State of Washington  
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)  
Project Review Committee (PRC)  

Application Evaluation Sheet  
Public Agency Project Approval for:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GC/CM</th>
<th>D/B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Date: **Nov. 30, 2017**  
Public Agency: **Clark County Dist. 6**  
Project: **Station 62 Ren/ New Station 63**  
PRC Member: **Rastin Hall**

### Project Evaluation Criteria

*Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria*

**Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterium</th>
<th>Meets</th>
<th>Needs</th>
<th>Clarification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Public body has necessary experience or team:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Project delivery knowledge and experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. Written management plan with clear &amp; logical lines of authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. Necessary &amp; appropriate funding and time to carry out the project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. Continuity of project management team with project type &amp; scope experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process &amp; capable to oversee &amp; administer the contract.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member**

Reason for Determination:  

**PASS**

**Observations/Concerns:**  

**Team did not demonstrate sufficient knowledge or budget contingency.**

Signature
State of Washington
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 30 NOV 2017
Public Agency: CLARK COUNTY
Project: STATION RENOVATION/REPLACEMENT
PRC Member: JOHN PAUSWIEZ

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Determination</th>
<th>Meets Criteria</th>
<th>Needs Clarification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. [✓] [✓]

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. [✓]

C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget

D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract. [NA] [NA]

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects. [PASS] [FAIL]

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

AGENCY IS NOT PREPARED TO DO A GC/CM PROJECT.

Observations/Concerns:

[Signature]

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)

Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 11/30/17
Public Agency: Clark County Fire Protection District 6
Project: Fire Station 62463
PRC Member: Brendan Epler

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.  
   
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.  
   
C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
   
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.  
   
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  
   
Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

PASS  

Observations/Concerns:

No Washington State GC/CM Experience, no owners rep or owner knowledge of GC/CM. Project is past 30% Design, does not meet 5% Contingency.  

Signature

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 11/30/17
Public Agency: CLACK COUNTY FIRE DIST.
Project: FIRE STATION 42 & 63
PRC Member: [DAVID BROSSARD]

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.

C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget

D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

PASS  

Observations/Concerns:

EXPERIENCE. NO FORMER EXPERIENCE W/ WA. OWNER OF WE

[Signature]

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)

Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 11/30/17
Public Agency: CLARK COUNTY FIRE PROTECTION
Project: STATION RENOVATION & REPLACEMENT
PRC Member: ROB WARMACA

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

Determine that the Agency’s proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.
C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:

Observations/Concerns:

- Contingency only 1%
- No GC/CM owner/owner rep
- Expecting
- Relating on McKenzie Fer
- GC/CM
- Design is mostly complete
- Project Bids previously taken & 30% over budget

Signature

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington  
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)  
Project Review Committee (PRC)  

Application Evaluation Sheet  
Public Agency Project Approval for:  

GC/CM ✓  
D/B  

Date: 11/30/2017  
Public Agency: CLARK COUNTY FIRE DIST. NO. 6  
Project: STATION 62+63  
PRC Member: JAMES R. WAGHETT  

Project Evaluation Criteria  

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria  

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.  

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.  

C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience  
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience  
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority  
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project  
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience  
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget  

D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.  

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member  

Reason for Determination:  
Contingency: Independent experience in WA GC/CM Owners  
REP: Design (60%) cost to decrease value;  

Observations/Concerns:  

Signature  

Updated 10/2016