State of Washington  
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)  
Project Review Committee (PRC)  
Application Evaluation Sheet  
Public Agency Project Approval for:  
  
Date: 12/17  
Public Agency: City of Tukwila  
Project: 3 Fire Stations Replacement  
PRC Member: Janice Zahn  

Project Evaluation Criteria  

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria  

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:  

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.  
   
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.  
   
C. Public body has necessary experience or team:  
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience  
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience  
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority  
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project  
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience  
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget  
   
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.  
   
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  
   
Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member  

Reason for Determination:  

Appropriate Project - after the presentation & Q&A - GCM necessary for early GCM involvement even if there are some Qs about 2 fire stations who if selected.  

Observations/Concerns:  

Application difficult to understand of why GCM. In G & A - the importance of getting GCM early input on design decisions & best value if desire to have GCEC was presented.  


Member Determination  
Meets Needs  
Criteria Clarification  

FAIL  

PASS  


Signature:  

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 7/27/17
Public Agency: CITY OF TUKWILA
Project: 3 FIRE STATIONS REPLACEMENT
PRC Member: KURT R. BOYD

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.  

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.

C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget

D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination: I don't feel the presenters made a plausible case for GC/CM approval. They did not understand clearly the GC/CM criteria for approval. They also did not understand the D/B criteria. I feel the process was muddled with their discussion on complex systems.

Observations/Concerns:

Signature

Member Determination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member Determination</th>
<th>Meets</th>
<th>Needs</th>
<th>Clarification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PASS | FAIL

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington  
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)  
Project Review Committee (PRC)  

Application Evaluation Sheet  
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 7/27/17  
Public Agency: CITY OF TUKWILA  
Project: FIRE STATION REPLACEMENT  
PRC Member: DAVID BROSSAND  

GC/CM X  
D/B  

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determination</th>
<th>GC/CM</th>
<th>D/B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meets Criteria</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs Clarification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.  
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.  
C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience  
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience  
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority  
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project  
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience  
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget  
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.  
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:  
A. Public benefit was not clearly established  
39.10.3410 was not considered for any of  

PASS  FAIL  

Observations/Concerns:

Signature

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington  
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)  
Project Review Committee (PRC)  

Application Evaluation Sheet  
Public Agency Project Approval for:  

Date:  
July 27, 2017  

Public Agency:  
City of Tukwila  

Project:  
Fire Station Repl.  

PRC Member:  
Y. Semenova  

GC/CM  
D/B  

Project Evaluation Criteria  

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria  

Determine that the Agency’s proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on 
the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:  

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.  

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.  

C. Public body has necessary experience or team:  
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience  
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience 
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority  
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project  
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience 
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget  

D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team 
knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.  

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member  

Reason for Determination:  
Criteria is not met.  

Observations/Concerns:  

Signature  

Semenova  

Member Determination  
Meets  
Needs Clarification  

PASS  
FAIL  

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 7/27/17
Public Agency: City of Tukwila
Project: 3 Fire Stations
PRC Member: Howard Billinger

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

Determine that the Agency’s proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical. x
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340. x
C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget

D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination: Passed & best through concerned to meeting project goals.

Observations/Concerns:
- Staff orientation
- Complexity: systems, staged budget, phasing, no time to incorporate
- Lessons learned, cost assurance, packaging
- Critical to select best strategies for success of project, EC/cm, MC/cm, potential?

Signature

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington  
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)  
Project Review Committee (PRC)  

Application Evaluation Sheet  
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 7/27/17  
Public Agency: CITY OF TUKWILA  
Project: 3 FIRE STATION REPLACEMENT  
PRC Member: JASON NAKAMURA

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

Determine that the Agency’s proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.  
   - [X]  

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.  
   - [X]

C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience  
      - [X]  
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience  
      - [X]  
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority  
      - [X]  
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project  
      - [X]  
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience  
      - [X]  
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget  
      - [X]  

D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.  
   - [X]  

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.  
   - [X]

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Panel:  
Reason for Determination:
AFTER DISCUSSIONS, CAME TO CONCLUSION THAT GC/CM DURING DESIGN PROCESS WOULD BE BENEFICIAL

Observations/Concerns:

Signature:

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 07.29.2017
Public Agency: CITY OF TACOMA
Project: 3 FIRE STATION REPLACEMENT
PRC Member: MARK MITCHELL

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

Determine that the Agency’s proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
X

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.
X

C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   X
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   X
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   X
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   X
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   X
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget

D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
N/A N/A

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.
X

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:
APPLICATION MEETS ITEM #3 "THE INCLUSION OF THE GC/CM DURING THE DESIGN STAGE IS CRITICAL TO THE SUCCESS OF THE PROJECT"
PASS FAIL

Observations/Concerns:

Signature

Updated 10/2016
State of Washington
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval for:

Date: 7.26.2017
Public Agency: Tukwila
Project: Fire Station Replacement
PRC Member: David Tulstaf

Project Evaluation Criteria

Extracted from RCW 39.10 and application criteria

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM or Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.  
   Meets

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300 or 39.10.340.  
   Needs

C. Public body has necessary experience or team:
   i. Project delivery knowledge and experience  
      X
   ii. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience  
      X
   iii. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority  
      X
   iv. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project  
      Needs
   v. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience  
      X
   vi. Necessary and appropriate construction budget  
      X

D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the D-B team knowledgeable in D-B process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.  
   X

E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.
   PASS

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member

Reason for Determination:
Tukwila needs benefit of construct & design

Observations/Concerns:

Signature

Updated 10/2016