



Campus Parking Public Hearing Campus Parking Public Hearing, 01/05/2021

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR DEPARTMENT OF
3	ENTERPRISE SERVICES: MR. JACK ZEIGLER MS. MARIJANE KIRK
4	MS. COURTNEY KLECK MS. ANN LARSON DEPARTMENT OF ENTERPRISE SERVICES
5	1500 Jefferson Street Olympia, WA 98504
6	Отушрта, WA 90504
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that on Tuesday, January 5, 2020, 2 at 4:00 p.m., via Zoom videoconference, before REBECCA S. 3 LINDAUER, Certified Court Reporter, in and for the State of 4 Washington, the following proceedings were had, to wit: 5 6 MR. ZEIGLER: Good afternoon. My name is Jack 7 Zeigler, and I am the policy and rules manager for the Department of Enterprise Services. I have been delegated 8 9 authority by the director of Enterprise Services to act on 10 his behalf as presiding officer at this hearing. I call this hearing to order at 4:00 p.m. on January 5, 11 12 2021. DES is holding this public hearing pursuant to the 13 Administrative Procedures Act, Chapter 34.05 RCW; the Open 14 Public Meetings Act, and Chapter 42.30 RCW; and DES's 15 enabling statute, Chapter 43.19 RCW. 16 Enterprise Services, in collaboration with stakeholders 17 and customers, intends to update and clarify rules governing 18 parking on the state capitol campus. Enterprise Services is 19 addressing issues with overnight and extended parking on 20 Deschutes Parkway that are raising concerns about public 21 health, safety, and the environment. Because of these 22 circumstances, changes are necessary to the current parking 23 and traffic control regulations under Title 200 WAC. 24 The purpose of this hearing is to take public comment 25 on proposed changes to Chapter 200-200 WAC, parking and

traffic control on the capitol grounds. The department has 1 2 concluded a robust rulemaking process towards finding a 3 solution that is sustainable, defensible, and fair. 4 department actively sought and included participation from 5 anyone interested. 6 The preproposal Statement of Inquiry, CR-101, was filed 7 on November 6, 2019, as WSR 19-24-04 -- I'm sorry -- 094 and published in Issue 19-22 of the Washington State Register on 8 9 November 19 -- November 20, 2019. 10 DES filed a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the CR-102, 11 on December 2, 2020, as WSR -- excuse me -- as WSR 12 20-24-132. The Office of the Code Reviser published the 13 notice in Issue 20-24 of the Washington State Register on 14 December 16, 2020. 15 The hearing will consist of two parts. First, we'll 16 provide a brief summary of the proposed rules. Second, we 17 will receive oral testimony from anyone wanting to testify. If you want to testify, please use the O&A button at 18 19 the bottom of your screen to indicate you want to testify. 20 Please provide your name and if you are testifying on behalf 21 organization provide the name of the organization as well. 22 Please note that all public attendees are muted. 23 call on individuals to testify in the order in which they 24 have submitted their name and unmute the individual 25 testifying.

1	A court reporter is recording this hearing, and the
2	transcript will become part of the official rulemaking file.
3	The official rulemaking file will be made available on our
4	rulemaking website shortly after we adopt the rules. This
5	hearing provides an opportunity to receive your comments.
6	It is not intended as an interactive forum or as a question
7	and answer session.
8	I would like now to introduce those individuals who are
9	sitting with me today on this hearing panel. First, we have
10	MariJane Kirk, assistant director of the business resources
11	division.
12	MS. KIRK: Good afternoon. This is MariJane Kirk.
13	MR. ZEIGLER: We also have with us Courtney Kleck,
14	fleet operations and parking services manager also of the
15	business resources division.
16	MS. KLECK: Hi, everybody. So I'm going to show
17	my video right now, but I'm actually going take my video off
18	in a moment. I'm going to be behind the scenes doing a lot
19	of the administrative activities and functions.
20	MR. ZEIGLER: Providing court reporter services,
21	Ms. Becky Lindauer from Cattell and Associates.
22	THE COURT REPORTER: Hi.
23	MR. ZEIGLER: And also with us today is Ann
24	Larson, director of government relations for the Department
25	of Enterprise Services.

1 MS. LARSON: Hi. Thank you for joining us. 2 MR. ZEIGLER: At this time, MariJane Kirk will 3 summarize the proposed rule. 4 MS. KIRK: Thank you, Jack. The Department of Enterprise Services, DES, began 5 6 addressing issues with overnight and extended parking on 7 Deschutes Parkway affecting public health, safety, and the environment in the fall of 2019. The agency filed a formal 8 9 notice in November 2019 to conduct rulemaking to adopt 10 enforceable restrictions related to parking on the capitol 11 campus. 12 Rulemaking was paused in March 2020 when resources were diverted to support the state's response to the COVID 13 14 pandemic. Rulemaking restarted in September 2020. DES received a great deal of information and feedback 15 16 through discussions with neighboring jurisdictions, law 17 enforcement, community groups, campus neighbors, organizers of campus events, the general public, and campus parkers. 18 19 We processed this information through our decision-making framework, which included, number one, a rule that aligns 20 21 with DES's responsibilities regarding stewardship of the 22 capitol campus in alignment with the campus purpose and use 23 as defined in RCW, WAC, and the campus master plan. 24 Second, a rule that allows for flexibility when setting 25 time-limited and permitted parking times that support the

1 campus purpose and use and fall within DES statutory 2 authority. 3 And, third, consideration of parking regulation 4 enforcement by Washington State Patrol in the context of an 5 implementation plan coordinated with regional partners. 6 DES also engaged a community sounding board to gain 7 detailed feedback on an initial draft rule. Interest categories on the sounding board were regional coordination, 8 9 issues affecting unhoused individuals, safety and security, 10 public health, campus business use, campus events, 11 recreational use, historic preservation, and campus 12 neighbors. 13 DES is in the final phase of this rulemaking effort, 14 seeking public comment on the proposed rule. The proposed rule makes changes to four areas of our current rule. 15 16 First, clarifies the authority of the DES director to 17 establish enforceable parking restrictions on the capitol campus and where that authority applies, including that it 18 19 applies to Deschutes Parkway. 20 Second, clarifies that DES must take measures that make 21 it clear where parking restrictions exist on the capitol 22 campus to include signage, paint marks, barricades, 23 et cetera. 24 Third, better defines permitted parking and types of 25 permitted parking included -- including for campus events.

1 And, fourth, clarifies that the rules for permitted 2 parking space apply to all parkers, not just state 3 employees, by moving language from Section 200-200-200 in 4 the rule to Section 200-200-193. MR. ZEIGLER: I will now open up this hearing to 5 6 public testimony. The first person to testify is Carrie 7 Graf. Please state your name and organization for the record, Carrie. 8 9 MS. GRAF: Yes, hello. My name is Carrie Graf, 10 and I'm an attorney with the Northwest Justice Project. 11 MR. ZEIGLER: Okay. 12 MS. GRAF: Great. Thank you so much. Go ahead. 13 MR. ZEIGLER: Go ahead. 14 MS. GRAF: So as I just stated, I'm an attorney with the Northwest Justice Project, which is a statewide 15 16 legal aid organization. One of the issues that we focus on 17 is the rights of homeless and vehicle-sheltered individuals, and I'm based in our Olympia office. I will submit a more 18 19 thorough version of my comments made today in writing later 20 this week along with Columbia Legal Services and Just 21 Housing Olympia. 22 As a big picture, my organization, the Northwest 23 Justice Project, shares concerns of Columbia Legal Services 24 and Just Housing that DES is engaging in this rulemaking 25 process primarily or solely to remove people living in RVs

and other vehicles along Deschutes Parkway. The result of the rules that currently stands has been simply to shuffle these individuals to Olympia and other Thurston County jurisdictions.

Along with Columbia Legal Services and Just Housing Olympia, Northwest Justice Project is asking DES to pause its rulemaking and instead engage with Thurston County and the City of Olympia in regional efforts to create a safe parking lot for vehicle-sheltered individuals. Thurston County recently designated funds towards creation of such a lot, and Olympia has designated a parcel of land. We believe it would make more sense for DES to first direct resources towards this regional creation of a safe lot and then, once there is a place for people living in vehicles to go, work on creating parking rules for Deschutes Parkway.

Pushing ahead with this rule as it currently stands only increases the burden on Olympia and other neighboring jurisdictions. And my organization, Northwest Justice Project, has two specific comments to make today in addition to those given by Columbia Legal Services and Just Housing.

First, there are a series of recent and pending legal cases that call into the question the legality of rules like that proposed by DES that would essentially punish people for being homeless. I would like to note that my organization, Northwest Justice Project, is currently suing

the City of Lacey over the constitutionality of their 2019 1 2 ordinance that, like DES's proposed rule, resulted in 3 expulsion of people living in RVs. That case is currently 4 being considered by the U.S. District Court of Western 5 Washington in Tacoma, and I will note that a number of 6 people forced out of Lacey due to this ordinance ended up 7 along Deschutes Parkway, highlighting both the 8 ineffectiveness of these types of laws and the need for a 9 regional solution. 10 Second, Northwest Justice Project is concerned about 11 the impact of this rule on disabled individuals. One of the 12 most common reasons that people lose housing is because of 13 serious disability, and a high proportion of individuals 14 living in vehicles are disabled. Several months ago the City of Olympia considered sweeping people living in 15 16 vehicles along Ensign Road, some of whom had moved there 17 from Deschutes Parkway. Many of them lived with ongoing serious disabilities and were likely to become sick or even 18 19 die if forced to leave. They invoked the Americans with 20 Disabilities Act and the Washington Law Against 21 Discrimination to request more time to stay and make 22 alternative plans. They were granted this modification 23 request but only with extensive advocacy and support. 24 The situation of the Ensign Road residents highlights 25 two things: one, that government entities, like the City of

Olympia and DES, likely have obligations under the ADA and 1 2 Washington Law Against Discrimination to modify parking 3 rules that impact disabled people who live in their 4 vehicles. And, second, that situation highlighted the government 5 6 entities seeking to regulate parking should create and make 7 known an easy process for requesting modifications to those parking regulations. DES's proposed rule regulating parking 8 9 on Deschutes Parkway does not address the impact it is 10 likely to have on disabled people who live in vehicles nor 11 does it address the rights of disabled individuals. 12 light of this, DES should pause its rulemaking efforts to 13 minimize serious harm to some of the most vulnerable members 14 of our community. 15 Alternatively, if DES does go forward with implementing 16 the proposed rule, the rule should include a simple process 17 by which disabled vehicle-sheltered individuals can request modifications under the rule under the ADA and Washington 18 19 Law Against Discrimination. 20 Thank you so much for your time today and consideration 21 of my comments. And as mentioned, you will receive those 22 comments in written form by the end of the week. MR. ZEIGLER: Thank you, Ms. Graf. I appreciate 23 24 that. 25 MS. GRAF: Thanks.

1 Okay. Next signed up to testify is MR. ZEIGLER: 2 Tyler Gundel with Just Housing Olympia. 3 So, Tyler, go ahead and state your name and the 4 organization you represent and testify. MS. GUNDEL: Hi. My name is Ty Gundel, and I am 5 6 providing testimony on behalf of Just Housing Olympia. 7 MR. ZEIGLER: Thank you. So Just Housing Olympia is a Thurston 8 MS. GUNDEL: 9 County-based organization with both housed and unhoused 10 members that provide safety, health, and advocacy support to 11 people living in local encampments. In recent years we have 12 worked especially close with people living in their 13 vehicles, including those who used to live along Deschutes 14 Parkway. We are also currently providing intensive support for those living along Ensign Road in Olympia, and this 15 16 includes working with local partners and other neighboring 17 jurisdictions to participate in the creation of safe parking 18 options in Thurston County. 19 We, like Carrie mentioned, believe that the rule 20 changes proposed by the Department of Enterprise Services 21 will only serve to cause harm to individuals living in their 22 vehicles and will also exacerbate the ongoing housing crisis 23 in Thurston County. Because of this, our ask is also that 24 DES cease efforts to implement these proposed rule changes 25 and instead redirect resources towards supporting regional

and ongoing efforts to create legal and safe parking options for people living in their vehicles.

It is clear to us that DES -- that the DES proposed rule changes are being proposed in direct response to our region's growing homeless crisis and specifically the increased number of people we saw living in their vehicles along Deschutes Parkway last year. In recent years Thurston County has witnessed a huge increase in the number of people living without shelter or housing in our community, including the number of people living in their vehicles.

Thurston County's 2020 point in time count showed a rise in the number of individuals sleeping in their vehicles and RVs in 2019. Families and individuals living in their vehicles are struggling to survive. For many individuals experiencing homelessness in Olympia, their vehicle is their home, and it is their only form of shelter and their last refuge before resorting to sleeping unsheltered in a tent in the woods or on the street. And, in our experience, demographically a significant number of those living in their vehicles in our community are elderly and chronically disabled.

In addition to the rapid increases in the number of people living in their vehicles in Thurston County, we have simultaneously witnessed the ineffective and unjust shuffling around of people who are just trying to survive.

Just in the last year and a half, we have witnessed this vulnerable group of community members being pushed around from place to place and from jurisdiction to jurisdiction while still no safe and legal option has been created for people to relocate to.

We have always been opposed to this, what we call a Whac-A-Mole approach, the displacement and punishment as a response to homelessness, and we see these proposed rule changes as an extension of this harmful and ineffective response to homelessness.

We also see that DES holds some responsibility for the shuffling around of these vulnerable community members. Less than six months ago over 30 people living in their vehicles that were parked along Deschutes Parkway were displaced in August of 2020. And what our community saw as a result of that was an instantaneous and drastic increase in the number of people living along Ensign Road in Olympia. As Carrie mentioned, that resulted in City of Olympia trying to remove folks, but that was halted by an affidavit of the Attorney General's Office that warned that it could violate the eviction moratorium.

However, since the stay of removal, the City of Olympia and Thurston County have doubled down on their efforts to try and create safe parking options for our community. The City of Lacey and Tumwater are also at the table. DES

```
1
     continues not to be at the table. And so these efforts, in
 2
     our view, represent significant progress for our community's
 3
     regional response to homelessness, and we're very hopeful
 4
     that it will result in safe parking options for folks in the
 5
     future, but we do see DES's efforts to implement the
 6
    proposed rule changes currently under consideration as
 7
     something that directly conflicts with these coordinated
     efforts and which also threatens to increase the burden
 8
 9
     that's currently being carried by --
10
               THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. You're breaking
11
     up.
12
               MS. GUNDEL:
                            Sorry.
13
               THE COURT REPORTER: You said "carried by," and
14
     then you broke up.
15
               MS. GUNDEL: Just carried by these -- carried out
16
    by these other local jurisdictions. So, again, as Carrie
17
     alluded to, when we see these types of rules put in place,
18
    more often than not we just see people move to other
19
     locations. The root issues are not addressed. So we see
20
     that this will likely just increase the burden of other
21
     local jurisdictions like Thurston County, City of Lacey,
22
     City of Olympia as they continue to struggle --
23
               THE COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. They -- excuse
24
          "They continue to struggle," then you faded off.
25
               MS. GUNDEL:
                            Yeah.
                                   Struggle to respond to our
```

1 regional housing and homelessness crisis. 2 THE COURT REPORTER: Thank you. 3 MS. GUNDEL: Yes, of course. Thank you. 4 So, again, just in closing, we again urge DES to go 5 back to the drawing board essentially and redirect resources 6 towards trying to implement solutions that are more likely 7 to effectively address these issues. Thank you for your 8 time. 9 MR. ZEIGLER: Thank you, Ms. Gundel. 10 Next up to testify is Sarah -- I apologize if I 11 mispronounce your last name -- Nagy with Columbia Legal Services. Go ahead. 12 13 MS. NAGY: Thank you. My name is Sarah Nagy. 14 a staff attorney with Columbia Legal Services. We're a statewide nonprofit organization that advocates for laws 15 16 that advance social, economic, and racial equity for people 17 living in poverty. I work here locally in our Olympia 18 office, and I thank you very much for this opportunity to 19 offer comment to the proposed rules. 20 Our organization has represented and advocated on 21 behalf of houseless people and vehicle residents, and as the 22 proposed changes will involve restricting overnight and 23 extended parking on Deschutes Parkway, we, like the Northwest Justice Project and Just Housing Olympia, are 24 25 concerned that they pose a significant risk of harm to

people who live in their vehicles. In particular, we're concerned that the threat of no notice impound that the proposed rules create raises significant legal and constitutional questions.

Recently in the case of City of Seattle v. Steven Long, the Court of Appeals for Division I held that the impound process for vehicles -- that is where someone's vehicular home is held under threat of forced sale until they pay or agree to pay their impound debts -- violates Washington State's Homestead Act. The case also involves issues such as whether a vehicle home can be impounded when there are other alternatives to impoundment and whether excessive fines and fees can be imposed on people in deep poverty. This case is currently pending before the Washington Supreme Court, and a decision in this case can potentially impact parking restrictions and impound laws on a statewide basis. It's been recommended that jurisdictions review their impound and towing procedures in light of this ruling.

In light of these pending changes and increased protection for vehicle residents, we urge DES to seek solutions other than impoundment for vehicle residents who have no legal parking option in Thurston County. We're asking that DES identify alternative legal places for people to park before enacting stricter enforcement of parking restrictions which will only increase the physical and

financial burden on houseless vehicle residents. 1 2 Thank you very much for your time. I will also submit 3 written comment later this week. Thank you. 4 MR. ZEIGLER: Thank you very much. 5 No one else has signed up to testify at this time. 6 Does anyone else wish to testify? If so, please indicate 7 now using the Q&A feature. So no one else has signed up; so at this time we'll go 8 off the record. We will go back on the record if someone 9 arrives and wishes to testify before the conclusion of this 10 11 hearing at 6:00. Thank you. 12 (Recessed at 4:22 p.m.) 13 (Reconvened at 5:55 p.m.) 14 MR. ZEIGLER: It is now 5:55. No one else has 15 shown up to testify. I would like to thank you for coming. 16 If you wish to submit written comments, we are accepting 17 comments through our online rules proposal comment tool. In 18 addition, we're accepting input on the rule implementation 19 framework under development. Links to the online comments 20 and to the rule implementation framework survey are 21 available on our website at des.wa.gov/about/policies-laws-22 rules/rulemaking. DES accepts comments and input through 23 close of business Friday, January the 8th, 2021. 24 public hearing is concluded at 5:56. 25 (Concluded at 5:56 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, REBECCA S. LINDAUER, a Certified Court Reporter in and
3	for the State of Washington, residing at Lacey, do hereby
4	certify:
5	That the foregoing public hearing discussion was taken
6	before me and completed on the 5th day of January 2021, and
7	thereafter transcribed by me by means of computer-aided
8	transcription; that the public hearing is a full, true, and
9	complete transcript of the proceedings;
10	That I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of
11	any party to this action or relative or employee of any such
12	attorney or counsel, and I am not financially interested in the
13	said action or the outcome thereof;
14	That I am herewith securely sealing the public hearing
15	discussion and promptly mailing the same to MR. JACK ZEIGLER.
16	IN WITNESS HEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 14th day
17	of January 2021.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Rebecca S. Lindauer, CSR#2402 Certified Court Reporter, in and for the
25	State of Washington, residing at Lacey.

	5:55 18:13,14	aid 8:16	breaking 15:10
	5:56 18:24,25	alignment 6:22	broke 15:14
094 4:7		aligns 6:20	burden 9:17 15:8,20
	6	alluded 15:17	18:1
1	6 4:7	alternative 10:22 17:23	business 5:10,15 7:10 18:23
16 4:14	6:00 18:11	Alternatively 11:15	button 4:18
19 4:9 19-22 4:8	8	alternatives 17:12	С
19-24-04 4:7	8th 18:23	Americans 10:19 Ann 5:23	call 3:11 4:23 9:22
2	Α	apologize 16:10	campus 3:18 6:11,17,
2 4:11	accepting 18:16,18	Appeals 17:6 applies 7:18,19	18,22,23 7:1,10,11,18, 22,25
20 4:9	accepts 18:22	apply 8:2	capitol 3:18 4:1 6:10, 22 7:17,21
20-24 4:13 20-24-132 4:12	act 3:9,13,14 10:20 17:10	approach 14:7 areas 7:15	Carrie 8:6,8,9 12:19 14:18 15:16
200 3:23	actively 4:4	arrives 18:10	carried 15:9,13,15
200-200 3:25	activities 5:19 ADA 11:1,18	assistant 5:10	case 10:3 17:5,10,14,
200-200-193 8:4	addition 9:19 13:22	Associates 5:21	15
200-200-200 8:3	18:18	attendees 4:22	cases 9:22
2019 4:7,9 6:8,9 10:1 13:13	address 11:9,11 16:7	attorney 8:10,14 14:20 16:14	categories 7:8 Cattell 5:21
2020 3:1 4:11,14 6:12,	addressed 15:19	August 14:15	cease 12:24
14 13:11 14:15 2021 3:12 18:23	addressing 3:19 6:6 administrative 3:13	authority 3:9 7:2,16,	Certified 3:3
	5:19	18	cetera 7:23
3	adopt 5:4 6:9	В	Chapter 3:13,14,15, 25
30 14:13	advance 16:16	back 16:5 18:9	chronically 13:20
34.05 3:13	advocacy 10:23 12:10	barricades 7:22	circumstances 3:22
4	advocated 16:20	based 8:18	City 9:8 10:1,15,25
	advocates 16:15	basis 17:16	14:18,22,25 15:21,22 17:5
42.30 3:14	affecting 6:7 7:9	Becky 5:21	clarifies 7:16,20 8:1
43.19 3:15	affidavit 14:19	began 6:5	clarify 3:17
4:00 3:2,11	afternoon 3:6 5:12	behalf 3:10 4:20 12:6 16:21	clear 7:21 13:3
4:22 18:12	agency 6:8		close 12:12 18:23
5	agree 17:9	big 8:22	closing 16:4
	ahead 8:12,13 9:16 12:3 16:12	board 7:6,8 16:5 bottom 4:19	Code 4:12
5 3:1,11			

Index: 094..Code

collaboration 3:16 employees 8:3 10:4 15:10,13,23 16:2 **Deschutes** 3:20 6:7 17:6.15 7:19 9:1.15 10:7.17 enabling 3:15 **Columbia** 8:20.23 11:9 12:13 13:7 14:14 9:5,20 16:11,14 Courtney 5:13 16:23 enacting 17:24 **comment** 3:24 7:14 **COVID** 6:13 designated 9:10,11 encampments 12:11 16:19 18:3,17 **CR-101** 4:6 detailed 7:7 end 11:22 comments 5:5 8:19 CR-102 4:10 development 18:19 9:19 11:21,22 18:16, **ended** 10:6 17,19,22 create 9:8 11:6 13:1 die 10:19 enforceable 6:10 14:24 17:3 **common** 10:12 7:17 direct 9:12 13:4 created 14:4 **community** 6:17 7:6 enforcement 6:17 7:4 directly 15:7 11:14 13:9,20 14:2,12, creating 9:15 17:24 15.24 director 3:9 5:10,24 **creation** 9:10,13 engage 9:7 7:16 community's 15:2 12:17 engaged 7:6 disabilities 10:18,20 concerned 10:10 crisis 12:22 13:5 16:1 engaging 8:24 16:25 17:2 disability 10:13 **current** 3:22 7:15 **Ensign** 10:16,24 concerns 3:20 8:23 disabled 10:11,14 customers 3:17 12:15 14:17 11:3,10,11,17 13:21 concluded 4:2 18:24. **Enterprise** 3:8,9,16, 25 **Discrimination** 10:21 D 18 5:25 6:5 12:20 11:2,19 conclusion 18:10 entities 10:25 11:6 discussions 6:16 deal 6:15 conduct 6:9 environment 3:21 6:8 displaced 14:15 **debts** 17:9 conflicts 15:7 **equity** 16:16 displacement 14:7 **December** 4:11.14 consideration 7:3 **essentially** 9:23 16:5 11:20 15:6 District 10:4 decision 17:15 establish 7:17 considered 10:4.15 diverted 6:13 decision-making events 6:18 7:10,25 6:19 consist 4:15 division 5:11.15 17:6 eviction 14:21 deep 17:13 constitutional 17:4 doubled 14:23 exacerbate 12:22 defensible 4:3 constitutionality draft 7:7 10:1 excessive 17:12 defined 6:23 drastic 14:16 context 7:4 **excuse** 4:11 15:10,23 defines 7:24 drawing 16:5 continue 15:22,24 **exist** 7:21 delegated 3:8 due 10:6 continues 15:1 experience 13:18 demographically 13:19 control 3:23 4:1 Ε experiencing 13:15 **department** 3:8 4:1,4 coordinated 7:5 15:7 expulsion 10:3 5:24 6:5 12:20 easy 11:7 coordination 7:8 **extended** 3:19 6:6 **DES** 3:12 4:10 6:5.15 economic 16:16 16:23 **count** 13:11 7:1,6,13,16,20 8:24 effectively 16:7 extension 14:9 9:6,12,23 11:1,12,15 **County** 9:3,7,10 12:24 13:3 14:11,25 effort 7:13 12:18,23 13:8,23 extensive 10:23 16:4 17:20,23 18:22 14:23 15:21 17:22 efforts 9:8 11:12 **DES's** 3:14 6:21 10:2 12:24 13:1 14:23 15:1, County's 13:11 F 11:8 15:5 5,8 County-based 12:9 des.wa.gov/about/ elderly 13:20 faded 15:24 **court** 3:3 5:1,20,22 policies-laws- 18:21

Index: collaboration..faded

Graf 8:7,9,12,14

	Indov: foir low		
in	Index: fairlaw stantaneous 14:16		
	tended 5:6		
	tends 3:17		
	tenus 3.17		
	teractive 5:6		
	teractive 5.6		
	terested 4:5		
	troduce 5:8		
	voked 10:19		
	volve 16:22		
_	volves 17:10		
	sue 4:8,13		
	sues 3:19 6:6 7:9 3:16 15:19 16:7 17:10		
_			
_			
_	ick 3:6 6:4		
Ja	inuary 3:1,11 18:23		
jo	ining 6:1		
ju	risdiction 14:3		
9	risdictions 6:16 9:4,18 12:17 15:16,21 7:17		
	ıstice 8:10,15,23		
S	9:6,18,25 10:10 16:24		
	K		
Ki	rk 5:10,12 6:2,4		
	eck 5:13,16		
L			
	acey 10:1,6 14:25 5:21		
la	nd 9:11		
	nguago 9:2		
ıa	nguage 8:3		

law 6:16 10:20 11:2,19

laws 10:8 16:15 17:16

fair 4:3 fall 6:8 7:1 Families 13:13 feature 18:7 feedback 6:15 7:7 fees 17:13 file 5:2,3 filed 4:6,10 6:8 **final** 7:13 financial 18:1 finding 4:2 **fines** 17:13 **fleet** 5:14 flexibility 6:24 focus 8:16 folks 14:19 15:4 forced 10:6,19 17:8 form 11:22 13:16 formal 6:8 forum 5:6 forward 11:15 fourth 8:1 framework 6:20 18:19,20 Friday 18:23 functions 5:19 **funds** 9:10 **future** 15:5

G
gain 7:6
general 6:18
General's 14:20
Good 3:6 5:12
governing 3:17
government 5:24
10:25 11:5

11:23.25 granted 10:22 **great** 6:15 8:12 grounds 4:1 **group** 14:2 groups 6:17 growing 13:5 **Gundel** 12:2,5,8 15:12,15,25 16:3,9 Н half 14:1 **halted** 14:19 harm 11:13 12:21 16:25 harmful 14:9 health 3:21 6:7 7:10 12:10 hearing 3:10,11,12,24 4:15 5:1,5,9 8:5 18:11, 24 held 17:6,8 **high** 10:13 highlighted 11:5 highlighting 10:7 highlights 10:24 historic 7:11 holding 3:12 holds 14:11 home 13:16 17:8,11 homeless 8:17 9:24 13:5 homelessness 13:15 14:8,10 15:3 16:1 Homestead 17:10 hopeful 15:3 housed 12:9 houseless 16:21 18:1

20 10:12 12:2,6,8,22 13:9 16:1,24 huge 13:8 ı identify 17:23 **impact** 10:11 11:3,9 17:15 implement 12:24 15:5 16:6 implementation 7:5 18:18.20 implementing 11:15 **imposed** 17:13 impound 17:2,6,9,16, 18 impounded 17:11 impoundment 17:12, 21 include 7:22 11:16 included 4:4 6:20 7:25 includes 12:16 **including** 7:18,25 12:13 13:10 increase 13:8 14:16 15:8,20 17:25 increased 13:6 17:19 increases 9:17 13:22 individual 4:24 individuals 4:23 5:8 7:9 8:17 9:3,9 10:11, 13 11:11,17 12:21 13:12,13,14 ineffective 13:24 14:9 ineffectiveness 10:8 information 6:15,19 initial 7:7 **input** 18:18,22 Inquiry 4:6

housing 8:21,24 9:5,

Index: leave..process

leave 10:19

legal 8:16,20,23 9:5, 20,21 13:1 14:4 16:11, 14 17:3,22,23

legality 9:22

light 11:12 17:18,19

Lindauer 3:3 5:21

Links 18:19

live 11:3,10 12:13 17:1

lived 10:17

living 8:25 9:14 10:3, 14,15 12:11,12,15,21 13:2,6,9,10,13,19,23 14:13,17 16:17

local 12:11,16 15:16, 21

locally 16:17

locations 15:19

Long 17:5

lose 10:12

lot 5:18 9:9.11.13

M

made 5:3 8:19

make 7:20 9:12,19 10:21 11:6

makes 7:15

manager 3:7 5:14

March 6:12

Marijane 5:10,12 6:2

marks 7:22

master 6:23

measures 7:20

Meetings 3:14

members 11:13 12:10

14:2,12

mentioned 11:21 12:19 14:18

minimize 11:13

mispronounce 16:11

modification 10:22 modifications 11:7.

modify 11:2

18

moment 5:18

months 10:14 14:13

moratorium 14:21

move 15:18

moved 10:16

moving 8:3

muted 4:22

Ν

Nagy 16:11,13

neighboring 6:16 9:17 12:16

neighbors 6:17 7:12

nonprofit 16:15

Northwest 8:10,15,22 9:6,18,25 10:10 16:24

note 4:22 9:24 10:5

notice 4:10,13 6:9 17:2

November 4:7.9 6:9

number 6:20 10:5 13:6,8,10,12,19,22 14:17

0

obligations 11:1

offer 16:19

office 4:12 8:18 14:20 16:18

officer 3:10

official 5:2,3

Olympia 8:18,21 9:3, 6,8,11,17 10:15 11:1 12:2,6,8,15 13:15 14:17,18,22 15:22 16:17,24

ongoing 10:17 12:22 13:1

online 18:17,19

open 3:13 8:5

operations 5:14

opportunity 5:5 16:18

opposed 14:6

option 14:4 17:22

options 12:18 13:1 14:24 15:4

oral 4:17

order 3:11 4:23

ordinance 10:2,6

organization 4:21 8:7,16,22 9:18,25 12:4.9 16:15.20

organizers 6:17

overnight 3:19 6:6 16:22

Р

p.m. 3:2,11 18:12,13, 25

paint 7:22

pandemic 6:14

panel 5:9

parcel 9:11

park 17:24

parked 14:14

parkers 6:18 8:2

parking 3:18,19,22,25 5:14 6:6,10,25 7:3,17, 21,24,25 8:2 9:9,15 11:2,6,8 12:17 13:1 14:24 15:4 16:23 17:16,22,24

Parkway 3:20 6:7 7:19 9:1,15 10:7,17 11:9 12:14 13:7 14:14 16:23

part 5:2

participate 12:17 participation 4:4

partners 7:5 12:16

parts 4:15

Patrol 7:4

pause 9:6 11:12

paused 6:12

pay 17:8,9

pending 9:21 17:14, 19

people 8:25 9:14,23 10:3,6,12,15 11:3,10 12:11,12 13:2,6,8,10, 23,25 14:5,13,17 15:18 16:16,21 17:1, 13.23

permitted 6:25 7:24, 25 8:1

person 8:6

phase 7:13

physical 17:25

picture 8:22

place 9:14 14:3 15:17

places 17:23

plan 6:23 7:5

plans 10:22

point 13:11

policy 3:7

pose 16:25

potentially 17:15

poverty 16:17 17:13

preproposal 4:6

preservation 7:11

presiding 3:10

primarily 8:25

procedures 3:13 17:18

proceedings 3:4

process 4:2 8:25 11:7,16 17:7

reasons 10:12 processed 6:19 **resources** 5:10.15 **safety** 3:21 6:7 7:9 6:12 9:13 12:25 16:5 12:10 REBECCA 3:2 progress 15:2 **sale** 17:8 respond 15:25 **Project** 8:10,15,23 receive 4:17 5:5 11:21 9:6,19,25 10:10 16:24 response 6:13 13:4 **Sarah** 16:10,13 received 6:15 14:8,10 15:3 proportion 10:13 scenes 5:18 recent 9:21 12:11 13:7 responsibilities 6:21 screen 4:19 proposal 18:17 recently 9:10 17:5 responsibility 14:11 proposed 3:25 4:10, Seattle 17:5 recessed 18:12 16 6:3 7:14 9:23 10:2 restarted 6:14 Section 8:3.4 11:8,16 12:20,24 13:3, recommended 17:17 restricting 16:22 4 14:8 15:6 16:19,22 security 7:9 reconvened 18:13 17:3 restrictions 6:10 seek 17:20 record 8:8 18:9 7:17,21 17:16,25 protection 17:20 seeking 7:14 11:6 recording 5:1 result 9:1 14:16 15:4 **provide** 4:16,20,21 **sense** 9:12 12:10 resulted 10:2 14:18 recreational 7:11 September 6:14 providing 5:20 12:6, redirect 12:25 16:5 **review** 17:17 series 9:21 **refuge** 13:17 Reviser 4:12 **public** 3:12,14,20,24 serve 12:21 region's 13:5 **rights** 8:17 11:11 4:22 6:7,18 7:10,14 **services** 3:8,9,16,18 8:6 18:24 rise 13:12 regional 7:5,8 9:8,13 5:14,20,25 6:5 8:20,23 10:9 12:25 15:3 16:1 published 4:8,12 risk 16:25 9:5,20 12:20 16:12,14 Register 4:8,13 punish 9:23 **Road** 10:16,24 12:15 session 5:7 regulate 11:6 14:17 punishment 14:7 setting 6:24 robust 4:2 regulating 11:8 purpose 3:24 6:22 7:1 shares 8:23 regulation 7:3 root 15:19 pursuant 3:12 **shelter** 13:9,16 regulations 3:23 11:8 **rule** 6:3,20,24 7:7,14, pushed 14:2 shortly 5:4 15 8:4 9:16 10:2,11 related 6:10 Pushing 9:16 11:8,16,18 12:19,24 **show** 5:16 13:4 14:8 15:6 18:18, relations 5:24 **put** 15:17 **showed** 13:11 20 relocate 14:5 **shown** 18:15 rulemaking 4:2,10 Q **REMEMBERED** 3:1 5:2,3,4 6:9,12,14 7:13 shuffle 9:2 8:24 9:7 11:12 removal 14:22 **shuffling** 13:25 14:12 **Q&a** 4:18 18:7 rules 3:7,17 4:16 5:4 remove 8:25 14:19 sick 10:18 **question** 5:6 9:22 8:1 9:2,15,22 11:3 reporter 3:3 5:1,20,22 15:17 16:19 17:3 signage 7:22 questions 17:4 15:10,13,23 16:2 18:17 signed 12:1 18:5,8 represent 12:4 15:2 rules/rulemaking R **significant** 13:19 15:2 18:22 represented 16:20 16:25 17:3 racial 16:16 **ruling** 17:18 request 10:21,23 **simple** 11:16 11:17 **raises** 17:3 **RVS** 8:25 10:3 13:13 simply 9:2 requesting 11:7 raising 3:20 simultaneously S residents 10:24 16:21 rapid 13:22 13:24 17:20,21 18:1 **RCW** 3:13,14,15 6:23 sitting 5:9 **safe** 9:8,13 12:17 13:1 resorting 13:17 14:4,24 15:4

Index: processed..sitting

situation 10:24 11:5	supporting 12:25		Whac-a-mole 14:7
sleeping 13:12,17	Supreme 17:14	U	wishes 18:10
social 16:16	survey 18:20	U.S. 10:4	wit 3:4
solely 8:25	survive 13:14,25	unhoused 7:9 12:9	witnessed 13:8,24
solution 4:3 10:9	sustainable 4:3	unjust 13:24	14:1
solutions 16:6 17:21	sweeping 10:15	unmute 4:24	woods 13:18
someone's 17:7		unsheltered 13:17	work 9:15 16:17
sought 4:4	T	update 3:17	worked 12:12
sounding 7:6,8	table 14:25 15:1	urge 16:4 17:20	working 12:16
space 8:2	Tacoma 10:5		writing 8:19
specific 9:19	tent 13:17	V	written 11:22 18:3,16
specifically 13:5	testify 4:17,18,19,23	vehicle 13:15 16:21	WSR 4:7,11
staff 16:14	8:6 12:1,4 16:10 18:5,	17:11,20,21 18:1	Υ
stakeholders 3:16	6,10,15	vehicle-sheltered	
stands 9:2,16	testifying 4:20,25 testimony 4:17 8:6	8:17 9:9 11:17	year 13:7 14:1
state 3:3,18 4:8,13 7:4	12:6	vehicles 9:1,14 10:14, 16 11:4,10 12:13,22	years 12:11 13:7
8:2,7 12:3	things 10:25	13:2,6,10,12,14,20,23	
state's 6:13 17:10	threat 17:2,8	14:14 17:1,7	
stated 8:14	threatens 15:8	vehicular 17:7	Zeigler 3:6,7 5:13,20,
Statement 4:6	Thurston 9:3,7,9	version 8:19	23 6:2 8:5,11,13 11:23 12:1,7 16:9 18:4,14
statewide 8:15 16:15 17:16	12:8,18,23 13:7,11,23 14:23 15:21 17:22	video 5:17	Zoom 3:2
statute 3:15	time 6:2 10:21 11:20	videoconference 3:2	
statutory 7:1	13:11 16:8 18:2,5,8	view 15:2	
stay 10:21 14:22	time-limited 6:25	violate 14:20	
Steven 17:5	times 6:25	violates 17:9	
stewardship 6:21	Title 3:23	vulnerable 11:13 14:2,12	
street 13:18	today 5:9,23 8:19 9:19		
stricter 17:24	11:20	W	
struggle 15:22,24,25	tool 18:17	WAC 3:23,25 6:23	
struggling 13:14	towing 17:18	wanting 4:17	
submit 8:18 18:2,16	traffic 3:23 4:1	warned 14:20	
submitted 4:24	transcript 5:2	Washington 3:4 4:8,	
suing 9:25	Tuesday 3:1	13 7:4 10:5,20 11:2,18	
summarize 6:3	Tumwater 14:25	17:9,14	
summary 4:16	Ty 12:5	website 5:4 18:21	
support 6:13,25 10:23	Tyler 12:2,3	week 8:20 11:22 18:3	
12:10,14	types 7:24 10:8 15:17	Western 10:4	

Index: situation..Zoom