

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Phase 1 Date: _____ Number of Submitting Firms: **30**

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants
Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Chairperson Aarón Martinez

Firms	Panelist Names							TOTAL ASSIGNED RANK	RANK ORDER
	Aarón Martinez	Tim Byrne	Rafael Urena	Bob Willyerd	Jason Francois	Ted Yoder	Gary Wendleken		
1. AKS Architecture	8	29	22	28	29	22	24	162	27
2. Architects Rassmussen Triebelhorn	5	20	21	24	23	23	10	126	20
3. RMC Architects PLLC	9	10	10	21	12	24	7	93	12
4. WAVE Design Group	25	22	26	29	28	29	30	189	29
5. Helix Design Group	3	4	1	4	2	7	11	32	1
6. WJA	2	9	11	15	13	15	6	71	6
7. Studio Meng Strazzara	20	14	28	19	24	10	25	140	24
8. Integrus Architecture	16	23	17	18	17	3	28	122	19
9. Architecture for Everyone	18	24	29	27	14	25	12	149	26
10. Miller Hayashi Architects	22	19	3	16	3	20	29	112	17
11. Knit	10	18	20	23	18	17	26	132	21
12. Schreiber Starling Whitehead	21	7	5	13	1	16	16	79	8
13. Bassetti Architects	14	13	14	8	19	18	22	108	15
14. KMB Architects	4	8	16	1	5	1	1	36	2
15. SHKS Architects	15	16	13	5	8	9	3	69	5
16. LoFT Architecture + Design	27	28	18	26	26	27	17	169	28
17. MWA Architects	12	25	30	25	15	19	13	139	23
18. the design COLLECTIVE	30	30	23	30	30	30	20	193	30
19. MSGS Architects	7	2	6	2	6	12	8	43	3
20. Osborn Architects	19	5	19	20	4	4	9	80	9
21. Stemper Architecture Collaborative	23	3	12	12	9	6	2	67	4
22. Cornerstone Architectural Group	29	12	7	6	16	13	4	87	11
23. Rolluda Architects	24	11	2	17	10	11	27	102	14
24. McGranahan	6	6	24	11	7	5	14	73	7
25. HEWITT	26	26	25	14	20	8	18	137	22
26. Clark Kjos Architect	11	27	15	10	27	28	23	141	25
27. Rice Fergus Miller	13	1	9	7	21	26	5	82	10
28. Ankrom Moisan	1	17	27	3	25	21	15	109	16
29. BuildingWork	28	15	4	9	11	14	19	100	13
30. GGLO	17	21	8	22	22	2	21	113	18
31.									
32.									
33.									
34.									


*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified


Phase 2 Date: **5/7/21** Number of Firms Interviewed: **7**

NAME OF FIRM	RANK ORDER OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS							TOTAL ASSIGNED RANKS	RANK ORDER
	Aarón Martinez	Tim Byrne	Rafael Urena	Bob Willyerd	Jason Francois	Ted Yoder	Gary Wendleken		
1. McGranahan	5	5	7	6	6	7	4	40	6
2. Stemper Architecture Collaborative	2	3	4	5	2	2	6	24	3
3. MSGS Architects	3	1	1	3	5	3	2	18	2
4. Helix Design Group	4	4	2	2	4	5	7	28	4
5. SHKS Architects	6	6	5	4	3	4	3	31	5
6. KMB Architects	1	2	6	1	1	1	1	13	1
7. WJA	7	7	3	7	7	6	5	42	7
8.									
9.									

SELECTION PANEL REACHED CONSENSUS:



Aarón Martinez (May 11, 2021 11:49 PDT)



Bob Willyerd


Jason Francois


Timothy Byrne (May 11, 2021 12:25 PDT)


Rafael Urena (May 11, 2021 14:22 PDT)


Ted Yoder


Gary Wendleken

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
 ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Aarón Martínez	

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Qualification s of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience			TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	25	30	45			100 Points	
1. AKS Architecture	25.0	28.0	37.0			90.0	8
2. Architects Rassmussen Triebelhorn	25.0	26.0	44.0			95.0	5
3. RMC Architects PLLC	25.0	25.0	39.0			89.0	9
4. WAVE Design Group	25.0	18.0	30.0			73.0	25
5. Helix Design Group	25.0	29.0	43.0			97.0	3
6. WJA	25.0	30.0	43.0			98.0	2
7. Studio Meng Strazzara	25.0	24.0	29.0			78.0	20
8. Integrus Architecture	25.0	22.0	35.0			82.0	16
9. Architecture for Everyone	20.0	25.0	35.0			80.0	18
10. Miller Hayashi Architects	25.0	22.0	29.0			76.0	22
11. Knit	25.0	22.0	41.0			88.0	10
12. Schreiber Starling Whitehead	25.0	22.0	30.0			77.0	21
13. Bassetti Architects	25.0	25.0	34.0			84.0	14
14. KMB Architects	25.0	28.0	43.0			96.0	4
15. SHKS Architects	25.0	24.0	34.0			83.0	15
16. LoFT Architecture + Design	25.0	20.0	26.0			71.0	27
17. MWA Architects	25.0	26.0	35.0			86.0	12
18. the design COLLECTIVE	25.0	17.0	26.0			68.0	30
19. MSGs Architects	25.0	27.0	40.0			92.0	7
20. Osborn Architects	25.0	20.0	34.0			79.0	19
21. Stemper Architecture Collaborative	25.0	19.0	31.0			75.0	23
22. Cornerstone Architectural Group	25.0	18.0	26.0			69.0	29
23. Rolluda Architects	25.0	19.0	30.0			74.0	24
24. McGranahan	25.0	27.0	42.0			94.0	6
25. HEWITT	25.0	21.0	26.0			72.0	26
26. Clark Kjos Architect	25.0	24.0	38.0			87.0	11
27. Rice Fergus Miller	25.0	17.0	43.0			85.0	13
28. Ankrom Moisan	25.0	30.0	45.0			100.0	1
29. BuildingWork	25.0	19.0	26.0			70.0	28
30. GGLO	25.0	22.0	34.0			81.0	17
31.							
32.							
33.							
34.							

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:


 Aarón Martínez (May 11, 2021 11:49 PDT)
 Committee Member's Signature Date

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Tim Byrne	

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Qualifications of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience			TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	Maximum Score 25	30	45			100 Points	
1. AKS Architecture	10.0	12.0	10.0			32.0	29
2. Architects Rassmussen Triebelhorn	5.0	15.0	40.0			60.0	20
3. RMC Architects PLLC	18.0	21.0	35.0			74.0	10
4. WAVE Design Group	5.0	23.0	29.0			57.0	22
5. Helix Design Group	20.0	28.5	42.0			90.5	4
6. WJA	20.0	25.0	30.0			75.0	9
7. Studio Meng Strazzara	18.0	21.0	29.0			68.0	14
8. Integrus Architecture	15.0	25.0	15.0			55.0	23
9. Architecture for Everyone	15.0	15.0	23.0			53.0	24
10. Miller Hayashi Architects	17.0	15.0	29.0			61.0	19
11. Knit	14.0	20.0	28.0			62.0	18
12. Schreiber Starling Whitehead	24.0	18.0	42.0			84.0	7
13. Bassetti Architects	23.0	25.0	22.0			70.0	13
14. KMB Architects	17.0	24.0	39.0			80.0	8
15. SHKS Architects	15.0	17.0	34.5			66.5	16
16. LoFT Architecture + Design	15.0	2.0	28.0			45.0	28
17. MWA Architects	17.0	24.0	11.0			52.0	25
18. the design COLLECTIVE	12.0	1.0	8.0			21.0	30
19. MSGs Architects	24.0	28.0	40.0			92.0	2
20. Osborn Architects	21.0	28.0	41.0			90.0	5
21. Stemper Architecture Collaborative	21.5	27.0	42.5			91.0	3
22. Cornerstone Architectural Group	23.0	27.0	22.0			72.0	12
23. Rolluda Architects	21.0	22.0	30.0			73.0	11
24. McGranahan	20.0	28.0	40.0			88.0	6
25. HEWITT	18.0	18.0	15.0			51.0	26
26. Clark Kjos Architect	14.0	1.0	32.0			47.0	27
27. Rice Fergus Miller	24.0	29.0	44.0			97.0	1
28. Ankrom Moisan	23.0	1.0	40.0			64.0	17
29. BuildingWork	18.0	27.0	22.0			67.0	15
30. GGLO	23.0	23.0	12.0			58.0	21
31.							
32.							
33.							
34.							

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Timothy Byrne
 Timothy Byrne (May 11, 2021 12:25 PDT)

Committee Member's Signature Date

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
 ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation 4/1/2021	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Rafael Urena	

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Qualification s of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience			TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	25	30	45			100 Points	
1. AKS Architecture	20.0	20.0	20.0			60.0	22
2. Architects Rassmussen Triebelhorn	20.0	21.0	20.0			61.0	21
3. RMC Architects PLLC	22.0	24.0	38.0			84.0	10
4. WAVE Design Group	18.0	20.0	18.0			56.0	26
5. Helix Design Group	24.0	29.0	44.0			97.0	1
6. WJA	22.0	24.0	36.0			82.0	11
7. Studio Meng Strazzara	14.0	19.0	20.0			53.0	28
8. Integrus Architecture	15.0	20.0	30.0			65.0	17
9. Architecture for Everyone	10.0	20.0	21.0			51.0	29
10. Miller Hayashi Architects	23.0	28.0	41.0			92.0	3
11. Knit	12.0	21.0	29.0			62.0	20
12. Schreiber Starling Whitehead	20.0	28.0	42.0			90.0	5
13. Bassetti Architects	21.0	22.0	36.0			79.0	14
14. KMB Architects	21.0	22.0	34.0			77.0	16
15. SHKS Architects	21.0	23.0	36.0			80.0	13
16. LoFT Architecture + Design	16.0	20.0	28.0			64.0	18
17. MWA Architects	11.0	19.0	20.0			50.0	30
18. the design COLLECTIVE	20.0	19.0	20.0			59.0	23
19. MSGs Architects	22.0	26.0	41.0			89.0	6
20. Osborn Architects	15.0	19.0	29.0			63.0	19
21. Stemper Architecture Collaborative	22.0	24.0	35.0			81.0	12
22. Cornerstone Architectural Group	22.0	26.0	40.0			88.0	7
23. Rolluda Architects	23.0	28.0	42.0			93.0	2
24. McGranahan	15.0	20.0	23.0			58.0	24
25. HEWITT	14.0	14.0	29.0			57.0	25
26. Clark Kjos Architect	21.0	20.0	37.0			78.0	15
27. Rice Fergus Miller	22.0	24.0	39.0			85.0	9
28. Ankrom Moisan	10.0	19.0	26.0			55.0	27
29. BuildingWork	21.0	28.0	42.0			91.0	4
30. GGLO	25.0	22.0	40.0			87.0	8
31.							
32.							
33.							
34.							

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Rafael Urena
 Rafael Urena (May 11, 2021 14:22 PDT)

Committee Member's Signature

Date

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
 ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Bob Willyerd	

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Qualifications of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience	TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
1. AKS Architecture	15.0	24.0	37.0	76.0	28
2. Architects Rassmussen Triebelhorn	15.0	25.0	41.0	81.0	24
3. RMC Architects PLLC	16.2	25.0	41.0	82.2	21
4. WAVE Design Group	9.0	10.0	40.0	59.0	29
5. Helix Design Group	20.0	25.0	42.0	87.0	4
6. WJA	18.4	25.0	40.0	83.4	15
7. Studio Meng Strazzara	17.9	25.0	40.0	82.9	19
8. Integrus Architecture	18.1	25.0	40.0	83.1	18
9. Architecture for Everyone	11.0	25.0	41.0	77.0	27
10. Miller Hayashi Architects	18.0	25.0	40.3	83.3	16
11. Knit	17.5	25.0	39.4	81.9	23
12. Schreiber Starling Whitehead	18.7	25.0	40.0	83.7	13
13. Bassetti Architects	20.0	25.0	40.0	85.0	8
14. KMB Architects	23.0	25.0	42.0	90.0	1
15. SHKS Architects	19.0	25.0	41.5	85.5	5
16. LoFT Architecture + Design	15.0	25.0	38.0	78.0	26
17. MWA Architects	16.0	25.0	38.0	79.0	25
18. the design COLLECTIVE	15.0	0	30.0	45.0	30
19. MSGs Architects	22.0	26.0	41.0	89.0	2
20. Osborn Architects	17.3	25.0	40.0	82.3	20
21. Stemper Architecture Collaborative	17.0	25.0	41.8	83.8	12
22. Cornerstone Architectural Group	18.4	25.0	42.0	85.4	6
23. Rolluda Architects	18.2	25.0	40.0	83.2	17
24. McGranahan	18.2	25.0	40.7	83.9	11
25. HEWITT	18.0	25.0	40.6	83.6	14
26. Clark Kjos Architect	19.0	25.0	40.0	84.0	10
27. Rice Fergus Miller	19.2	25.0	41.0	85.2	7
28. Ankrom Moisan	21.0	26.0	41.0	88.0	3
29. BuildingWork	17.5	25.0	42.0	84.5	9
30. GGLO	19.0	25.0	38.0	82.0	22
31.					
32.					
33.					
34.					

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Bob Willyerd

Committee Member's Signature

Date

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
 ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation 4/15/2021	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Jason Francois	

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Qualification s of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience			TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	25	30	45			100 Points	
1. AKS Architecture	18.0	18.0	30.0			66.0	29
2. Architects Rassmussen Triebelhorn	20.0	25.0	40.0			85.0	23
3. RMC Architects PLLC	21.0	25.0	43.0			89.0	12
4. WAVE Design Group	19.0	15.0	35.0			69.0	28
5. Helix Design Group	24.0	28.0	44.0			96.0	2
6. WJA	22.0	27.9	39.0			88.9	13
7. Studio Meng Strazzara	21.0	24.0	39.9			84.9	24
8. Integrus Architecture	20.0	25.0	42.0			87.0	17
9. Architecture for Everyone	22.0	25.8	41.0			88.8	14
10. Miller Hayashi Architects	23.9	28.0	43.0			94.9	3
11. Knit	23.0	23.9	40.0			86.9	18
12. Schreiber Starling Whitehead	23.8	29.0	44.0			96.8	1
13. Bassetti Architects	21.0	25.8	40.0			86.8	19
14. KMB Architects	22.9	28.0	43.0			93.9	5
15. SHKS Architects	23.7	27.9	41.0			92.6	8
16. LoFT Architecture + Design	20.0	22.0	38.0			80.0	26
17. MWA Architects	23.6	27.0	38.0			88.6	15
18. the design COLLECTIVE	20.0	25.0	20.0			65.0	30
19. MSGs Architects	23.5	27.0	43.0			93.5	6
20. Osborn Architects	22.8	28.0	44.0			94.8	4
21. Stemper Architecture Collaborative	22.0	26.0	44.0			92.0	9
22. Cornerstone Architectural Group	21.0	27.0	40.0			88.0	16
23. Rolluda Architects	22.0	26.0	43.0			91.0	10
24. McGranahan	22.7	27.0	43.0			92.7	7
25. HEWITT	21.0	25.8	39.9			86.7	20
26. Clark Kjos Architect	21.0	20.0	38.9			79.9	27
27. Rice Fergus Miller	22.6	23.0	41.0			86.6	21
28. Ankrom Moisan	22.0	24.0	35.0			81.0	25
29. BuildingWork	22.5	28.0	40.0			90.5	11
30. GGLO	22.0	25.0	39.0			86.0	22
31.							
32.							
33.							
34.							

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:



Committee Member's Signature

Date

**FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Ted Yoder	

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Qualification s of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience	TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER	
						Maximum Score
1.	AKS Architecture	20.0	23.0	38.0	81.0	22
2.	Architects Rassmussen Triebelhorn	15.0	25.0	40.0	80.0	23
3.	RMC Architects PLLC	24.0	20.0	35.0	79.0	24
4.	WAVE Design Group	20.0	5.0	35.0	60.0	29
5.	Helix Design Group	22.0	27.0	45.0	94.0	7
6.	WJA	21.5	26.0	42.5	90.0	15
7.	Studio Meng Strazzara	23.0	25.5	44.0	92.5	10
8.	Integrus Architecture	25.0	26.0	45.0	96.0	3
9.	Architecture for Everyone	10.0	25.0	40.0	75.0	25
10.	Miller Hayashi Architects	25.0	22.0	38.0	85.0	20
11.	Knit	25.0	25.0	38.0	88.0	17
12.	Schreiber Starling Whitehead	22.0	25.0	42.0	89.0	16
13.	Bassetti Architects	25.0	20.0	42.0	87.0	18
14.	KMB Architects	25.0	27.0	45.0	97.0	1
15.	SHKS Architects	24.0	24.0	45.0	93.0	9
16.	LoFT Architecture + Design	20.0	15.0	35.0	70.0	27
17.	MWA Architects	24.0	27.0	35.0	86.0	19
18.	the design COLLECTIVE	20.0	5.0	20.0	45.0	30
19.	MSGs Architects	21.0	26.5	44.0	91.5	12
20.	Osborn Architects	22.0	28.5	45.0	95.5	4
21.	Stemper Architecture Collaborative	23.0	26.5	45.0	94.5	6
22.	Cornerstone Architectural Group	23.0	24.0	44.0	91.0	13
23.	Rolluda Architects	23.0	25.5	43.5	92.0	11
24.	McGranahan	24.0	26.0	45.0	95.0	5
25.	HEWITT	24.5	27.0	42.0	93.5	8
26.	Clark Kjos Architect	18.0	20.0	30.0	68.0	28
27.	Rice Fergus Miller	20.0	20.0	32.0	72.0	26
28.	Ankrom Moisan	25.0	20.0	38.0	83.0	21
29.	BuildingWork	22.0	23.5	45.0	90.5	14
30.	GGLO	25.0	27.5	44.0	96.5	2
31.						
32.						
33.						
34.						

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Ted Yoder

Committee Member's Signature Date

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
 ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation 4/15/2021	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Gary Wendleken	

Rate each firm using a (0-100) numerical rating for each score:

CRITERIA	Qualification s of Key Personnel	General Project Approach	Relevant Experience			TOTAL SCORE	RANK ORDER
	25	30	45			100 Points	
1. AKS Architecture	18.0	23.0	24.0			65.0	24
2. Architects Rassmussen Triebelhorn	23.0	24.0	32.0			79.0	10
3. RMC Architects PLLC	22.0	23.0	37.0			82.0	7
4. WAVE Design Group	16.0	20.0	23.0			59.0	30
5. Helix Design Group	21.0	20.0	37.0			78.0	11
6. WJA	23.0	25.0	35.0			83.0	6
7. Studio Meng Strazzara	20.0	23.0	21.0			64.0	25
8. Integrus Architecture	21.0	20.0	20.0			61.0	28
9. Architecture for Everyone	17.0	26.0	34.0			77.0	12
10. Miller Hayashi Architects	17.0	21.0	22.0			60.0	29
11. Knit	19.0	19.0	25.0			63.0	26
12. Schreiber Starling Whitehead	18.0	23.0	32.0			73.0	16
13. Bassetti Architects	20.0	21.0	26.0			67.0	22
14. KMB Architects	21.0	26.0	41.0			88.0	1
15. SHKS Architects	21.0	26.0	39.0			86.0	3
16. LoFT Architecture + Design	18.0	22.0	32.0			72.0	17
17. MWA Architects	20.0	20.0	36.0			76.0	13
18. the design COLLECTIVE	18.0	22.0	29.0			69.0	20
19. MSGs Architects	21.0	22.0	38.0			81.0	8
20. Osborn Architects	21.0	25.0	34.0			80.0	9
21. Stemper Architecture Collaborative	23.0	27.0	37.0			87.0	2
22. Cornerstone Architectural Group	23.0	22.0	40.0			85.0	4
23. Rolluda Architects	18.0	20.0	24.0			62.0	27
24. McGranahan	22.0	21.0	32.0			75.0	14
25. HEWITT	17.0	22.0	32.0			71.0	18
26. Clark Kjos Architect	17.0	21.0	28.0			66.0	23
27. Rice Fergus Miller	20.0	24.0	40.0			84.0	5
28. Ankrom Moisan	21.0	21.0	32.0			74.0	15
29. BuildingWork	15.0	22.0	33.0			70.0	19
30. GGLO	16.0	19.0	33.0			68.0	21
31.							
32.							
33.							
34.							

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Gary Wendleken

Committee Member's Signature Date

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE II SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation 5/7/2021	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Aarón Martínez	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McGranahan 8:30am	Stemper 9:30am	MSGs 10:30am	Helix Design 12:30pm	SHKS 1:30pm	KMB 2:30pm	WJA 3:30pm
KEY PERSONNEL	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	19	18	19	18	16	20	19
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	18	19	19	18	16	20	16
ERRORS/EMISSIONS & CHANGE ORDERS	10	7	10	10	8	8	9	7
HEALTHCARE PROJECT EXPERIENCE	10	7	10	8	8	8	10	3
TOTAL SCORE	100	91	97	96	92	88	99	85
FINAL RANK ORDER		5	2	3	4	6	1	7

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:



Committee Member's Signature

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
 ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation 5/5/2021	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Rafael Ureña Architect	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McGranahan	Stemper	MSGs	Helix	SHKS	KMB	WJA		
KEY PERSONNEL	20	12	16	20	19	14	14	18		
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	13	16	20	19	14	14	18		
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	13	16	18	20	14	14	18		
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	13	16	20	19	14	13	18		
OTHER	20	13	16	20	19	14	13	18		
TOTAL SCORE	100	64	80	98	96	70	68	90		
FINAL RANK ORDER		7	4	1	2	5	6	3		

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:



5/7/21

Committee Member's Signature

**FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation 5/7/2021	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Bob Willyerd	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McGranahan 8:30am	Stemper 9:30am	MSGS 10:30am	Helix Design 12:30pm	SHKS 1:30pm	KMB 2:30pm	WJA 3:30pm
KEY PERSONNEL	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	18	18	18	20	19	20	18
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	17	19	19	19	18	20	18
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	18	18	19	19	18	20	18
ERRORS/EMISSIONS & CHANGE ORDERS	10	9	9	9	9	9	10	9
HEALTHCARE PROJECT EXPERIENCE	10	9	8	9	9	9	10	7
TOTAL SCORE	100	91	92	94	96	93	100	90
FINAL RANK ORDER		6	5	3	2	4	1	7

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Bob Willyerd

Committee Member's Signature

**FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation 5/7/2021	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Gary Wendleken	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McGranahan 8:30am	Stemper 9:30am	MSGs 10:30am	Helix Design 12:30pm	SHKS 1:30pm	KMB 2:30pm	WJA 3:30pm
KEY PERSONNEL	20	15	16	16	16	15	16	17
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	16	10	18	14	16	17	16
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	15	16	16	13	17	17	16
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	14	15	14	14	14	15	13
ERRORS/EMISSIONS & CHANGE ORDERS	10	9	8	7	7	7	9	6
HEALTHCARE PROJECT EXPERIENCE	10	7	9	7	5	8	10	7
TOTAL SCORE	100	76	74	78	69	77	84	75
FINAL RANK ORDER		4	6	2	7	3	1	5

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Gary Wendleken

Committee Member's Signature

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE I SCORING SHEET

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation 5/7/2021	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Jason Francois	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McGranahan 8:30am	Stemper 9:30am	MSGS 10:30am	Helix Design 12:30pm	SHKS 1:30pm	KMB 2:30pm	WJA 3:30pm
KEY PERSONNEL	20	15	19	17	18	18	18	16
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	15	19	17	17	16	19	14
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	14	16	13	12	16	20	14
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	15	18	15	16	18	18	14
ERRORS/EMISSIONS & CHANGE ORDERS	10	9	9	6	4	7	9	6
HEALTHCARE PROJECT EXPERIENCE	10	2	9	4	6	8	9	5
TOTAL SCORE	100	70	90	72	73	83	93	69
FINAL RANK ORDER		6	2	5	4	3	1	7

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Committee Member's Signature



**FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
PHASE I SCORING SHEET**

This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Project description Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation 5/7/2021	Project Number 2021-801
Name of Committee Member Ted Yoder	

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McGranahan 8:30am	Stemper 9:30am	MSGS 10:30am	Helix Design 12:30pm	SHKS 1:30pm	KMB 2:30pm	WJA 3:30pm
KEY PERSONNEL	20	15	17	17	18	18	19	18
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	15	18	17	18	18	17	16
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	15	16	16	14	15	15	15
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	15	18	18	16	15	18	15
ERRORS/EMISSIONS & CHANGE ORDERS	10	8	7	8	7	8	8	6
HEALTHCARE PROJECT EXPERIENCE	10	7	8	7	8	8	9	6
TOTAL SCORE	100	75	84	83	81	82	86	76
FINAL RANK ORDER		7	2	3	5	4	1	6

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:

Ted Yoder

Committee Member's Signature

FACILITY PROFESSIONAL SERVICES
 ARCHITECT/ENGINEER SELECTION
 PHASE II SCORING SHEET

Project description: Department of Enterprise Services 2021-2023 Architectural Consultants	
Date of Evaluation: 5/7/2021	Project Number: 2021-801
Name of Committee Member: Tim Byrne	


This Scoresheet Becomes Public Record

Rate each firm below using a (0-100) numerical rating for the score: 100 represents the highest and 0 the lowest score.

SCORING CRITERIA	POINTS	McGranahan 8:30am	Stemper 9:30am	MSGS 10:30am	Helix Design 12:30pm	SHKS 1:30pm	KMB 2:30pm	WJA 3:30pm
KEY PERSONNEL	20	18	20	20	19	17	18	17
FIRM CAPABILITY	20	15	18	19	19	17	17	17
WORKLOAD MANAGEMENT PLAN	20	18	15	18	18	19	19	15
ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE	20	16	17	19	15	15	18	15
ERRORS/EMISSIONS & CHANGE ORDERS	10	10	10	9	8	5	9	5
HEALTHCARE PROJECT EXPERIENCE	10	9	9	9	9	5	9	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	86	89	94	88	78	90	69
FINAL RANK ORDER		5	3	1	4	6	2	7

*Rank order #1 is the top score/ most highly qualified

COMMENTS:


 Committee Member's Signature












21-801-ScoresheetSummary













Final Audit Report

2021-05-12

Created:	2021-05-11
By:	Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAszHCV9rpcbfbjXuKV-mNo59WKFIRbrK

"21-801-ScoresheetSummary" History

-  Document created by Angeline Ernst (angeline.ernst@des.wa.gov)
2021-05-11 - 6:41:14 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.242.30
-  Document emailed to Aarón Martínez (aaron.martinez@dshs.wa.gov) for signature
2021-05-11 - 6:44:39 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to Timothy Byrne (timothy.byrne@dshs.wa.gov) for signature
2021-05-11 - 6:44:39 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to Rafael Urena (rafael.urena@des.wa.gov) for signature
2021-05-11 - 6:44:39 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to Gary Wendleken (gary.wendleken@des.wa.gov) for signature
2021-05-11 - 6:44:40 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to Ted Yoder (ted.yoder@des.wa.gov) for signature
2021-05-11 - 6:44:40 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to Jason Francois (jason.francois@des.wa.gov) for signature
2021-05-11 - 6:44:40 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to Bob Willyerd (bob.willyerd@des.wa.gov) for signature
2021-05-11 - 6:44:40 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Aarón Martínez (aaron.martinez@dshs.wa.gov)
2021-05-11 - 6:46:16 PM GMT- IP address: 198.239.219.120
-  Document e-signed by Aarón Martínez (aaron.martinez@dshs.wa.gov)
Signature Date: 2021-05-11 - 6:49:12 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.239.219.120
-  Email viewed by Ted Yoder (ted.yoder@des.wa.gov)
2021-05-11 - 6:49:33 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.65.254

-  Document e-signed by Ted Yoder (ted.yoder@des.wa.gov)
Signature Date: 2021-05-11 - 6:50:07 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30
-  Email viewed by Jason Francois (jason.francois@des.wa.gov)
2021-05-11 - 7:08:04 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.64.254
-  Document e-signed by Jason Francois (jason.francois@des.wa.gov)
Signature Date: 2021-05-11 - 7:08:28 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30
-  Email viewed by Timothy Byrne (timothy.byrne@dshs.wa.gov)
2021-05-11 - 7:25:23 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.65.254
-  Document e-signed by Timothy Byrne (timothy.byrne@dshs.wa.gov)
Signature Date: 2021-05-11 - 7:25:54 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 147.55.7.177
-  Email viewed by Gary Wendleken (gary.wendleken@des.wa.gov)
2021-05-11 - 7:28:06 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.64.254
-  Document e-signed by Gary Wendleken (gary.wendleken@des.wa.gov)
Signature Date: 2021-05-11 - 7:29:42 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30
-  Email viewed by Rafael Urena (rafael.urena@des.wa.gov)
2021-05-11 - 9:21:44 PM GMT- IP address: 104.47.64.254
-  Document e-signed by Rafael Urena (rafael.urena@des.wa.gov)
Signature Date: 2021-05-11 - 9:22:15 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30
-  Email viewed by Bob Willyerd (bob.willyerd@des.wa.gov)
2021-05-12 - 1:30:10 PM GMT- IP address: 198.238.242.30
-  Document e-signed by Bob Willyerd (bob.willyerd@des.wa.gov)
Signature Date: 2021-05-12 - 1:31:23 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 198.238.242.30
-  Agreement completed.
2021-05-12 - 1:31:23 PM GMT