

CONSULTANT SELECTION
COMBINED CONSENSUS SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Project Number

2025-709

Name of Selection Panel Chair

Kevin Barber

Phase 1 - SOQ	Date:	5/16/2025		1	Number of Subm	itting Firms:	15
Firms	Kevin Barber	Sean Martin	Panelist Names Nate Langstraat	Brian Keeley	Marc Estvold	TOTAL PANEL RANKED	PHASE 1 RANK ORDER
	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	SCORE	ONDER
1 Carrier Johnson + Culture	15	12	14	15	14	70	15
2 DLR Group	12	15	7	13	15	62	13
3 Hacker + RMC	7	2	4	6	1	20	2
4 HDR Architecture, Inc.	10	6	6	9	9	40	10
5 Integrus	9	8	9	12	12	50	11
6 LMN Architects	1	11	5	4	7	28	4
7 McGranahanPBK	13	14	15	14	13	69	14
8 Mithun	3	1	13	5	4	26	3
9 NAC Architecture	4	9	8	7	6	34	8
10 Opsis Architecture, LLP	8	4	10	8	2	32	7
11 Perkins&Will	5	13	3	3	5	29	5
12 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	11	5	2	2	10	30	6
13 The Miller Hull Partnership	6	3	11	10	8	38	9
14 Zervas Group Architects	14	7	12	11	11	55	12
15 ZGF Architects, LLP	2	10	1	1	3	17	1
·							
Phase 2 Interview	Date:	5/28/2025	!	. N	umber of Firms	Interviewed	6
			R OF COMMITTE				
Firms	Kevin Barber	Sean Martin	Nate Langstraat	Brian Keeley	Marc Estvold	TOTAL ASSIGNED RANKS	FINAL RANK ORDER
	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order		
1 ZGF Architects. LLP	4	4	2	1	1	12	2
2 Hacker	1	2	5	4	2	14	3
3 Mithun	6	6	6	5	6	29	6
4 LMN Architects	5	1	3	6	5	20	5
				-		11	1
5 Perkins&Will	2	3	1	2	3		
6 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	3	5	4	3	4	19	4

Kein Ball	Sean Martin
Kevin Barber	Sean Martin
Mare Jy	Brian Keeley Brian Keeley (Bloy 20, 3623 0630 PDT)
Nate Langstraat	Brian Keeley
Man Schold	
Marc Estvold	



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Consensus Date Project Number **5/16/2025 2025-709**

Name of Selection Panel Member

Kevin Barber

CRITERIA	Diverse Business Equity & Inclusion Strategies		tion of Key onnel	Relevant	Experience	Past Per	formance		cle Cost Experience	Sustainat Expe	le Design ience	Geogra Proxi		TOTAL POINTS	RANK
Scores	Yes/ No	%	35	%	20	%	20	%	5	%	10	Raw Score	10	AWARDED	
1 Carrier Johnson + Culture	No	80%	28.00	75%	15.00	60%	12.00	60%	3.00	80%	8.00	100%	10.00	76.00	15
2 DLR Group	Yes	80%	28.00	95%	19.00	75%	15.00	85%	4.25	80%	8.00	100%	10.00	84.25	12
3 Hacker + RMC	Yes	85%	29.75	90%	18.00	85%	17.00	90%	4.50	95%	9.50	100%	10.00	88.75	7
4 HDR Architecture, Inc.	Yes	85%	29.75	85%	17.00	80%	16.00	90%	4.50	80%	8.00	100%	10.00	85.25	10
5 Integrus	Yes	85%	29.75	85%	17.00	85%	17.00	85%	4.25	85%	8.50	100%	10.00	86.50	9
6 LMN Architects	Yes	95%	33.25	95%	19.00	90%	18.00	90%	4.50	85%	8.50	100%	10.00	93.25	1
7 McGranahanPBK	Yes	85%	29.75	80%	16.00	85%	17.00	95%	4.75	80%	8.00	50%	5.00	80.50	13
8 Mithun	Yes	90%	31.50	90%	18.00	90%	18.00	85%	4.25	95%	9.50	100%	10.00	91.25	3
9 NAC Architecture	Yes	90%	31.50	95%	19.00	90%	18.00	80%	4.00	75%	7.50	100%	10.00	90.00	4
10 Opsis Architecture, LLP	Yes	85%	29.75	85%	17.00	90%	18.00	80%	4.00	95%	9.50	100%	10.00	88.25	8
11 Perkins&Will	Yes	90%	31.50	85%	17.00	95%	19.00	80%	4.00	80%	8.00	100%	10.00	89.50	5
12 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	Yes	85%	29.75	85%	17.00	80%	16.00	80%	4.00	80%	8.00	100%	10.00	84.75	11
13 The Miller Hull Partnership	Yes	85%	29.75	90%	18.00	90%	18.00	80%	4.00	95%	9.50	100%	10.00	89.25	6
14 Zervas Group Architects	Yes	65%	22.75	90%	18.00	70%	14.00	75%	3.75	80%	8.00	100%	10.00	76.50	14
15 ZGF Architects, LLP	Yes	90%	31.50	90%	18.00	95%	19.00	85%	4.25	95%	9.50	100%	10.00	92.25	2

Kevin Barber 5/16/2025

Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Consensus Date

Project Number

5/16/2025

2025-709

Name of Selection Panel Member

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Sean Martin

CRITERIA		ation of		evant rience	Past Per	formance	Ana	cle Cost lysis rience	Sustainat Expe	le Design rience	Geogra Prox	aphical imity	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED	RANK ORDER
Scores	%	35	%	20	%	20	%	5	%	10	%	10	AWARDED	
1 Carrier Johnson + Culture	82%	28.70	81%	16.20	72%	14.40	73%	3.65	84%	8.40	100%	10.00	81.35	12
2 DLR Group	79%	27.65	73%	14.60	72%	14.40	72%	3.60	86%	8.60	100%	10.00	78.85	15
3 Hacker + RMC	88%	30.80	79%	15.80	88%	17.60	80%	4.00	89%	8.90	100%	10.00	87.10	2
4 HDR Architecture, Inc.	80%	28.00	81%	16.20	84%	16.80	81%	4.05	87%	8.70	100%	10.00	83.75	6
5 Integrus	80%	28.00	79%	15.80	84%	16.80	83%	4.15	87%	8.70	100%	10.00	83.45	8
6 LMN Architects	80%	28.00	80%	16.00	79%	15.80	82%	4.10	84%	8.40	100%	10.00	82.30	11
7 McGranahanPBK	82%	28.70	84%	16.80	80%	16.00	83%	4.15	88%	8.80	50%	5.00	79.45	14
8 Mithun	88%	30.80	84%	16.80	87%	17.40	82%	4.10	87%	8.70	100%	10.00	87.80	1
9 NAC Architecture	83%	29.05	80%	16.00	82%	16.40	80%	4.00	79%	7.90	100%	10.00	83.35	9
10 Opsis Architecture, LLP	82%	28.70	82%	16.40	82%	16.40	83%	4.15	85%	8.50	100%	10.00	84.15	4
11 Perkins&Will	74%	25.90	80%	16.00	78%	15.60	79%	3.95	84%	8.40	100%	10.00	79.85	13
12 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	80%	28.00	83%	16.60	83%	16.60	84%	4.20	84%	8.40	100%	10.00	83.80	5
13 The Miller Hull Partnership	84%	29.40	85%	17.00	79%	15.80	82%	4.10	86%	8.60	100%	10.00	84.90	3
14 Zervas Group Architects	82%	28.70	83%	16.60	80%	16.00	82%	4.10	83%	8.30	100%	10.00	83.70	7
15 ZGF Architects, LLP	81%	28.35	80%	16.00	81%	16.20	81%	4.05	87%	8.70	100%	10.00	83.30	10
COMMENTS:														

san Martin	5/16/2025
ean Martin	Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Consensus Date

Project Number

5/16/2025

2025-709

Name of Selection Panel Member

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Nate Langstraat

CRITERIA		cation of		evant rience	Past Per	formance	Ana	cle Cost lysis rience		ole Design rience	-	aphical imity	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED	RANK ORDER
Scores	%	35	%	20	%	20	%	5	%	10	%	10	AWARDED	
1 Carrier Johnson + Culture	85%	29.75	89%	17.80	70%	14.00	80%	4.00	92%	9.20	100%	10.00	84.75	14
2 DLR Group	85%	29.75	92%	18.40	82%	16.40	85%	4.25	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	87.80	7
3 Hacker + RMC	89%	31.15	90%	18.00	80%	16.00	87%	4.35	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	88.50	4
4 HDR Architecture, Inc.	85%	29.75	91%	18.20	85%	17.00	83%	4.15	92%	9.20	100%	10.00	88.30	6
5 Integrus	86%	30.10	90%	18.00	80%	16.00	85%	4.25	92%	9.20	100%	10.00	87.55	9
6 LMN Architects	88%	30.80	90%	18.00	82%	16.40	85%	4.25	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	88.45	5
7 McGranahanPBK	83%	29.05	87%	17.40	75%	15.00	89%	4.45	85%	8.50	50%	5.00	79.40	15
8 Mithun	85%	29.75	89%	17.80	77%	15.40	90%	4.50	87%	8.70	100%	10.00	86.15	13
9 NAC Architecture	88%	30.80	86%	17.20	83%	16.60	87%	4.35	87%	8.70	100%	10.00	87.65	8
10 Opsis Architecture, LLP	85%	29.75	85%	17.00	87%	17.40	85%	4.25	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	87.40	10
11 Perkins&Will	88%	30.80	93%	18.60	89%	17.80	88%	4.40	92%	9.20	100%	10.00	90.80	3
12 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	89%	31.15	94%	18.80	88%	17.60	88%	4.40	89%	8.90	100%	10.00	90.85	2
13 The Miller Hull Partnership	86%	30.10	86%	17.20	80%	16.00	87%	4.35	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	86.65	11
14 Zervas Group Architects	85%	29.75	85%	17.00	82%	16.40	83%	4.15	89%	8.90	100%	10.00	86.20	12
15 ZGF Architects, LLP	92%	32.20	92%	18.40	90%	18.00	92%	4.60	94%	9.40	100%	10.00	92.60	1
COMMENTS:	•				•			•	•			•		

Mate Langstraat 5/16/2025
Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

onsensus Date

Project Number

5/16/2025
Name of Selection Panel Member

2025-709

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Brian Keeley

CRITERIA	Qualification of K Personnel			evant rience	Past Per	formance		cle Cost Experience		ble Design rience	•	aphical imity	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED	RANK ORDER
Scores	%	35	%	20	%	20	%	5	%	10	%	10	AWARDED	
1 Carrier Johnson + Culture	80%	28.00	81%	16.20	75%	15.00	60%	3.00	80%	8.00	100%	10.00	80.20	15
2 DLR Group	84%	29.40	87%	17.40	85%	17.00	87%	4.35	85%	8.50	100%	10.00	86.65	13
3 Hacker + RMC	90%	31.50	90%	18.00	89%	17.80	92%	4.60	93%	9.30	100%	10.00	91.20	6
4 HDR Architecture, Inc.	90%	31.50	87%	17.40	86%	17.20	90%	4.50	91%	9.10	100%	10.00	89.70	9
5 Integrus	83%	29.05	85%	17.00	88%	17.60	89%	4.45	89%	8.90	100%	10.00	87.00	12
6 LMN Architects	91%	31.85	92%	18.40	90%	18.00	90%	4.50	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	91.75	4
7 McGranahanPBK	81%	28.35	85%	17.00	86%	17.20	91%	4.55	87%	8.70	50%	5.00	80.80	14
8 Mithun	89%	31.15	91%	18.20	92%	18.40	90%	4.50	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	91.25	5
9 NAC Architecture	90%	31.50	87%	17.40	91%	18.20	87%	4.35	85%	8.50	100%	10.00	89.95	7
10 Opsis Architecture, LLP	87%	30.45	88%	17.60	91%	18.20	86%	4.30	92%	9.20	100%	10.00	89.75	8
11 Perkins&Will	92%	32.20	93%	18.60	89%	17.80	89%	4.45	91%	9.10	100%	10.00	92.15	3
12 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	93%	32.55	89%	17.80	91%	18.20	91%	4.55	93%	9.30	100%	10.00	92.40	2
13 The Miller Hull Partnership	88%	30.80	87%	17.40	89%	17.80	88%	4.40	92%	9.20	100%	10.00	89.60	10
14 Zervas Group Architects	89%	31.15	84%	16.80	88%	17.60	87%	4.35	80%	8.00	100%	10.00	87.90	11
15 ZGF Architects, LLP	91%	31.85	94%	18.80	93%	18.60	94%	4.70	92%	9.20	100%	10.00	93.15	1
COMMENTS:														

Brian Keeley

Brian Keeley (May 30, 20 Brian Keeley 5/16/2025 Date

Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Consensus Date

Project Number

5/16/2025

2025-709

Name of Selection Panel Member

Marc Estvold

CRITERIA		cation of		evant rience	Past Per	formance	Ana	cle Cost lysis rience	Sustainal	ole Design rience	-	aphical imity	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED	RANK ORDER
Scores	%	35	%	20	%	20	%	5	%	10	%	10		
1 Carrier Johnson + Culture	75%	26.25	60%	12.00	75%	15.00	85%	4.25	85%	8.50	100%	10.00	76.00	14
2 DLR Group	75%	26.25	50%	10.00	80%	16.00	85%	4.25	85%	8.50	100%	10.00	75.00	15
3 Hacker + RMC	90%	31.50	90%	18.00	85%	17.00	90%	4.50	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	90.00	1
4 HDR Architecture, Inc.	80%	28.00	85%	17.00	70%	14.00	85%	4.25	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	82.25	9
5 Integrus	75%	26.25	75%	15.00	75%	15.00	80%	4.00	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	79.25	12
6 LMN Architects	80%	28.00	90%	18.00	80%	16.00	85%	4.25	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	85.25	7
7 McGranahanPBK	85%	29.75	75%	15.00	80%	16.00	85%	4.25	85%	8.50	50%	5.00	78.50	13
8 Mithun	85%	29.75	90%	18.00	80%	16.00	85%	4.25	85%	8.50	100%	10.00	86.50	4
9 NAC Architecture	80%	28.00	80%	16.00	90%	18.00	90%	4.50	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	85.50	6
10 Opsis Architecture, LLP	87%	30.45	90%	18.00	80%	16.00	90%	4.50	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	87.95	2
11 Perkins&Will	85%	29.75	87%	17.40	80%	16.00	85%	4.25	85%	8.50	100%	10.00	85.90	5
12 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	80%	28.00	70%	14.00	80%	16.00	90%	4.50	80%	8.00	100%	10.00	80.50	10
13 The Miller Hull Partnership	80%	28.00	85%	17.00	80%	16.00	90%	4.50	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	84.50	8
14 Zervas Group Architects	78%	27.30	75%	15.00	75%	15.00	80%	4.00	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	80.30	11
15 ZGF Architects, LLP	85%	29.75	85%	17.00	85%	17.00	90%	4.50	90%	9.00	100%	10.00	87.25	3

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

In SCID

5/16/2025

Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

5/28/2025

2025-709

Name of Selection Panel Member

Kevin Barber

CRITERIA	Organ	Organization		ject jement	Project A	Approach	Expe	rience	Ana	cle Cost lysis rience	Design	inable and Net perience	Total Points Awarded	Rank Order
Scores	%	25	%	15	%	20	%	25	%	5	%	10	100	
1 ZGF Architects, LLP	85%	21.25	85%	12.75	85%	17.00	90%	22.50	85%	4.25	90%	9.00	86.75	4
2 Hacker	85%	21.25	90%	13.50	90%	18.00	90%	22.50	90%	4.50	90%	9.00	88.75	1
3 Mithun	75%	18.75	70%	10.50	80%	16.00	75%	18.75	80%	4.00	80%	8.00	76.00	6
4 LMN Architects	75%	18.75	90%	13.50	85%	17.00	90%	22.50	80%	4.00	90%	9.00	84.75	5
5 Perkins&Will	85%	21.25	95%	14.25	90%	18.00	85%	21.25	85%	4.25	95%	9.50	88.50	2
6 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	80%	20.00	85%	12.75	90%	18.00	90%	22.50	95%	4.75	90%	9.00	87.00	3

COMMENTS:

 Kevin Barber
 05/29/2025

 Kevin Barber
 Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Date of Evaluation Project Number

5/28/2025 2025-709

Name of Selection Panel Member

Sean Martin

CRITERIA	Organ	ization		oject gement	Project /	Approach	Expe	rience	Ana	cle Cost lysis rience	Design	inable and Net perience	Total Points Awarded	Rank Order
Scores	%	25	%	15	%	20	%	25	%	5	%	10	100	
1 ZGF Architects, LLP	85%	21.25	82%	12.30	85%	17.00	82%	20.50	87%	4.35	87%	8.70	84.10	4
2 Hacker	81%	20.25	85%	12.75	90%	18.00	86%	21.50	86%	4.30	90%	9.00	85.80	2
3 Mithun	72%	18.00	69%	10.35	71%	14.20	79%	19.75	68%	3.40	64%	6.40	72.10	6
4 LMN Architects	83%	20.75	87%	13.05	87%	17.40	88%	22.00	82%	4.10	88%	8.80	86.10	1
5 Perkins&Will	84%	21.00	89%	13.35	86%	17.20	85%	21.25	85%	4.25	85%	8.50	85.55	3
6 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	79%	19.75	81%	12.15	88%	17.60	86%	21.50	84%	4.20	82%	8.20	83.40	5

COMMENTS:

 Sean Martin
 05/29/2025

 Sean Martin
 Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Date of Evaluation Project Number

5/28/2025 2025-709

Name of Selection Panel Member

Nate Langstraat

CRITERIA	Organ	Project Management		•	Project A	Approach	Expe	rience	Ana	cle Cost lysis rience	Design	inable and Net perience	Total Points Awarded	Rank Order
Scores	%	25	%	15	%	20	%	25	%	5	%	10	100	
1 ZGF Architects, LLP	90%	22.50	90%	13.50	90%	18.00	90%	22.50	95%	4.75	95%	9.50	90.75	2
2 Hacker	85%	21.25	85%	12.75	85%	17.00	85%	21.25	90%	4.50	90%	9.00	85.75	5
3 Mithun	80%	20.00	85%	12.75	80%	16.00	80%	20.00	90%	4.50	95%	9.50	82.75	6
4 LMN Architects	85%	21.25	87%	13.05	87%	17.40	92%	23.00	85%	4.25	85%	8.50	87.45	3
5 Perkins&Will	92%	23.00	95%	14.25	90%	18.00	95%	23.75	92%	4.60	85%	8.50	92.10	1
6 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	83%	20.75	85%	12.75	87%	17.40	90%	22.50	88%	4.40	90%	9.00	86.80	4

COMMENTS:

 Nate Langstraat
 05/29/2025

Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Date of Evaluation Project Number

5/28/2025 2025-709

Name of Selection Panel Member

Brian Keeley

CRITERIA	Organ	ization		oject gement	Project A	Approach	Expe	rience	Ana	cle Cost lysis rience	Design	inable and Net perience	Total Points Awarded	Rank Order
Scores	%	25	%	15	%	20	%	25	%	5	%	10	100	
1 ZGF Architects, LLP	85%	21.25	90%	13.50	92%	18.40	91%	22.75	90%	4.50	89%	8.90	89.30	1
2 Hacker	86%	21.50	89%	13.35	90%	18.00	91%	22.75	85%	4.25	88%	8.80	88.65	4
3 Mithun	83%	20.75	91%	13.65	90%	18.00	88%	22.00	75%	3.75	80%	8.00	86.15	5
4 LMN Architects	81%	20.25	88%	13.20	90%	18.00	90%	22.50	78%	3.90	75%	7.50	85.35	6
5 Perkins&Will	84%	21.00	90%	13.50	89%	17.80	92%	23.00	88%	4.40	91%	9.10	88.80	2
6 Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	85%	21.25	90%	13.50	91%	18.20	91%	22.75	90%	4.50	85%	8.50	88.70	3

COMMENTS:

Brian Keeley
Brian Keeley (May 30, 2025 08:30 PDT)

Brian Keeley (May 30, 2025 08:30

05/30/2025

Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description

WCC - Technology & Engineering Center

Date of Evaluation

Project Number

5/28/2025

2025-709

Name of Selection Panel Member

Marc Estvold

CRITERIA		Organization		Project Management		Project Approach		Experience		Life Cycle Cost Analysis Experience		Sustainable Design and Net Zero Experience		Total Points Awarded	Rank Order
	Scores	%	25	%	15	%	20	%	25	%		%		85	
1	ZGF Architects, LLP	95%	23.75	95%	14.25	92%	18.40	95%	23.75	95%		95%		80.15	1
2	Hacker	95%	23.75	95%	14.25	95%	19.00	92%	23.00	95%		95%		80.00	2
3	Mithun	85%	21.25	90%	13.50	85%	17.00	85%	21.25	90%		90%	- 100	73.00	6
4	LMN Architects	92%	23.00	95%	14.25	85%	17.00	90%	22.50	92%		92%		76.75	5
5	Perkins&Will	95%	23.75	92%	13.80	95%	19.00	92%	23.00	92%		92%		79.55	3
6	Starling Whitehead & Lux Architects	90%	22.50	90%	13.50	95%	19.00	92%	23.00	90%		92%		78.00	4

COMMENTS:

<u>₩</u> 05/28/2025 Marc Estvold Date