

Local Government Public Works Contracting Study–Update

April 15, 2021



## Stakeholders

Construction/Trades/Labor

- General Contractors
- Organized Labor
- Small Business

#### Public Agencies (Owners)

• Cities

egislature

- Counties
- Special Purpose Districts

Partner Agencies

- DES
- OMWBE
- L&I
- PTAC

### SWR Threshold Increase Decision Matrix



#### Threshold increase recommendations

- Original Recommendation: Tie Small Works Roster threshold increases to state-wide inflation factor based on CCI
- Alternative Recommendation #1: Tie Small Works Roster threshold increases to state-wide inflation factor based on CCI at a five-year interval
- Alternative Recommendation #2: Review potential Small Works Roster threshold increase at a five-year interval

Aligning resources to support an efficient and equitable forum for Public Works Contracting

# Local Government Resource Funding



#### **Public Agency Support**

- General project guidance
- Supplemental criteria usage
- Scoping documents
- RFP process evaluation



#### **Business Support**

- Solicitation process guidance
- Requirements consulting
- Outreach events
- Marketing strategy advice



#### M/WBE Technical Assistance

- Facilitate M/WBE's/ & Local Gov't connection (mentorship, apprentice)
- Local Gov't Inclusion Plans
- BIPOC/WO community outreach
- Language Access

Ask of \$1M in funding to support additional two FTE for MRSC, PTAC and OMWBE each



#### Current Expedited Process Structure



Expedited Process Allowance by Government Type

Below Statute SWR Established by Policy

### Defining Thresholds

 Two primary public works thresholds

> • Bid Threshold

• SWR

 Take a variety of forms across agency types

Government Type	Bid Threshold	Small Works Roster Threshold	Applicable RCW	Limits for Work by Agency Employees	Government Type	Bid Threshold	Small Works Roster Threshold	Applicable RCW	Limits for Work by Agency Employees		
Area Agency on	Subject to state bio		74.38.050	Follows state requirements of	Interlocal agreement agency	Most restrictive of jurisdiction	establishing	39.34	Most restrictive of establishing jurisdiction		
Aging City, first class	RCW 43.19 \$75,500 (1 craft) \$150,000 (>1 craft)	\$350,000	35.22.620	RCW 43.19 Per project: \$75,500 (1 trade), \$150.000 (>1 trade). NTE 10% of	Irrigation district	\$0	\$300,000	87.03.435-436	No limit; must bid materials used by employees; must follow public works bid process when materials unless provided by contractor		
	+100,000 (			public works budget.		None; established by policy		27.12	Most restrictive of establishing jurisdiction; if NA then by policy		
City, second class and towns city code	\$75,500 (1 craft) \$116,155 (>1 craft)	\$350,000	35.23.352 35A.40.210	Per project: \$75,500 (1 trade), \$116,155 (>1 trade). NTE 10% of public works budget.	Mosquito control district	None; established	l by policy	17.28	No statutory limits - set by policy		
Conservation district	None; established	by policy	89.08	No statutory limits - set by policy	Park and recreation district	None; established	by policy	36.69	Most restrictive of establishing jurisdiction; if NA then by policy		
County, population >400k with	\$0	\$350,000	36.32.235	Per project: \$45,000 or \$125k for Riverine or stormwater project (1	Metropolitan parks district	\$20,000	\$350,000	35.61.135	\$20,000		
purchasing department				trade), \$90,000 or \$250k for Riverine or stormwater project (>1 trade). NTE 10% of public works budget.	Port district	\$40,000	\$300,000	53.08.120	Allowed for all projects, except when over \$40k, must make a determination whether cost is lower performed by contract		
County, population <400,000 with purchasing	\$0	\$350,000	36.32.240 36.32.235		Public development authority (PDA)	Statutes of creating city or county		35.21.730	Statutes of creating city or county		
department	or stormwater project (>1 trade). NTE 10% of public works budget. (PFD)	Public facilities district (PFD)	None; established by policy		36.100.030 35.57.020	No statutory limits - set by policy					
County without purchasing	\$40,000	\$350,000	36.32.250	road project limits set according to (PUD) population and motor vehicle fuel tay factor	Public utility district (PUD)	\$50,000	\$350,000	54.04.070	\$300,000		
department					Reclamation district (over 1 million acres)	All public works m	nust be formally bid	89.30.154	Not authorized		
Educational service district (ESD)	None; established by policy (unless contracting on behalf of a school district, in which case school district bid laws apply)		28A.310	Most restrictive of establishing jurisdiction; if NA then by policy	Regional support network (RSN)	Statutes of creatin	ng county	71.24.300	Statutes of creating county		
					School district	\$100,000	\$350,000	28A.335.190	\$75,000		
Fire district	\$20,000	\$350,000	52.14.110	Not authorized	Self-insurance risk pool	Most restrictive of jurisdiction	establishing	48.62	Most restrictive of establishing jurisdictions		
Flood/diking/drainage district	\$5,000	\$350,000	85.38.190	\$5,000	State college or university	\$45,000 (1 craft) \$90,000 (>1 craft)	\$350,000	28B.10.350 28B.50.330	\$45,000 (1 craft) \$90,000 (>1 craft)		
Health district	None; established by policy		70.46	No statutory limits - set by policy	Transportation authority (under RCW 36.57 or 36.57A)		l by policy	36.57A	No statutory limits - set by policy		
Hospital district	\$75,000	\$350,000	70.44.140	\$75,000	Transportation			Statutes of creating city or county		35.21.225	Most restrictive of establishing
Housing authority	None; established by policy		35.82; AGO 2009	No statutory limits - set by policy	authority (under RCW 35.21.225 or 36.73)			36.73	jurisdictions		
			No. 2		Water-sewer district	\$50,000	\$350,000	57.08.050	\$50,000		

Note: "Craft" refers to professional trades. The bid threshold is thus based on the number of professional trades required to complete a project

#### CCI Inflation Adjustment

Small Works Threshold adj. for Inflation (Seattle Metro CCI 10 Yr Avg)



#### Recommendations – Unanimous / Policy

#	Recommendation	Vote Status	Ongoing maintenance	Considerations
1	Adjust Port District and Irrigation District Small Works Roster Statutes to refer to RCW 39.04.155	Unanimously in favor	No	Someone appointed to review statute language for Port and Irrigation districts
5	Remove retainage and bond requirements for projects under \$5,000	Unanimously in favor	No	Someone appointed to review which statute to update.
8	Define small business in the public works contracting statute	Unanimously in favor	No	Identify which statute to update and develop language definition

#### Recommendations – Unanimous / Maint.

4	#	Recommendation	Vote Status	Ongoing maintenance	Considerations
ŧ	6	Create a centralized list of rosters	Unanimously in favor	Yes	<ul> <li>How will this be implemented?</li> <li>Who will maintain this?</li> <li>Commitment from agencies to keep updated</li> <li>Determine if set in statute or other mechanism</li> </ul>
7	7	Create list of certification/registration programs for disadvantaged businesses	Unanimously in favor	Yes	<ul> <li>How will this be implemented?</li> <li>Who will maintain this?</li> <li>Commitment from issuing agencies to keep updated</li> </ul>
:	10	Coordinated schedule for significant outreach events between public agencies and other stakeholders	Unanimously in favor	Yes	<ul> <li>How will this be implemented?</li> <li>Who will maintain this?</li> <li>Commitment from agencies to keep updated</li> </ul>

### Recommendations – Not Unanimous / Policy

#	Recommendation	Vote Status	Ongoing maintenance	Considerations
3	Expand and define appropriate use of a 'no-bid response' process to all agencies	Yes: 6 No: 1	No	<ul> <li>Define process to avoid misuse</li> <li>Revisit current authorization to align with this implementation</li> </ul>
4	Give unit price contracting authority to all public agencies <mark>and define</mark> limits of use	Yes: 5 Abstain: 1 No: 1	No	<ul> <li>Define process to avoid misuse</li> <li>Revisit current authorization to align with this implementation</li> </ul>

### Recommendations – Not Unanimous / Maint.

#	Recommendation	Vote Status	Ongoing maintenance	Considerations
2	Tie Small Works Roster threshold increases to state-wide inflation factor based on CCI	Yes: 4 Abstain: 1 No: 2	Yes	<ul> <li>Expansion of SWR a concern for some business entities</li> <li>Define process for five-year inflation increase</li> </ul>
9	CPARB update to supplemental bidder responsibility guidelines	Yes: 3 No: 4	Yes	<ul><li>Is funding required for this effort?</li><li>Define scope of update</li></ul>
11	Provide professional assistance to local government for contracting guidance and marketing and outreach to contractors	Yes: 3 No: 4	Yes	<ul> <li>Define scope of support</li> <li>Two lines of support (agency and business)</li> <li>Concern about creating new resources to implement this</li> <li>Identify current available resources</li> </ul>