

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board
Construction Cost Escalation Committee

Draft

Report to Legislature Construction Cost Escalation

09/03/2025

The Legislature passed 2SSB 5268 in 2023 making changes to RCW 39.04.152 which authorizes the use of the Small Works Roster (SWR).

RCW 39.04.152 requires a review of the SWR threshold (set at \$350,000 in 2019) every five years beginning in 2025.

In the May 2025 meeting the Capital Projects Advisor Review Board (CPARB) commissioned a committee to meet with stakeholders and recommend CPARB changes to the 2019 threshold.

Members of the committee included:

- Olivia Yang, Agency Higher Education Chair
- Ron Endlich, Transit
- Erin Frasier, Labor
- Karen Mooseker, School Districts
- Justin Vena, General Contractors (SWR)
- Angela Peterson, Owner Ports
- Robert Blain, Owner Counties
- Garrett Buckingham, Owner, Public Hospitals
- Bruce Hayashi, Architects
- Theresa Bauccio-Teschlog, Owner Cities
- Michael Transue, MCA (Mechanical Contractors Assoc. Western Washington)

The committee met every two weeks beginning June 18, 2025.

The issues discussed by the committee included

1. Purpose of a small works roster.
The small works roster was originally intended to reduce the transaction costs of public owners in procuring small dollar construction. Over time it has also been thought of as a way to facilitate entry by small businesses in public works.
2. Use of a small works roster.
 - a) SHB 1306 encouraged the use of a Statewide Small Works roster administered by Municipal Research Service Center (MRSC).
 - b) The Statewide SWR is funded by the State through the Dept of Commerce and may become a **fee**-based service to public owners and contractors (except certified firms).
 - c) All firms are eligible to apply to be on the statewide SWR.
3. Inflation.
 - a) Various indices were used to determine actual inflation since 2019. These included the Construction Cost Index and the Consumer Price Index.

Capital Projects Advisory Review Board
Construction Cost Escalation Committee

- b) These indices concluded that inflation since 2019 resulted in an increase of \$350,000 from \$406K to over \$500,000.
 - c) MRSC has calculated the escalation of \$350,000 based on different indices.
- 4. Potential outcomes of increases.
 - a) There was considerable discussion around escalation from 2025 to 2030. Raising the threshold to as high as \$1M. was proposed.
 - b) There was concern that a threshold of \$1M may invite medium or larger contractors to be on the SWR and potentially put small businesses firms at a bidding disadvantage. The counter points to this that volatility in the marketplace looking ahead is real. The new threshold for SWR must be realistic.
- 5. Pilot

A small group of public owners will pilot projects greater than the new threshold. The pilot will test, among other issues, the impact of a higher threshold on make-up of contractors on roster (did medium and larger firms get on the roster and put the small businesses at a disadvantage?)
- 6. Status

During the August 27 committee, the following consensus structure was agreed upon:

 - a) The various index calculations will be reviewed by stakeholder groups to inform them of their proposed new threshold.
 - b) A group of public owners have agreed to pilot projects higher than the new threshold,
 - c) MCA has proposed sideboards for the pilots. Public Owners are reviewing.