimen	121	
	requirements in an agency.	rienc	<u> </u>
Sign	ature Assertant May Company		

Date:	May 22, 2025	GC/CM	X	Approved	k	Х
Public Agency:	Lake Washington School District	DB		Denied		0
PRC Member:	Jeannie Natta	Both				
	Recertification E	valuation	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.						2
appropriat	what steps are taken to determine to e for a proposed project. the steps that are taken in approvin			d/or DB are	x	
				Dublio	Х	
Works in the p	cribed their experience in delivering past three years and summarized ho	w these projec	cts met the	statutes in	x	
 Included th 	ne status of each alternative delivery	project.			х	
 Described certificatio 	any litigation or significant disputes n.	on any projec	t since pre	vious	x	
	rided an updated organization chart and construction experience using the ication.				x	
D. Applicant has	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pro	ojects.		х	
Reason for Determ	n by Committee Member ination: the thoughtful process of selecting	delivery meth	ods, select	ing consultants	and GC	<u>СМ.</u>
	mission and goals to guide their pro					
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O	nd ensuring a fair and transparent pr					
Observations/Concerns:						
						
Jeannie Na	atta IDigitally signed by Jeannie Natte IDNIC=US E-platta@cw.edu/. C=UW Facilities, OU="Project IDNIC=US E-platta@cw.edu/. C=UW Facilities, OU="Project IDNIC=US E-platta@cw.edu/. C=US IDNIC=US					

		aluation Sheet Recertification						
Dat	te:	5/22	15	GC/CM	X	Approv	red	/
	blic Agency:	Lake Col	Johnson Scho	DB Visi DB		Denied	<u> </u>	
	C Member:	Mike Por	mi	Both				
		R	ecertificatio	on Evaluation	Criteria			
							Pass	Fail
Α.	projects are	appropriate for u	se of alternative	nade, if any, on ho contracting proced	aures.		V	
	appropria	ate for a propose	d project.	ine that the use of roving this determi		nd/or DB are	/	
В.	Applicant de	scribed their exp past three years	erience in delive	ering projects unde ed how these proje	r Alternativ	re Public e statutes in		
	1. Included	the status of each					V	
	certificat	ion.		outes on any projec			V	
C.	Applicant promanagement previous certain	nt and construction	ed organization on an experience us	chart with personne sing the GC/CM an	el possessi ad/or DB sir	ng nce the	~	
D.			udit findings rela	ative to previous pr	rojects.		V	
Ove Rea	erall Evaluations for Determine LWSI GC/CM	ion by Committee rmination: has have	Epperime	e f Eppn	rse f	b succes	shing	Execuse
Obs	servations/Co	ncerns:						
Sign	nature	Mathet	!					

Date:		GC/CM	Approved	V		
Public Agency:	Late WASD D	В	Denied			
PRC Member:		oth				
	Trad Magsar					
	Recertification Evalu	uation Criteria				
			Pas	s Fail		
 A. Applicant explipation projects are applicant. 	nined any process changes it made, if any propriate for use of alternative contracting	y, on how it determines og procedures.	s which			
appropriate	what steps are taken to determine that the for a proposed project.		r DB are			
Described	the steps that are taken in approving this	determination.	W	,		
 B. Applicant desc Works in the p RCW 39.10. 	Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.					
 Included th 	e status of each alternative delivery proje	ect.		1		
Described certification	any litigation or significant disputes on an	ny project since previou	is I			
	ided an updated organization chart with p and construction experience using the GC cation		he H			
	resolved any audit findings relative to pre-	vious projects.		,		
	by Committee Member ination:		4			
	Great Jea	n & a	ppliest	200		
ALCO CONTRACTOR CONTRA						
Observations/Cond	erns:					

Signature US 08

Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Recertification

Date: 22MAY 2025 GC/CM Approved Denied

Recertification Evaluation Criteria

Both

- A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.
 - Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.
 - 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.
- B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.
 - 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.
 - Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.
- C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.
- D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Pass	ran
×	
1	
1	
X	
8	
~	
1	

Overall Evaluation by Committee Member

Reason for Determination:

Project Review Committee (PRC)

PRC Member:

IN AREAS W LAUG THE APPARA.

Observations/Concerns:

RELATIONSHIPS UN SOMPP + COMENTANT IS THE SHIVE MAKE IF AS THE PREVIOUS APPRICATION THE KEY TEADERENTY IS SAME HAD EXPLET SAME BYR RECOUTS.

Project Review Committee (PRC) Application Evaluation Sheet **Public Agency Recertification** 5-22-25 Approved GC/CM Date: LAKE WASH. SCHOOL DIST. Denied DB Public Agency: KEVIN THOMAS **Both** PRC Member: Recertification Evaluation Criteria **Pass** Fail A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures. 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are Х appropriate for a proposed project. X 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination. B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10. 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project. X 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous X certification. C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the X previous certification. D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. Overall Evaluation by Committee Member Reason for Determination: Observations/Concerns: MODULAR CONSTRUCTION HAS MIMMAL COMMUNTY & INDUSTRY

Date:	5/22/25	GC/CM	X	Approved	b	Х
Public Agency:	Lake Washington School District	DB		Denied		
PRC Member:	Kyle Twohig	Both				
	Recertification E	valuation	Criteria			
					Pass	Fail
A. Applicant explorate are a	lained any process changes it made ppropriate for use of alternative cont	, if any, on how tracting proced	w it determi dures.	ines which	х	
appropriat	what steps are taken to determine t te for a proposed project.			d/or DB are	х	
	the steps that are taken in approvin				X	- American
B. Applicant des Works in the p RCW 39.10.	cribed their experience in delivering past three years and summarized ho	projects under w these projec	r Alternative cts met the	statutes in	x	
1. Included t	he status of each alternative deliver	y project.			х	
Described certification	l any litigation or significant disputes	on any projec	t since prev	vious	x	
C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.						
	resolved any audit findings relative	to previous pre	ojects.		х	
Reason for Detern	on by Committee Member nination: qualifications and experience for suc	cessful projec	t delivery.			
	se concerns about availability of worl			out also has adv	vantages	. Glad
to hear they have	a robust feedback mechanism to co	ntinue evaluati	ing.			
16	Digitally signed by Twohig, Kyle Date: 2025.05.22 09:38:47-07'00'					
Signature						

Date:	05/22/2025	GC/CM	Χ	Approved		X
Public Agency:	LAKE WASHINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT	DB		Denied		
PRC Member:	ANTHONY UDEAGBALA, AIA	Both				
•						
	Recertification E	valuation	Criteria			
	Y	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-in-a-whioh	Pass	Fail
A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.						
appropriate	what steps are taken to determine the for a proposed project.			nd/or DB are		
	the steps that are taken in approving cribed their experience in delivering			e Public		
Works in the p RCW 39.10.	ast three years and summarized ho	w these proje	ects met the	e statutes in	X	-
 Included th 	e status of each alternative delivery	project.				
 Described certification 	any litigation or significant disputes า.	on any projed	ct since pre	evious		
C. Applicant prov management a previous certifi	ided an updated organization chart or and construction experience using the ication.	with personno ne GC/CM an	el possessi id/or DB sii	ing nce the	X	
	resolved any audit findings relative t	o previous p	rojects.		Χ	
Reason for Dete	tion by Committee Member ermination: entation, fantastic team structure and anding of RCW requirements.	l understandi	ng of proje	ct needs. I find th	ie team	to have
-						
Observations/C	oncerns:					
Exemplary.						-
					analus.	
						_
Signature	3-219					

Application Evaluation Sheet Public Agency Recertification

Date:	5/22/25	GC/CM	X	Approved	X
Public Agency:	Lake Washington School District	DB		Denied	
PRC Member:	Taine Wilton – School Districts	Both		*	

Recertification Evaluation Criteria

- A. Applicant explained any process changes it made, if any, on how it determines which projects are appropriate for use of alternative contracting procedures.
 - 1. Explained what steps are taken to determine that the use of GC/CM and/or DB are appropriate for a proposed project.
 - 2. Described the steps that are taken in approving this determination.
- B. Applicant described their experience in delivering projects under Alternative Public Works in the past three years and summarized how these projects met the statutes in RCW 39.10.
 - 1. Included the status of each alternative delivery project.
 - 2. Described any litigation or significant disputes on any project since previous certification.
- C. Applicant provided an updated organization chart with personnel possessing management and construction experience using the GC/CM and/or DB since the previous certification.
- D. Applicant has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

	х	
	Х	
	х	
5 A	х	
;	Х	
	Х	
	х	Ð
ŀ	Х	

Pass

Fail

Overall Evaluation by Committee Member

Reason for Determination:

Outreach and support \$23.7M paid to partners. Bond support success factors – in house management and expertise. Hybrid PM's allows projects to flex to fit workload.

Observations/Concerns:

LWSD gave a thoughtful clear presentation demonstrating outreach to community while sharing knowledge with other districts. Bundling projects is a way to make smaller projects run more efficiently and creates interest with GC/CM's.

Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Digitally signed by Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

Wilton, Taine E. (ESC)

District
Date: 2025.05.22 11:08:11-07'00'