## 1 Capital Projects Advisory Review Board

2

**Business Equity/Diverse Business Inclusion Committee** 

3	Meetin	ig Summary September 11, 2020		
4 5	1.	Committee co-chair Walter Schacht called the meeting to order at 11:03 a.m. A quorum was established.		
6 7	2.	Welcome and introductions. Co-chair Walter Schacht welcomed the attendees.		
8		Committee members in attendance unless otherwise noted:		
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		<ul> <li>Walter Schacht, Schacht Aslani Architects</li> <li>Lisa van der Lugt, OMWBE</li> <li>Bill Frare, DES</li> <li>(absent) Irene Reyes, The Glove Lady</li> <li>Janice Zahn, Port of Seattle</li> <li>Olivia Yang, Washington State University</li> <li>(absent) Cheryl Stewart, AGC Eastern Washington</li> <li>Chip Tull, Hoffman Construction</li> <li>Aleanna Kondelis. University of Washington</li> <li>Brenda Nnambi, Sound Transit</li> </ul>		
19		Other attendees include:		
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20	3	<ul> <li>Rebecca Keith, City of Seattle CPARB</li> <li>John Salinas, Salinas Construction CPARB</li> <li>Sarah Erdmann, OMWBE</li> <li>Prabh Kaur, OMWBE</li> <li>Dan Seydel, Platinum Business Group</li> <li>Jolene Skinner, Department of Labor and Industries</li> <li>Tammie Wilson, Department of Labor and Industries</li> <li>Stephanie Caldwell, Absher Construction</li> <li>Curt Gimmestad, Absher Construction</li> </ul>		
30 31 32 33 34 35	3.	<ul> <li>Review and approve agenda. Co-chair Schacht briefly reviewed the agenda for today noting that our primary task today is to review the outline of the draft report that we will present to the board. The draft outline is included on the agenda to aid discussion.</li> <li>a. Approval of today's agenda–Motion (Bill Frare), Second (Aleanna Kondelis), Passed to approve the meeting agenda.</li> </ul>		
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 43	4.	<b>Reauthorization update.</b> Rebecca Keith shared an update regarding the Reauthorization Committee meeting that occurred yesterday, Sept. 10, 2020. The Reauthorization Committee took the language that was approved for the Design-Build statute and included it in the GCCM statute. The language clarifies the evaluation of past performance and takes into consideration the needs and support of minority and women-owned businesses. During a previous meeting with Representative Tamiko Santos, the Representative asked "how do you incorporate the concerns of equity and diversity into everything you do?" She said don't tell me you're only parking things in the committee, make sure you're doing it holistically. Which is something we should think about in our work inside and outside of the committee. Next step, we will ask CPARB to vote to approve		

45 46	•	slation at their Oct. 8, 2020 meeting. If approved, we'll move this into a bill and put it the legislature.
40		Lisa van der Lugt asked Rebecca Keith what the next steps are in response to
48	a.	Representative Santo's recommendations?
49	Ь	Rebecca Keith responded that in addition to not simply parking all the issues within this
50	D.	committee, the GCCM and the JOC committees are working on best practices that follow
51		the format that the Design-Build group used which includes a section dedicated to
52		inclusion, diversity, and competition to help provide clarity and promote diversity and
53		inclusion.
54	c	Co-chair Schacht noted that we're asking this committee to make recommendations to
55	0.	the board about how to increase diversity and inclusion throughout its regular operations.
56		And added, while not explicit, we do anticipate providing recommendations to the board
57		about how to increase diversity and inclusion at all levels.
58	Ь	Lisa van der Lugt shared that she reviewed the PRC membership requirements and there
59	u.	are plenty of opportunities to improve those requirements to increase diversity and
60		inclusion.
61	Þ	Co-chair Schacht noted we should discuss the PRC candidate review that happened at
62	0.	the board meeting yesterday and asked Lisa van der Lugt to provide an overview of the
63		discussion and review of the application to fill the women and minority business position
64		for the board.
65	f.	Lisa van der Lugt shared her perspective of the application review which included a
66	••	person who met the requirements on paper with the technical skillset, however during the
67		interview, there were several responses that concerned her due to incorrect information
68		or not answering the question.
69	g.	(Note: two CPARB members who are owners of certified MWBE firms voted against the
70	5	candidate, Sherry Harris. One CPARB member who is the owner of a certified MWBE
71		firm voted in favor of the candidate.)
72	h.	Co-chair Schacht noted that our charge is not to re-review what happened but it's
73		important to understand instances as they arise and how can we recommend
74		improvements to the board as they seat these critical roles.
75	i.	Janice Zahn offered that this may be a good lesson learned to be included in our
76		recommendations. As board members, we need to have and model the qualifications we
77		are requiring and also there is some work we can do to better prepare applicants and
78		interviewees on the requirements of the interview. For example, specific questions
79		regarding the applicant's affiliation with the seat they are applying to fill.
80	j.	Co-chair Schacht suggested that based on the above conversation that we add a fourth
81		section to the CPARB report (Section 6 below) to address these recommendations.
82		Recommendations for further review and consideration are as follows:
83		i. An interview question should include the applicants' role specific to the position
84		(e.g. as a WMBE), their experience working in the community as it related to the
85		role (e.g. as a WMBE), and working with others in this role (e.g. as a WMBE
86		working with other WMBE's).
87		ii. An interview question clarifying whether a candidate adequately represents their
88		stakeholders.
89		iii. Process to consider stakeholder representation – both geographic and specific to
90		the role.
91		
92		

93 5. WSU Small Business/Design-Build Initiative. Olivia Yang provided the context of the initiative. Design-build has \$2 million floor per HB 1295. WSU is a leader in the development of design-94 95 build including best practices that were included in 1295 and every year (except 2020) WSU hosts a design-build forum in Pullman, and as an agency, WSU has been a part of the evolution 96 of the practice of design-build over the years. WSU has a significant deferred maintenance 97 backlog estimated at \$1.4 billion as of 2017. WSU receives funding from the State's Minor Capital 98 fund, which is capped at \$2 million per project. This funding is used every year to address 99 maintenance and improvements. WSU believes that the best use of these dollars is to have the 100 101 key team members on board early to allocate dollars to a project, for example, replacing building 102 automated system panels, and to walk through the building or project site and assess what needs to be done. This is a streamlined and efficient process and makes the dollars go further. WSU 103 104 has received interest from smaller firms that are bidding on their design-bid-build projects. They 105 are interested in pursuing and being competitive in design-build. Olivia shared that when she sees proposals come through, she always wishes that she could have sat down with the 106 107 contractors prior to submitting their proposal to help them through the proposal effort. Therefore, 108 WSU is proposing a pilot program to demonstrate and help the smaller firms in their community 109 learn about the different types of procurement. This will help them figure out a curriculum for teaching different procurement methods and train up interested firms. 110 111 a. Co-chair Schacht added that this pilot is focused on small businesses and creating more opportunities for people to get in the design-build game, which we hope will create 112 opportunity and inclusion. 113 b. Olivia Yang clarified that the purpose of this program is to capture as many companies as 114 115 possible, so there is no official "small business" requirement, no requirement to be small in order to complete. The only requirement is that the company is interested in being a 116 firm that does up to \$1-2 million design-bid-build and they want to learn skills to move to 117 118 design-build. 119 c. Sarah Erdmann shared OMWBE's certification process to be a small business, which includes only small businesses. OMWBE uses a two-prong approach for certification. (1) 120 121 They assign North American Industry Classification Codes and cross-reference the code 122 with the Small Business Administration which provides gross proceeds and size 123 standards. (2) They have a gross revenue threshold of \$23.98 million from a three-year 124 average. If the company exceeds this threshold then they do not receive the small business designation. 125 126 d. Olivia Yang added that the value in this program is to evaluate their curriculum and be 127 able to get real-time feedback on how to improve and make this program effective for 128 small businesses. 129 Lisa van der Lugt expressed concern about the lack of accountability for including e. 130 MWBEs. 131 132 6. Draft CPARB report outline. Co-chair Schacht walked through a more robust outline including 133 further detail than what is included in the meeting notes below. 134 (1) Legislative proposals: If we believe other statutory requirements should be made, а then the door is still open to doing that however that's not what we've heard in the past 135 several meetings. The next section goes through the data that Labor and Industries 136 137 collect that can inform our efforts. 138 i. Co-chair Schacht clarified that if there are other statutory recommendations then 139 we'll need to target those to the next legislative session since it will take time to 140 work through those. We should also think through whether those 141 recommendations should in fact be statutory or can be addressed in a best 142 practice manual.

Prepared by Kate Elliott, 206.450.6726, kelliott@maulfoster.com

143	ii.	Rebecca Keith added that we've heard from legislators repeatedly that this will
144		be a difficult legislative session, so the bill ready to go so it can be voted on in
145		October and legislators can review the bill in advance. She also conveyed a few
146		activities that are going on concurrently including the GCCM and JOC
147		committees which are working on best practices, and we have an MRC study
148		that is working to identify barriers to participation for women and minority-owned
149		businesses and they have identified a number of barriers that we need to figure
150		out how to implement.
151	iii.	Janice Zahn noted that if the best practices are capturing all the activities and
152		findings from all our concurrent work, then that's great.
153	iv	Rebecca Keith asked how will we align the different efforts and ensure they are
154		coordinated, and the recommendations are aligned?
155	v	Co-chair Schacht shared that CPARB has best practice guidelines for design-
156	۷.	build that specifically address the issue of encouraging competition and
157		increasing participation. Design-build allows public bodies to be very targeted
158		about the level of participation. They don't have to publicly bid the work after
159		
		selecting the design-builder. They can select individual trades to achieve the
160	(0) In an	target.
161 b		easing opportunities for firms to compete: Includes OMWBE model inclusion
162	•	The purpose of this section is not to include a catalog of all inclusion plan
163		tion but to describe the development, use, and implementation of an inclusion
164		help educate public bodies.
165	I.	Sarah Erdmann shared that OMWBE has resources including fact sheets and
166		FAQs about forecasting, inclusion plans, and other important topics including the
167		findings from the disparity study. Do we want to limit this section to just inclusion
168		plans, or do we want to open it up to other resources and information that we
169		have available?
170	ii.	Janice Zahn noted that we would want to include all resources available so we
171		can have the best and most effective outreach and education.
172	iii.	Aleanna Kondelis noted that while we certainly want the resources from
173		OMWBE, we also want practice application tools and information from our public
174		owner partners who apply this work such as Sound Transit.
175	iv.	Janice Zahn asked how do we best leverage the different delivery methods to
176		maximize the ability to do the inclusion, outreach, and equity work? Some
177		delivery methods will have more opportunities, for example, design-build has
178		more inclusion opportunities than competitive bid sub-packages for GCCM or
179		design-bid-build. Are there other items within the statute that we'll want to
180		recommend? There are more opportunities that we are not leveraging.
181	٧.	Co-chair Schacht replied that if there are other statutory recommendations, then
182		we'll need to target the next legislative session.
183	vi.	AI - Sarah Erdmann to work with Brenda Nnambi to gathering information for this
184		section.
185	vii.	AI – Participants can review this draft outline and provide feedback.
186		AI – Include recommendations from the disparity study.
187		Co-chair Schacht offered that scholarships for AGC Education Foundation
188		Design-Build and GCCM workshops may not be a good fit in this section but
189		should be included somewhere.
190	Y	Olivia Yang and others suggested and offered that scholarships could also come
191	۸.	from private companies including the general contractors and others.
192		Additionally, in pre-pandemic times, the value of the workshops was also to meet
172		Additionally, in pre-pandemic times, the value of the workshops was also to meet

Prepared by Kate Elliott, 206.450.6726, <u>kelliott@maulfoster.com</u>

193	owners in addition to the content of the workshops. There is also a need to help
194	small firms understand the necessary skills, in addition to the people and a
195	directory can address this need.
196	xi. Curt Gimmestad added that many of the workshops will be online, which we can
197	then engage interested people statewide and at a lower cost (or free).
198	c. (3) Government agencies
199	i. Co-Chair Schacht reviewed the third proposed section where OMWBE will share
200	their Business Diversity Management System. We'll also include Labor and
201	Industries data collection to help inform this section.
202	d. (4) new section: CPARB and PRC candidate identification and selection
203	i. Process to Identify candidates and solicit applications
204	1. Opportunities to increase diversity
205	ii. Process to review PRC candidate applications
206	1. Board meeting procedures
207	
208	7. Wrap up. Co-chair Schacht summarized the next steps including:
209	a. Action items
210	i. Co-chair Schacht to update the report outline and share the documents reviewed
211	today and the updated outline with the committee to review ahead of the next
212	meeting.
213	ii. Sarah Erdmann to work with Brenda Nnambi to gathering information for the
214	outline - Section 2 OMWBE model inclusion plans.
215	b. Next meeting
216	i. We'll schedule a follow-up meeting in a month or so.
217	ii. Ahead of that meeting, we'll have everyone review the documents we discussed
218	today and provide comments that we can then discuss at our next meeting where
219	perhaps we can finalize our outline.
220	c. The goal is to have this report completed by the end of 2020.
221	
222	8. Adjourn. The committee M/S/A to adjourn the meeting at 12:56 p.m.
223	