

CONSULTANT SELECTION COMBINED CONSENSUS SCORING SHEET

Project description.

On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle Central College and South Seattle College

Project Number:

2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Chair:

This Scoreshee		Public Reco	rd				Jonatha	ın Martin	
ase 1 - SOQ	Date:	7/16/2021				1	Number of Subr	mitting Firms:	17
				Panelist Names	5			TOTAL	1
Firms	Jonathan Martin	Craig Grosinger	Gary Wendleken	Ben Dunkmann	Ariel Birtley	Sam Lunsford	Jon Taylor	PANEL	PHÁSE 1
	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	Rank Order	RANKED	ORDER
1 Rolluda Architects	6	7	11	15	4	6	2	51	6
2 Studio 19 Architects	16	17	16	9	15	13	17	103:	17:
3 Integrus Architecture	10	10	10	8	3	2	14	57	9
WJA Design Collaborative	2	16	9	10	10	17	8	72	13
5 CAST architecture	12	13	13	2	5	9	9	63	
6 SHKS Architects	14	11	5	6	9	5	7		11
7 Studio Meng Strazzara	11	5	8	12	6	3		57:::::	9
8 Knit	15	15	4	16	16		12	57	9
WRNS Studio	17	8	17			12	15	93	15
Architecture for Everyone	13	4		14	13	14	16	99	16
KMB architects	5	9	2	11	17	10	13	7.0	12:::
McGranahan Archictecture	5.		1	5	11	7	11	49:	4
Stemper Architecture Collaborative	OFFICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY	3	12	4	12	4	4	40	:::3::::
Ankrom Moisan	7	12	3	1	14	8	5	50:	:::5::::
	9	14	15	17	8	16	6	85:	14
Osborn Architectts	3	1	6	7	2	15	1	35	2:
BuildingWork	8	6	14	3	7	11	10	59	10:::
Schreiber Starling Whitehead	4	2	7	13	1	1	3	31	1111
								 	
							***************************************		**********
									
ise 2 Interview	Date:	7/29/2021				N	umber of Firms	Interviewed:	6
			RANK ORDE	R OF COMMITTE	E MEMBERS		amber or i iiiis	Interviewed.	[777.777
	Jonathan	A ! .			1	T			
Firms		Craig	Gary	Ben	Ariel Birtley	Sam Lunsford	Jon Taylor	ASSIGNED	FINAL
	Martin	Grosinger	Wendleken	Dunkmann	raid Direcy	Out Lansiola	John Taylor	RANKS	ORDER
	rank order	rank order	rank order	rank order	rank order	rank order	rank order		
Rolluda Architects	4	4	U	Y	1	2			
Stemper Architecture Collaborative	5		2	-	7	1	+	3	
KMB architects	6	6	-	7			7	7.4	7
McGranahan Archictecture	3	3	7	9	<u> </u>	-7-	8	40	<u>د</u>
Osborn Architectts	<u> </u>		4		1	- <u>- </u>	_6_	1.3	A
Schreiber Starling Whitehead	n	-4-	-3			4	<u>ئے</u>	LUN	5
Commender Starting Winterlead	7		3		2	7	/ x	1.64 N./	1.
					10 m 1 m 1 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2		. (4	1	1
							V CA	B VA	1
	Jonathan Martin)					Craigationing		Y
							Old Singer	KY	
							100		
	7	-						VOI	
	Gary Wendleken			1			Ben Sunkmann	1	17.00
	/ - /	0:4		//	160		00	Λ	
and the second s	Fine !	buttle	и.	1911/1	1201		(Mr.	/ .	/
	Ariel Birtley		1	Jon Taylor	70		A MA		i i
,	on may		46/	JUIT L'AYIO	/		Sam Lunsford		
			\ /	1	/				



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET Project description

On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle Central College and South Seattle

Consensus Date

Project Number

7/16/2021

2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

Jonathan Martin

CRITERIA	Qualification Person		General Appro	•	Relevant Ex	kperience			TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
Score	s Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	Raw Score	SCORE	SCORE	ORDER
1 Rolluda Architects	91.0	27.3	90.0	27.0	90.0	36.0			271.0	90.3	6
2 Studio 19 Architects	80.0	24.0	80.0	24.0	70.0	28.0			230.0	76.0	16
3 Integrus Architecture	85.0	25.5	85.0	25.5	85.0	34.0			255.0	85.0	10
4 WJA Design Collaborative	95.0	28.5	95.0	28.5	95.0	38.0			285.0	95.0	2
5 CAST architecture	81.0	24.3	85.0	25.5	80.0	32.0			246.0	81.8	12
6 SHKS Architects	85.0	25.5	85.0	25.5	75.0	30.0			245.0	81.0	14
7 Studio Meng Strazzara	85.0	25.5	81.0	24.3	81.0	32.4			247.0	82.2	11
8 Knit	80.0	24.0	80.0	24.0	80.0	32.0			240.0	80.0	15
9 WRNS Studio	75.0	22.5	75.0	22.5	75.0	30.0			225.0	75.0	17
10 Architecture for Everyone	80.0	24.0	85.0	25.5	80.0	32.0			245.0	81.5	13
11 KMB architects	90.0	27.0	85.0	25.5	95.0	38.0			270.0	90.5	5
12 McGranahan Archictecture	96.0	28.8	96.0	28.8	96.0	38.4			288.0	96.0	1
13 Stemper Architecture Collaborative	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	90.0	36.0			270.0	90.0	7
14 Ankrom Moisan	85.0	25.5	85.0	25.5	90.0	36.0			260.0	87.0	9
15 Osborn Architectts	90.0	27.0	95.0	28.5	90.0	36.0			275.0	91.5	3
16 BuildingWork	88.0	26.4	88.0	26.4	88.0	35.2			264.0	88.0	8
17 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	89.0	26.7	91.0	27.3	92.0	36.8			272.0	90.8	4
18											
19											
20				_							
COMMENTS:											

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

7-16-21



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET Project description

On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, **Seattle Central College and South Seattle**

Consensus Date

Project Number

7/16/2021

2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

Craig Grosinger

CRITERIA	Qualifica Key Per		General Appro	-	Relev Experi					TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
Score	es Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	Raw So	ore	SCORE	SCORE	ONDER
1 Rolluda Architects	100.0	30.0	90.0	27.0	91.0	36.4				281.0	93.4	7
2 Studio 19 Architects	80.0	24.0	85.0	25.5	60.0	24.0				225.0	73.5	17
3 Integrus Architecture	95.0	28.5	90.0	27.0	91.0	36.4				276.0	91.9	10
4 WJA Design Collaborative	80.0	24.0	90.0	27.0	60.0	24.0				230.0	75.0	16
5 CAST architecture	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	90.0	36.0				270.0	90.0	13
6 SHKS Architects	95.0	28.5	90.0	27.0	90.0	36.0				275.0	91.5	11
7 Studio Meng Strazzara	95.0	28.5	95.0	28.5	95.0	38.0				285.0	95.0	5
8 Knit	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	70.0	28.0				250.0	82.0	15
9 WRNS Studio	95.0	28.5	95.0	28.5	90.0	36.0				280.0	93.0	8
10 Architecture for Everyone	95.0	28.5	95.0	28.5	96.0	38.4				286.0	95.4	4
11 KMB architects	95.0	28.5	90.0	27.0	92.0	36.8				277.0	92.3	9
12 McGranahan Archictecture	100.0	30.0	100.0	30.0	95.0	38.0				295.0	98.0	3
13 Stemper Architecture Collaborative	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	91.0	36.4				271.0	90.4	12
14 Ankrom Moisan	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	71.0	28.4				251.0	82.4	14
15 Osborn Architectts	100.0	30.0	100.0	30.0	100.0	40.0				300.0	100.0	1
16 BuildingWork	95.0	28.5	95.0	28.5	92.0	36.8				282.0	93.8	6
17 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	100.0	30.0	99.0	29.7	100.0	40.0				299.0	99.7	2
18												
19												
20												
COMMENTS:								<u> </u>	-	•	• —	

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Craig Grosinger

07/16/2021

Craig Grosinger



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle Central College and South Seattle

Consensus Date

Project Number

7/16/2021

2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

Ariel Birtley

CRITERIA	Qualifica Key Per		General Appr	-	Relev Experi				TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
Scor	es Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	Raw Score	SCORE	SCORE	ORDER
1 Rolluda Architects	90.0	27.0	84.0	25.2	93.0	37.2			267.0	89.4	4
2 Studio 19 Architects	87.0	26.1	86.0	25.8	81.0	32.4			254.0	84.3	15
3 Integrus Architecture	90.0	27.0	88.0	26.4	92.0	36.8			270.0	90.2	3
4 WJA Design Collaborative	90.0	27.0	86.0	25.8	87.0	34.8			263.0	87.6	10
5 CAST architecture	86.0	25.8	91.0	27.3	89.0	35.6			266.0	88.7	5
6 SHKS Architects	90.0	27.0	89.0	26.7	85.0	34.0			264.0	87.7	9
7 Studio Meng Strazzara	90.0	27.0	88.0	26.4	88.0	35.2			266.0	88.6	6
8 Knit	85.0	25.5	86.0	25.8	82.0	32.8			253.0	84.1	16
9 WRNS Studio	82.0	24.6	92.0	27.6	83.0	33.2			257.0	85.4	13
10 Architecture for Everyone	82.0	24.6	86.0	25.8	83.0	33.2			251.0	83.6	17
11 KMB architects	87.0	26.1	83.0	24.9	90.0	36.0			260.0	87.0	11
12 McGranahan Archictecture	85.0	25.5	82.0	24.6	92.0	36.8			259.0	86.9	12
13 Stemper Architecture Collaborative	90.0	27.0	83.0	24.9	83.0	33.2			256.0	85.1	14
14 Ankrom Moisan	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	85.0	34.0			265.0	88.0	8
15 Osborn Architectts	90.0	27.0	88.0	26.4	93.0	37.2			271.0	90.6	2
16 BuildingWork	88.0	26.4	87.0	26.1	89.0	35.6			264.0	88.1	7
17 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	93.0	27.9	90.0	27.0	93.0	37.2			276.0	92.1	1
18											
19											
20											
COMMENTS											

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

COMMENTS:

Ariel Birtley

Ariel Birtley

7.19.21

Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET Project description

On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, **Seattle Central College and South Seattle**

Consensus Date

Project Number

7/16/2021

2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

Gary Wendleken

CRITERIA	Qualifica Key Per		General Appro	•	Relev Experi				TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK
Scores	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	Raw Score	SCORE	SCORE	ORDEN
1 Rolluda Architects	80.0	24.0	74.0	22.2	73.0	29.2			227.0	75.4	11
2 Studio 19 Architects	74.0	22.2	71.0	21.3	70.0	28.0			215.0	71.5	16
3 Integrus Architecture	82.0	24.6	75.0	22.5	71.0	28.4			228.0	75.5	10
4 WJA Design Collaborative	72.0	21.6	75.0	22.5	79.0	31.6			226.0	75.7	9
5 CAST architecture	70.0	21.0	80.0	24.0	75.0	30.0			225.0	75.0	13
6 SHKS Architects	72.0	21.6	78.0	23.4	78.0	31.2			228.0	76.2	5
7 Studio Meng Strazzara	77.0	23.1	80.0	24.0	72.0	28.8			229.0	75.9	8
8 Knit	78.0	23.4	76.0	22.8	78.0	31.2			232.0	77.4	4
9 WRNS Studio	74.0	22.2	72.0	21.6	68.0	27.2			214.0	71.0	17
10 Architecture for Everyone	76.0	22.8	86.0	25.8	73.0	29.2			235.0	77.8	2
11 KMB architects	74.0	22.2	80.0	24.0	80.0	32.0			234.0	78.2	1
12 McGranahan Archictecture	71.0	21.3	82.0	24.6	73.0	29.2			226.0	75.1	12
13 Stemper Architecture Collaborative	74.0	22.2	78.0	23.4	80.0	32.0			232.0	77.6	3
14 Ankrom Moisan	72.0	21.6	72.0	21.6	74.0	29.6			218.0	72.8	15
15 Osborn Architectts	74.0	22.2	77.0	23.1	77.0	30.8			228.0	76.1	6
16 BuildingWork	76.0	22.8	74.0	22.2	73.0	29.2			223.0	74.2	14
17 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	78.0	23.4	74.0	22.2	76.0	30.4			228.0	76.0	7
18											
19											
20											
COMMENTS:			-								

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

COMMENTS:

Gary Wendleken	7.16.2021
Gary Wendleken	Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET Project description

On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, **Seattle Central College and South Seattle**

Consensus Date

Project Number

7/16/2021

2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

Sam Lunsford

	CRITERIA	Qualifica Key Per		General Appro	•	Rele Exper				TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
	Scores	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	Raw Score	SCORE	SCORE	
1	Rolluda Architects	95.0	28.5	95.0	28.5	95.0	38.0			285.0	95.00	6
2	Studio 19 Architects	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	75.0	30.0			255.0	84.00	13
3	Integrus Architecture	99.0	29.7	98.0	29.4	99.0	39.6			296.0	98.70	2
4	WJA Design Collaborative	90.0	27.0	85.0	25.5	70.0	28.0			245.0	80.50	17
5	CAST architecture	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	79.0	31.6			259.0	85.60	9
6	SHKS Architects	99.0	29.7	98.0	29.4	94.0	37.6			291.0	96.70	5
7	Studio Meng Strazzara	99.0	29.7	98.0	29.4	95.0	38.0			292.0	97.10	3
8	Knit	90.0	27.0	95.0	28.5	73.0	29.2			258.0	84.70	12
9	WRNS Studio	90.0	27.0	85.0	25.5	76.0	30.4			251.0	82.90	14
10	Architecture for Everyone	95.0	28.5	88.0	26.4	75.0	30.0			258.0	84.90	10
11	KMB architects	92.0	27.6	92.0	27.6	92.0	36.8			276.0	92.00	7
12	McGranahan Archictecture	99.0	29.7	94.0	28.2	97.5	39.0			290.5	96.90	4
13	Stemper Architecture Collaborative	90.0	27.0	93.0	27.9	83.0	33.2			266.0	88.10	8
14	Ankrom Moisan	90.0	27.0	85.0	25.5	72.0	28.8			247.0	81.30	16
15	Osborn Architectts	90.0	27.0	85.0	25.5	75.0	30.0			250.0	82.50	15
16	BuildingWork	95.0	28.5	85.0	25.5	77.0	30.8			257.0	84.80	11
17	Schreiber Starling Whitehead	99.0	29.7	99.0	29.7	99.0	39.6			297.0	99.00	1
18												
19												
20												
COI	MMENTS:									 	·	

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

 $Sam\ Luns for d^{\ Digitally\ signed\ by\ Sam\ Luns for d}$

Date: 2021.07.19 08:05:03 -07'00'

Sam Lunsford



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle Central College and South Seattle

Consensus Date

Project Number

7/16/2021

2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Ben Dunkmann

CRITERIA	Qualifica Key Per		General Appro	•	Relev Experi					TOTAL RAW	TOTAL WEIGHTED	RANK ORDER
Scores	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score		Raw Score	SCORE	SCORE	ONDER
1 Rolluda Architects	90.0	27.0	85.0	25.5	85.0	34.0				260.0	86.5	15
2 Studio 19 Architects	95.0	28.5	100.0	30.0	85.0	34.0				280.0	92.5	9
3 Integrus Architecture	95.0	28.5	95.0	28.5	90.0	36.0				280.0	93.0	8
4 WJA Design Collaborative	95.0	28.5	90.0	27.0	90.0	36.0				275.0	91.5	10
5 CAST architecture	100.0	30.0	100.0	30.0	95.0	38.0				295.0	98.0	2
6 SHKS Architects	100.0	30.0	95.0	28.5	90.0	36.0				285.0	94.5	6
7 Studio Meng Strazzara	95.0	28.5	90.0	27.0	85.0	34.0				270.0	89.5	12
8 Knit	75.0	22.5	90.0	27.0	90.0	36.0				255.0	85.5	16
9 WRNS Studio	95.0	28.5	90.0	27.0	80.0	32.0				265.0	87.5	14
10 Architecture for Everyone	85.0	25.5	90.0	27.0	95.0	38.0				270.0	90.5	11
11 KMB architects	95.0	28.5	95.0	28.5	95.0	38.0				285.0	95.0	5
12 McGranahan Archictecture	100.0	30.0	95.0	28.5	95.0	38.0				290.0	96.5	4
13 Stemper Architecture Collaborative	95.0	28.5	100.0	30.0	100.0	40.0				295.0	98.5	1
14 Ankrom Moisan	85.0	25.5	90.0	27.0	80.0	32.0				255.0	84.5	17
15 Osborn Architectts	95.0	28.5	90.0	27.0	95.0	38.0				280.0	93.5	7
16 BuildingWork	100.0	30.0	90.0	27.0	100.0	40.0				290.0	97.0	3
17 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	85.0	25.5	85.0	25.5	95.0	38.0				265.0	89.0	13
18								_				
19												
20												

COMMENTS:

7/19/2021

Date



CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description

On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle Central College and South Seattle

Consensus Date

Project Number

7/16/2021

2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

Jon Taylor

CRITERIA	Qualificat Key Pers		General I	STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO	Relev				TOTAL	TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE	RANK
Scores	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	30%	Raw Score	40%	Raw Score	Raw Score	SCORE	SCORE	
1 Rolluda Architects	93.0	27.9	95.0	28.5	96.0	38.4			284.0		2
2 Studio 19 Architects	84.0	25.2	82.0	24.6	82.0	32.8			248.0		17
3 Integrus Architecture	85.0	25.5	90.0	27.0	87.0	34.8			262.0	Control of the last of the las	14
4 WJA Design Collaborative	90.0	27.0	88.0	26.4	95.0	38.0			273.0		8
5 CAST architecture	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	93.0	37.2			273.0	The second secon	9
6 SHKS Architects	95.0	28.5	90.0	27.0	90.0	36.0			275.0	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	7
7 Studio Meng Strazzara	92.0	27.6	86.0	25.8	90.0	36.0			268.0		12
8 Knit	90.0	27.0	85.0	25.5	85.0	34.0			260.0		15
9 WRNS Studio	85.0	25.5	85.0	25.5	85.0	34.0			255.0		16
10 Architecture for Everyone	85.0	25.5	90.0	27.0	89.0	35.6			264.0		13
11 KMB architects	88.0	26.4	90.0	27.0	92.0	36.8			270.0		11
12 McGranahan Archictecture	92.0	27.6	95.0	28.5	95.0	38.0			282.0		4
13 Stemper Architecture Collaborative	95.0	28.5	92.0	27.6	93.0	37.2			280.0		5
14 Ankrom Moisan	97.0	29.1	92.0	27.6	91.0	36.4			280.0		6
15 Osborn Architectts	98.0	29.4	92.0	27.6	95.0	38.0			285.0		1
16 BuildingWork	90.0	27.0	90.0	27.0	92.0	36.8			272.0	to be the same of	10
17 Schreiber Starling Whitehead	93.0	27.9	94.0	28.2	96.0	38.4			283.0	94.5	3
18											
19											-
20											

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

COMMENTS:

Jon Faylot Dan Faylot

7/16/2021 Date

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

Project description
On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle
Central College and South Seattle College

Date of Evaluation
7/29/2021
Project Number
2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

	This Scores	heet Bed	comes	Public I	Record					Jona	ithan M	artin		
	CRITERIA	Weighting		Architects		mper tecture	KMB at	rchitects		nahan tecture	Osborn A	rchitectts		r Starling ehead
	<u></u>		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WOR	KLOAD MANAGEMENT	20%	90	18	85	17	80	16	95	19	95	19	99	19.8
Include consultant staff intro	oductions who will actually perform or	n-call services	along with c	onsultant's c	apabilities a	and organiza	tional struct	ure for provid	ding the des	ired services).			<u>Leteroter (florefore)</u>
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE		20%	90	18	80	16	80	16	95	19	99	19.8	95	19
ScopeWalk us through a fer requirements and how well	w example projects of similar scope, have they managed development of	complexity, an project scope i	d size. Man n the past.	agement: Ba	ased on the	information	provided an	d the Finalist	's experienc	e, how well	has the tean	n acertained	basic proje	ot
COLLABORATION & COM	MUNICATION	10%	90	9	85	8.5	80	8	96	9.6	98	9.8	97	9.7
Describe communication ar	nd work with stakeholders.			P. A. Parlada, P. A. Parlada, P. A. Parlada		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		Interespense		<u> Problemaniania</u>			01	3.1
PROJECT MANAGEMENT		50%	90	45	85	42.5	80	40	93	46.5	95	47.5	94	47
Scope management, Budge	eting and Cost Control, Project Sched	duling, Challeng	ges and Opp	ortunities		11	li	Telefaladalaladadatat		J			<u> </u>	<u> Januari Angar</u>
													-	
								Industrial and the second						
Diverse Business Inclusio (indicate included or not inc	(0.78/3 / N.78/4/7)	Not Scored	VV	,	$\sqrt{4}$	/ -	N.	✓	ð _i .		1		سا	
TOTAL Raw SCORE		100%	360		335		320		379		387		385	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCOR	E			90		84	UZU	80	010		- 301	96.1	ುಂರ	95.5
FINAL RANK ORDER				4		S		1/2		1		Ž.		30.0
COMMENTS:								The state of the s				STREET, STREET,		Table Control

Jonathan Martin

7/29/21 Date

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

Project description
On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle
Central College and South Seattle College

Date of Evaluation
7/29/2021
Project Number
2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

	This Scoresh	neet Bed	comes	Public I	Record					Crai	g Gros	inger		
	CRITERIA	Weighting		Architects	Ster Archit	nper ecture	KMB ar	chitects	McGra Archic		Osborn A	Architectts	Schreibe White	_
	=		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WOR	KLOAD MANAGEMENT	20%	90.0	18.0	85,0	170	80,0	160	95.0	17.0	95.	9 19,0	990	19.8
Include consultant staff intro	oductions who will actually perform on-	call services	along with o	onsultant's c	apabilities a	ind organiza	tional structi	ure for provid	ing the desi	red services	S.			
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE		20%	90.	7 18.0	80.0	16.0	80.0	160	95.0	19.0	79.9	9 19.8	950	19.0
ScopeWalk us through a fer requirements and how well	w example projects of similar scope, co have they managed development of pr	omplexity, an oject scope i	d size. Man n the past.	agement: Ba										
COLLABORATION & COM	MUNICATION	10%	90.0	9.0	85.0	8.5	30.0	8.0	96.0	7.6	98.0	7.8	97.0	9.7
Describe communication an	d work with stakeholders.						4)							
PROJECT MANAGEMENT		50%	90.0	45.4	85.0	142.5	80.5	400	93.0	46.5	95.	475	940	47.0
Scope management, Budge	ting and Cost Control, Project Schedu	ling, Challen	ges and Opp	portunities					1				- / -	
Diverse Business Inclusio (indicate included or not included)	21.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	Not Scored												
TOTAL Raw SCORE		100%	360	V-00-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100-100	335	- 7	320		379		387		389	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORI	=		5 8	90		84		6/0	"	94.1	1	76.1		75.5
FINAL RANK ORDER				4		/ 5		6		5 /				7
COMMENTS:				^				P				8		
			N	() A				- 0						

Craig Grosinger

07/29/2021 Date

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

ING SHEET

Date of Evaluation
7/29/2021

Name of Selection Panel Member

Project description:

On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle

Central College and South Seattle College

Project Number

2021-833

This Scores	sheet Be	comes	Public I	Record					Gary	Wend	eken		
CRITERIA	Weighting		Architects		nper tecture	KMB ar	chitects		anahan tecture	Osborn A	rchitectts		er Starling ehead
\$1-1015,000 at 100		Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT	20%	81	16.2	80	16	70	14	82	16.4	77	15:4	78	15.6
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform of	n-call services	along with c	onsultant's c	apabilities a	and organiza	tional struct	ure for provid	ling the des			In a second second		Transfer of the
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE	20%	75	15	74	14.8	70	14	84	16.8	22	16.4	80	16
ScopeWalk us through a few example projects of similar scope, requirements and how well have they managed development of	complexity, an project scope is	nd size. Mana in the past.	agement: Ba	ased on the	information	provided an	d the Finalist	's experient	ce, how well I	nas the tear	n acertained	basic proje	ct
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	82	8.7	84	8.4	18	6.8	97	8.2	80	9	00	
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.							1 S.M. 1 S.M. 1	() 60	0.2		lessa Carresta	00	1000
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH	50%	80	40	82	41	70	75	80	40	76	28	80	40.
Scope management, Budgeting and Cost Control, Project Sche	duling, Challen	ges and Opp	ortunities				William and the same of the same		10001		here a security		Internation of the second
Diverse Business Inclusion Plan (indicate included or not included)	Not Scored												
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	3/8		320		278		328		3/5		318	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE			78.4	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	80.2		<i>49.</i> 8	10 Ca 63	81.4	Sept. App.	728	255	80.7
FINAL RANK ORDER			22		2		14		7		5		3
COMMENTS:			D.						interpretario de la control de		Hototototot (16001646)		

Gary Wendleken

7/29/2/ Date

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

Project description
On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle
Central College and South Seattle College

Pate of Evaluation
7/29/2021
Project Number
2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

Ben Dunkmann

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	LONDIO	TOO TO			**********						
CRITERIA	Weighting	Rolluda Architects		Stemper Architecture		KMB architects		McGranahan Archictecture		Osborn Architectts		Schreiber Starling Whitehead	
	Trongmung	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT	20%	95	(4)	94	18.9	90	19	99	19.8	98	IQ.	97	19.4
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform on-													
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE ScopeWalk us through a few example projects of similar scope, or	20%	43	Q72	93	146	93	186	98	14.6	97	10.4	49	I W. E
ScopeWalk us through a few example projects of similar scope, c requirements and how well have they managed development of programments.	omplexity, an roject scope i	id size. Man in the past.	agement. B	ased on the	information	provided an	d the Finalis	t's experient	ce, how well	has the tear	m acertained	basic proje	ct
COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION	10%	95	915	95	64	94	9.4	ର୍ମଣ	a a	95	o z	@ Q	9
Describe communication and work with stakeholders.							1				Harris Manager School	- 10	(1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH Scope management, Budgeting and Cost Control, Project Schedu	50% ling, Challen	9.5 ges and Op	portunities	94	44.5	93	46.5	48	49	98	49	98	49
						-						····	
Diverse Business Inclusion Plan (indicate included or not included)	Not Scored	-											
TOTAL Raw SCORE	100%	13-44		41/2		27/)	302	1 _	400	}	701	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE		- · · ·	941	w 8	94.4	J 7 7	92.5	117	093	- C U	959	10	99
FINAL RANK ORDER	<u> </u>		2				10				3		200
COMMENTS:			*1	÷	3				4				0

Ben Dunkmann

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

7/29/2001

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

Project description
On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle
Central College and South Seattle College

Date of Evaluation
7/29/2021
Project Number
2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

	This Scoresh		Ariel Birtley												
CRITERIA		Weighting		Rolluda Architects		Stemper Architecture		KMB architects		McGranahan Archictecture		Osborn Architectts		Schreiber Starling Whitehead	
			Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT		20%	95	19	87	12.1	91	182	93	18.6	94	188	96	19.2	
Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform on-call services along with consultant's capabilities and organizational structure for providing the desired services.															
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE		20%	97	9.4	85			9,	96	19.2	94	18.8	96	14.2	
ScopeWalk us through a fe requirements and how well	w example projects of similar scope, co have they managed development of pr	omplexity, ar oject scope	nd size. Man in the past.	agement: B	ased on the	Information	provided an	d the Finalist	's experienc	ce, how well	has the tean	n acertained	basic proje	ct	
COLLABORATION & COM	IMUNICATION	10%	97	9.3	82	Ø 2	93	9.3	93	9-3	95	9.5	96	96	
Describe communication ar	nd work with stakeholders.						·	J. V. V. P. P. P. L. B. V.	 / - /	1	·	<u> </u>			
PROJECT MANAGEMENT Scope management, Budge	& APPROACH eting and Cost Control, Project Schedu	50% ling, Challen	ges and Op	H 8-5 portunities	84	42	13	46.5	92	46	95	44.6 94.6		48	
			386	96.6	338	84.6	367	92	374	93.	378	244		96	
					Ì										
Diverse Business Inclusio (indicate included or not inc		Not Scored											_		
TOTAL Raw SCORE		100%												1	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCOR	E		1		6		5		Ч		3		Z		
FINAL RANK ORDER															
COMMENTS:	•													# 4 4747ADVTVTV1V1VAQ	
•					-										

Ariel/Birliey Britley

7.29.2021

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

7/29/2021 2021-833 Name of Selection Panel Member Sam Lunsford This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record Stemper McGranahan Schreiber Starling Rolluda Architects KMB architects Osborn Architectts Architecture Archictecture Whitehead **CRITERIA** Weighting Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Raw Score Raw Score Raw Score Raw Score Raw Score Raw Score Score Score Score Score Score Score 10 Ps 93 Q a 90 KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT 20% q, Q9.6 aa a_0 ra.a Include consultant staff introductions who will actually perform on-call services along with consultant's capabilities and organizational structure for providing the desired services. 93 19. lo RELEVANT EXPERIENCE 20% 0.0 99 19.4 ScopeWalk us through a few example projects of similar scope, complexity, and size. Management: Based on the information provided and the Finalist's experience, how well has the team acertained basic project requirements and how well have they managed development of project scope in the past. **COLLABORATION & COMMUNICATION** Q C q, ς Describe communication and work with stakeholders. 50% PROJECT MANAGEMENT & APPROACH Scope management, Budgeting and Cost Control, Project Scheduling, Challenges and Opportunities 98.3 2 6 Diverse Business Inclusion Plan Not (indicate included or not included) Scored TOTAL Raw SCORE 100% TOTAL WEIGHTED SCORE 949 95.4 97,5 98.3 FINAL RANK ORDER COMMENTS:

Project description

Date of Evaluation

On-Call Architect(s) North Seattle College, Seattle

Central College and South Seattle College

Project Number

CONSULTANT SELECTION PHASE II - PROPOSAL SCORING SHEET

Project description
On-Call Architect(s) -North Seattle College, Seattle

Central College and South Seattle College

Date of Evaluation
Project Number

7/29/2021
2021-833

Name of Selection Panel Member

Jon Taylor

	This Scoresh	eet Be	comes	Public I	Record		Jon Taylor							
CRITERIA		Weighting	Rolluda Architects		Stemper Architecture		KMB architects		McGranahan Archictecture		Osborn Architectts		Schreiber Starling Whitehead	
			Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score	Raw Score	Weighted Score
KEY PERSONNEL & WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT		20%	75	19	92	18,4	90	18	94	18.3	95	19	96	9,2
include consultant staff intro	oductions who will actually perform on-o	call services	along with o	onsultant's c	apabilities a	and organiza	tional struct	ure for provid	ding the des	ired services	194 %	7	•	
RELEVANT EXPERIENCE		20%	95	19	93	18,6	92	18.4	95	19	94	18.8	97	194
ScopeWalk us through a fer requirements and how well	w example projects of similar scope, co have they managed development of pro	mplexity, an oject scope i	ıd siže. Man	agement: Ba	ased on the	information	provided an	the Finalist	's experienc	ce, how well	has the tean	n acertained	basic proje	ct
COLLABORATION & COM	MUNICATION	10%	92	9,2	92	9.2	94	9.4	96	9.6	95	9.5	97	9.7
Describe communication ar	d work with stakeholders.			, ,						1			- : /	100000 00000000000000000000000000000000
PROJECT MANAGEMENT Scope management, Budge	& APPROACH eting and Cost Control, Project Schedul	50% ing, Challen	93 ges and Op	46.5 portunities	95	47.5	93	46,5	96	48	95	475	95	47.9
Diverse Business Inclusio (indicate included or not inc		Not Scored			<u></u>	•••		-	- 				·	
TOTAL Raw SCORE		100%	380	,	372		369		381		279		3 <i>85</i>	
TOTAL WEIGHTED SCOR	E			94.2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	73.2		92,3		99,4		94.8		95,2
FINAL RANK ORDER				4		15		6		2.		2,		
COMMENTS:				•									,-	<u>,, , , , , </u>

Jon-Taylor

114,102 Date