Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project Approval

Date: 7/26/18
Public Agency: Kalama School District
Project: New Secondary School & Elementary School
PRC Member: Quinn Dolan

Project Evaluation Criteria
Design-Build

Determine that the Agency’s proposed use of Design-Build on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.300.
   Public bodies may utilize the DB procedure for public works projects in which the total project cost is over two million dollars and where: (Pass if meets 1 of 3)
   1. The construction activities are highly specialized and a DB approach is critical in developing the construction methodology, or
   2. The projects selected provide opportunity for greater innovation or efficiencies between the designer and the builder; or
   3. Significant savings in project delivery time would be realized.
C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:
   (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
   1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
D. For Design-Build projects, construction personnel independent of the DB team is knowledgeable in DB process & capable to oversee & administer the contract.
E. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member
Reason for Determination:

Bundling the job which will allow them to get a KTE on board phasing and site safety

Observations/Concerns:

School district’s knowledge of GC/CM
Lack of the team being interviewed
Some knowledge is on CG and concern with the school district

Signature

Revised 3/22/2018
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project

Date: July 26, 2018
Public Agency: Kelama School District
Project: New Secondary School & Elem School
PRC Member: David West

Project Evaluation Criteria
General Contractor/Construction Manager

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340.
   Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: (Pass if meets 1 of 6)
   1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
   2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or
   3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project, or
   4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
   5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
   6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project.
C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
   1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member
Reason for Determination:

Observations/Concerns:

Signature: 
Revised 3/22/2018
Project Evaluation Criteria
General Contractor/Construction Manager

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340.
   Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: (Pass if meets 1 of 6)
   1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
   2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or
   3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or
   4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
   5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
   6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project.
C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
   1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member
Reason for Determination:

FAIL

Observations/Concerns:

BLF: not attomey, ESD 112, Project, RISK conty?
CM/CM: not GC/CM, DIFF.

Signature
Revised 3/22/2018
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project

Date: 7/26/18
Public Agency: KALAMA SCHOOL DISTRICT #402
Project: NEW SECONDARY & ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS
PRC Member: ROY C. PEPpler

Project Evaluation Criteria
General Contractor/Construction Manager

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340.
   Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met:  (Pass if meets 1 of 6)
   1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
   2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or
   3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or
   4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
   5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
   6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project.
C. Public Body has necessary experience or team:  (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
   1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member
Reason for Determination:

See paragraph C above: Fail

Observations/Concerns:

THREE DIFFERENT JOB CHARTS: PRESENTATION, SUBMITTAL, QUALIFICATION QUESTIONS
VERY UNCERTAIN THE PROJECT UNDERSTANDS THE GC/CM STATUS; QUALIFICATIONS IN MEETING & WRITTEN SEEM TO NOT BE ALIGNED

Signature: [Signature]
Revised 3/22/2018
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project

Date: [July 26, 2018]
Public Agency: [Kalama School District]
Project: [New Secondary Schools, Elem Sch Rep.]
PRC Member: [Ito Apirifi]

Project Evaluation Criteria
General Contractor/Construction Manager

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340.
   Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: (Pass if meets 1 of 6)
   1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
   2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or
   3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or
   4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
   5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
   6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project.
C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: (must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)
   1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member
Reason for Determination:
[No risk contingency identified.]

Observations/Concerns:
[Only one member of the team and a school board member showed up for this presentation - this made it a challenge to ask questions to various team members to determine certification.]

Signature
Revised 3/22/2018
Capital Projects Advisory Review Board (CPARB)
Project Review Committee (PRC)
Application Evaluation Sheet
Public Agency Project

Date: 7/26/18
Public Agency: KALAMA SD
Project: NEW SECONDARY & ELEM REPLACEMENT
PRC Member:

Project Evaluation Criteria
General Contractor/Construction Manager

Determine that the Agency's proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.

B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340. Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least one of the following is met: *(Pass if meets 1 of 6)*

1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate during construction; or
3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the project; or
4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the project as a heavy civil construction project.

C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: *(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)*

1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget

D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member
Reason for Determination:

________________________________________________________________________

Observations/Concerns:

________________________________________________________________________

Signature
Revised 3/22/2018
Project Evaluation Criteria
General Contractor/Construction Manager

Determine that the Agency’s proposed use of GC/CM on the project meets the requirements for alternative contracting procedures:

A. Provides substantial fiscal benefit or traditional delivery method is not practical.
B. Project meets qualifying criteria under RCW 39.10.340.
   Public bodies may utilize the GC/CM procedure for public works projects where at least
   one of the following is met: *(Pass if meets 1 of 6)*
   1. Implementation of the project involves complex scheduling, phasing, or coordination, or
   2. The project involves construction at an occupied facility which must continue to operate
      during construction; or
   3. The involvement of the GC/CM during the design stage is critical to the success of the
      project; or
   4. The project encompasses a complex or technical work environment; or
   5. The project requires specialized work on a building that has historic significance; or
   6. The project is, and the public body elects to procure the project as, a heavy civil
      construction project. However, no provision of this chapter pertaining to a heavy civil
      construction project applies unless the public body expressly elects to procure the
      project as a heavy civil construction project.
C. Public Body has necessary experience or team: *(must meet all 6 to pass; 1 fail fails all)*
   1. Project delivery knowledge and experience
   2. Sufficient contract administration personnel with construction experience
   3. Written management plan with clear & logical lines of authority
   4. Necessary & appropriate funding and time to carry out the project
   5. Continuity of project management team with project type & scope experience
   6. Necessary and appropriate construction budget
D. Public Body has resolved any audit findings relative to previous projects.

Overall Evaluation by Committee/Panel Member
Reason for Determination:

*Very complex project on site occupied by two schools but team and management plan needs to be enhanced*

Observations/Concerns:

Signature

Revised 3/22/2018